

Last time:

- iso. and correspondence thms for modules

- external vs. internal direct sums

Prop 1. Let M be a module of an algebra A , and $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ submodules of M .

Then the map $\varphi: \bigoplus_{i \in I}^E M_i \rightarrow M$, $(m_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} m_i$ (sum in M)

is an iso, i.e., $M \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I}^E M_i$, iff $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ satisfies the conditions in the def. of internal direct sums, i.e. (1) $M = \sum M_i$ (2) $\forall j \in I, M_j \cap \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ i \neq j}} M_i = 0$.

Today:

- more on direct sums

- modules of $k[x]$ and its quotients

1. More on direct sums

Pf.: (sketch) Note that

(a) The map φ is a module hom. (EX)

$$\varphi(a \cdot (m_i)_{i \in I}) = \varphi((a \cdot m_i)_{i \in I}) = \sum_{i \in I} a \cdot m_i = a \cdot \sum_{i \in I} m_i = a \cdot \varphi((m_i)_{i \in I})$$

(b) The map φ is surj. iff $M = \sum_{i \in I} M_i$, i.e., iff Condition 1) holds.

(c) We claim that φ is inj. (equivalently, $\ker \varphi = 0$) iff Condition 2) holds:

"if": Suppose condition 2) holds. To prove $\ker \varphi = 0$, suppose otherwise and take

$0 \neq (m_i)_{i \in I} \in \ker \varphi$. Then for some $j \in I$ we have $m_j \neq 0$.

Since $(m_i)_{i \in I} \in \ker \varphi$, we have $\sum m_i = \varphi((m_i)_{i \in I}) = 0$, so

$m_j = -\sum_{i \neq j} m_i$ where the left side is in M_j and the right side is

in $\sum_{\substack{i \in I, \\ i \neq j}} M_i$. By condition (2), both sides must be 0.

Contradicting the assumption that $m_j \neq 0$.

It follows that $\varphi \in m_j$.

"only if" : **EX.** (similar idea.)

Point of the Prop :

Condition (1) and (2) are criteria for recognize when M is

isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{i \in I}^E M_i$.

Examples of direct sums

(a) Let $A = M_n(k)$ and consider the regular module $A \underset{=}{\cong} A$.

Let $C_i = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 \cdots 0 & * \\ \hline & 0 \cdots 0 \end{array} \right] \right\} = \left\{ \text{matrices whose entries are all zero outside} \right.$

Recall from linear algebra that $M \cdot \left[\begin{array}{c|c} v_1 & v_2 \cdots v_n \\ \hline & \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M \cdot v_1 & \cdots \\ \hline & M \cdot v_n \end{array} \right] \forall M \in A$. column i } $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$.

It follows that $M \cdot C_i \subseteq C_i$ and $C_i \cong$ a submodule of $A \forall i$.

Note that $(C_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ satisfies conditions (1) & (2), so $A \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n C_i$ as modules.

Note: Incidentally, all the modules C_i are iso. to the natural module $A \underset{=}{\cong} V = k^n$ via the module iso. $\varphi_i: C_i \rightarrow V$, $\left[\begin{array}{c|c} \cdots & v_i \\ \hline & \cdots \end{array} \right] \mapsto v_i$

(b) Ex 2.6: The regular module of a path algebra $A = kQ$ for a quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ is iso, as a module, to the direct sum $\bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} Ae_i$. (H.W.)

2. Modules of $k[x]$ and its quotient. $A := k[x]$

Key observations: The algebra $k[x]$ is generated by 1 and x as an algebra, and on any module M of A 1 has to act as identity, so the action of A on M is completely determined by the action of x .

Notation: If V is an A -module with x acting as a linear map $(x \cdot v) \mapsto \underline{x \cdot v}$
 $(\alpha: V \rightarrow V) \in \text{End}_k(V)$,
then we denote V by V_α .

E.g. Take $V = k^2$ and let $\alpha: V \rightarrow V$ be the map given by $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ w.r.t. to standard basis. Fact: We can make V into a module V_α with x acting as α . (Why?)

It follows that in V_α , we'd have $\underbrace{(x^2 - 2x)}_{\hat{A}} \cdot \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\hat{v}_\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^2 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} - 2 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Prop: Let V be a v.s. and let $\alpha: V \rightarrow V$ be an arbitrary linear map.

Then we can make V a $k[x]$ -module by declaring that

$$f \cdot v = \underbrace{f(\alpha)}_{\text{Eval}_\alpha(f)} \cdot v \quad \forall f \in k[x], v \in V$$

eg $f = x^2 - 2x \mapsto f$ acts as $f(\alpha) = \text{Eval}_\alpha(f) = \alpha^2 - 2\alpha$.

in particular, x acts as α . (so the resulting module is just V_α !)

Point: V_α does exist for all $\alpha \in \text{End}_k(V)$.

What needs proof? We need to prove that the definition (*) satisfies the module axioms. (Try the proof!)

Next time: the proof; modules of quotients of $k[x]$.