

Last time:

• Recognition theorem for direct sums

• modules of $A = k[x]$

(1) The module action is completely determined by the action of x .

(2) Fix a v.s. V , then the data of an A -module structure on V is equivalent to the data of a linear map $\alpha: V \rightarrow V$.

(i) If V is an A -module, then V is determined by the map α corresponding to the action of x by (1).

(ii) Given an arbitrary lin. map $\alpha: V \rightarrow V$, there is indeed a well-defined module structure on V where x acts as α .

This is defined by $f \cdot v = f(\alpha)(v) \quad \forall f \in k[x], v \in V$.

Pf that " $f \cdot v \stackrel{*}{=} f(\alpha)(v)$ " does make V a $k[x]$ -module:

- direct verification: check that the module axioms hold for the action $*$.
- use the representation perspective: to make V a $k[x]$ -module is to have an algebra hom $\rho: k[x] \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$. Given an arbitrary $\alpha \in \text{End}_k(V)$, we know (Hw) that the evaluation map $\text{Eval}_\alpha: f \mapsto f(\alpha)$ is an alg. hom. from $k[x]$ to $\text{End}_k(V)$. So taking $\rho = \text{Eval}_\alpha$ yields a rep of $k[x]$. In the corresponding module structure on V , every elt $f \in k[x]$ acts as the map $\text{Eval}_\alpha(f) = f(\alpha)$. This is precisely the action given by $*$.

Upshot: Specifying a $k[x]$ -module structure on a v.s. V is the same as specifying a linear map on V .

2. Modules of quotients of $k[x]$.

We first note some generalities about modules of a quotient of an alg. A
vs. modules of A itself.

Prop 1 Let A be an alg. and I a two-sided ideal of A .

(a) Any module V of A/I is automatically an A -module by the action

$$a \cdot v = (a + I) \cdot v \quad \forall a \in A, v \in V$$

(b) Let W be an A -module. Then we know can make W an A/I module

via the formula $(a + I) \cdot w = a \cdot w \quad \forall a \in A, w \in W$ iff $I \cdot W = 0$.

(i.e., "every elt of I kills all of W ")

Upshot: Modules of A/I are the same as those modules

of A annihilated by I ,

Pf.: (1) Again, we can check the module axioms for $a \cdot v \stackrel{*}{=} (a+I) \cdot v$.

Or, we could note that $*$ simply arises from the representation

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\pi_I} & A/I & \xrightarrow{\text{hom since } v \text{ is an } A/I \text{ module}} & \text{End}_K(V) \\
 a & \longmapsto & a+I & \longmapsto & (a+I) \cdot -
 \end{array}$$

(2) (sketch) The key is well-definedness of the proposed action

$$(a+I) \cdot w \stackrel{*}{=} a \cdot w$$

$*$ is well defined \Leftrightarrow whenever $a+I = b+I$ for representatives a, b we have $a \cdot w = b \cdot w$ $\forall w \in W$

\Leftrightarrow whenever $\underbrace{a-b}_{\in I} \in I$ we have $\underbrace{(a-b)}_{\in I} \cdot w = 0 \quad \forall w \in W$

$\Leftrightarrow I \cdot w = 0$.

If/Once $*$ is well-defined, it's straightforward to check that it satisfies the module axioms, making W an A/I -module.

Back to the case $A = k[x]$: Let I be an ideal of $k[x]$.

Then I must be a principal ideal $I = \langle f \rangle$ for some $f \in k[x]$.

So modules of $k[x]/I = k[x]/\langle f \rangle$ are just modules of $k[x]$ on which f acts as zero.

A module of $k[x]$ is necessarily of the form V_α where V is a v.s. and $X \in k[x]$ acts as a linear map $\alpha: V \rightarrow V$, and on V_α we know f acts as $f(\alpha)$. The following theorem now follows.

Thm: (Thm 2.10) Let $f \in k[x]$ be non-constant polynomial, and let $B = k[x]/\langle f \rangle$.
(so that $A/\langle f \rangle$ is not trivial)

Then there is a bijection

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} B\text{-modules } V \\ k[x]/\langle f \rangle \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} k[x]\text{-modules } W = V_\alpha \text{ on which } f \text{ acts as } 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

$V \xrightarrow{\quad} \text{use Prop 1.11}$
 $\text{use Prop 1.12} \xleftarrow{\quad} W$

E.g. Say $k = \mathbb{R}$, $A = k[x]$ and $V = k$. Take $f = x^2 - 3x + 2 = (x-1)(x-2)$

Then linear map on $V = k$ must be scaling $\alpha: k \rightarrow k, \lambda \mapsto c \cdot \lambda$
each

for a constant $c \in k$ by linear algebra.

It follows that making V an A -module is just to specify what scalar x should

act on $V = k$. e.g. For $c = 3$, V has an A -module structure st

$$x \cdot \lambda = 3\lambda \quad \downarrow \quad (x^2 - 3x + 2) \cdot \lambda = (9 - 9 + 2)\lambda$$

(Ex: If c, c' are distinct constants, then $V_c \not\cong V_{c'}$ as module. — see email on HW4.)
 $V_c = V_{x=3} =: V_3 = 2\lambda$

By Thm 1, V_c is automatically a $k[x]/\langle f \rangle$ -module iff on V_c f acts as 0,
iff $f(c) = c^2 - 3c + 2 = 0$, iff $c = 1$ or $c = 2$.

Conclusion: There are precisely two 1-dimensional $k[x]/\langle f \rangle$ modules up to isomorphism. They are V_c for the roots $c=1$ and $c=2$ of f .

Ex. $k = \mathbb{R}$. How many 1-dimensional modules of $k[x]/\underbrace{(x^2-1)}_{x^2-1=(x-1)(x+1)}$ are there up to isomorphism over k ? Answer: Two: V_1 and V_{-1}

$k = \mathbb{R}$. 1-dim modules of $k[x]/(x^2+1)$? They'd be of the form V_c s.t. c is a root of x^2+1 over $k = \mathbb{R}$. There is no such module.

$k = \mathbb{C}$. 1-dim \dots $k[x]/(x^2+1)$? Two: V_i, V_{-i} ($i^2+1=0$)

Next time: gp actions, gp representations, and reps/modules of gp algebras.