Last time: Two equivalences:

· Given a v.s. V, a  $[e^{i\chi}]$ -module sencture is equivalent to a linear map  $x:V\to V$ .  $x:V\to V_a=V^2x=x(-)$ 

[ I modules of letx]/ $I = \frac{f_0 t_0}{f_7} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac$ 

Today: more on preservation of scaling actions

· representations of gps vs. gp algebras

## 1. Preservation of scalar actions

Prop: Let A be a k-algebra, let a & A, and let Y: V-s w be an A-monule hom.

If a acts as scaling by a scalar N on V, then a does the same on Inf.

of: Take welmy. Then w= y(v) for some v.C.V. Thus,

 $a \cdot w = a \cdot \varphi(v) = \varphi(a \cdot v) = \varphi(\lambda v) = \lambda \varphi(v) = \lambda w$ .

Crollary: In the setting of the prop. if of is an isomorphism (so that Img=W)

and a acts as scaling by x on V, then a must act as scaling by it on W as well.

Contrapisione: (f V, W are modula on which a EA act as different scalars. then V \$\pm\$ w as Armodulas.

E.g.  $V_1 \neq V_2$  for  $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}-3x+2}$  in the example from the last lettere.

Def: let G be a gp. A representation of G is the clota (V, f) of a VS. V and a gp homomorph: sm f: G  $\rightarrow$  GL(V).  $\equiv$  Ghn(K) once we fix a bour of V.  $\{f: V \rightarrow V \mid f \text{ is lin. and invertible}\}$ Frample: Fact: For  $G = S_3$ , there is a representation of G afforded by  $V = \{k^3\}$  with  $P(PG) = \text{id}_V = \{k\}$  with  $P(PG) = \text{id}_V = \{k\}$  linear map sending  $\{e_1 \rightarrow e_1\}$   $\{e_2 \rightarrow e_3\}$  of  $\{e_3 \rightarrow e_3\}$  of  $\{e_4 \rightarrow e_4\}$  and  $\{e_4 \rightarrow e_4\}$  of  $\{e_5 \rightarrow e_5\}$  of  $\{e_6 \rightarrow e_4\}$  of  $\{e_6 \rightarrow e_4\}$  of  $\{e_6 \rightarrow e_5\}$  of  $\{e_6 \rightarrow e_4\}$  of  $\{e_6 \rightarrow e_4\}$  of  $\{e_6 \rightarrow e_5\}$  of  $\{e_6 \rightarrow e_7\}$  of  $\{e_7 \rightarrow e_7\}$  of  $\{e_8 \rightarrow e_7\}$  of  $\{e_8$ 

and more generally pla) = (the lin, map with li +> lavi) V ki En).

 $e.z \qquad \left( \left( \left( 132 \right) \right) = \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$ 

Pf of the fact: We just need to show that the assignment & indeed defines a gp hon. ie, that  $\rho(\pi) \rho(\pi') = \rho(\pi \pi') \forall \pi, \pi' \in S_3$ . It suffices to show  $\left[\rho(\tau)\rho(\tau')\right](e_i) = \left[\rho(\pi\tau')\right](e_i) \quad \forall \quad |\epsilon| \leq n$ ie,  $\rho(\pi)\left(\ell\pi'(i)\right) = \ell(\pi\pi')(i)$ , ie,  $\ell\pi(\pi'(i)) = \ell(\pi\pi')(i)$ . The last equation holds situ  $\pi(\pi'(i)) = (\pi\pi')(i)$ , so we are done. Note: Similarly, for any n & 722, there is a natural "permutation representation" P: Sn -> GL(k"), TI -> (the linear map sending et to exci) Y | Ei En). G: How are the teps. if a gp G related to reps of kG? A: They are equivalent Prop: Let G be a gp and K a field.

(b) Given a tep.  $(V, O : kG \rightarrow End(v))$  of kG, the restriction  $f: G \rightarrow GL(v)$ ,  $g \mapsto O(g)$  (One needs to check that) defines a tep of G.

"(a)": Given a rep (V, P: G -> GL(v)) of G, we can extend p brearby to a rep (U, 0: kG -> End(V)) ) of kG given by  $O\left(\sum_{s \in G} \lambda_g \cdot g\right) = \sum_{s \in G} \lambda_g \cdot p(s)$ . Upshot: reps of G = reps of leg. Eq. We've talked about the natural module le 3 of leSz, and we just talked about the natural (perm.) rep. of leSz. They are both determined Tr. ei = exii) eg. For the less module structure,  $[3-(12)-2.(132)]-e_2 = 3e_1 - 2e_1 = e_1$ Pf: Ex. (cb): show Olg) E GILLY), show f resp. mult. in Gr. (a): show O respects mult. in less and O(1)=idy.)

3. A word on [5x. 2.2]. G: (=z=3)

Part (c). Ignore the first part, about "representation of the quiver &". but do decide if  $V = kQ e_z$  is is to  $W = kQ \beta$  are is as kQ-modules.

Hint: Think about the map  $V \to W$ , a.e.  $A = 2 \times \beta$ .

Next time:

. from gp actions to gp reps.

. Simple modules.