

Last time:

- induced modules: If M is a B -module and $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is an alg. hom.

then M is automatically an A -module. $a \in A \xrightarrow{\varphi} \varphi(a) \in B$
 \downarrow
 M

- Submodules (subgps closed under the algebra action)
- quotient (can be taken relative to any submodule)
- module hom (gp homs respecting the algebra action)

Today

- Iso Thms for modules
- External and internal direct sums
- Ifw remark: EX(1.22.4) in [EH]: should assume that " A " is finite dim.

1. Iso. Thms.

Thm 1. Let R be a ring. Let $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ be an R -module hom.

(1) The kernel $\ker \varphi := \{m \in M \mid \varphi(m) = 0\}$ is a submodule of M ,
and the image $\operatorname{Im} \varphi := \{\varphi(m) \mid m \in M\}$ is submodule of N .

(2) There is a well-defined module isomorphism $\bar{\varphi}: M/\ker \varphi \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} \varphi$
given by $\bar{\varphi}(m + \ker \varphi) = \varphi(m) \quad \forall m \in M$.

Pf. By gp theory we know $\ker \varphi$ is a subgroup of M , $\operatorname{Im} \varphi$ is a subgroup of N ,

and $\bar{\varphi}$ is a well-defined gp iso. so for (1) it suffices to show that $\ker \varphi$ and $\operatorname{Im} \varphi$ are closed under the R -actions and for (2) it suffices to check that $\bar{\varphi}$ is a module hom.

($R \cdot \ker \varphi \subseteq \ker \varphi$) Take $m \in \ker \varphi$ and $r \in R$. Then

$$\varphi(r \cdot m) \stackrel{\substack{\varphi \text{ is a} \\ \text{module} \\ \text{hom}}}{=} r \cdot \varphi(m) \stackrel{m \in \ker \varphi}{=} r \cdot 0 = 0$$

So $r \cdot m \in \ker \varphi$. We have proved that $\ker \varphi$ is closed under the R -action.

($R \cdot \text{Im } \varphi \subseteq \text{Im } \varphi$) Take $n \in \text{Im } \varphi$ and $r \in R$. Then $n = \varphi(m)$ for some $m \in M$.

$$\text{So } r \cdot n = r \cdot \varphi(m) \stackrel{\substack{\varphi \text{ is a} \\ \text{mod. hom}}}{=} \varphi(r \cdot m) \in \text{Im } \varphi.$$

So $\text{Im } \varphi$ is closed under the R -action.

($\bar{\varphi}$ respects the R -actions) Take $m \in M$ and $r \in R$. Then φ is a mod. hom.

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\varphi}(r \cdot (m + \ker \varphi)) &\stackrel{\substack{\text{def of} \\ \text{quot. mod.}}}{=} \bar{\varphi}(r \cdot m + \ker \varphi) = \varphi(r \cdot m) = r \cdot \left(\underline{\varphi(m)} \right) \\ &= r \cdot \left(\underline{\bar{\varphi}(m + \ker \varphi)} \right), \text{ as desired } \square \end{aligned}$$

Thm 2. Let R be a ring, M an R -module, and $U, V \subseteq M$ submodules of M .

Then the sum $U+V$ is an R -module, the intersection $U \cap V$ is an

R -module, and we have $U/U \cap V \cong U+V/V$ as R -modules.

Thm 3. Let R be a ring, M an R -module, and $U \subseteq V \subseteq M$ be submodules of

M . Then V/U is a submodule of M/U , and we have

$$\frac{M/U}{V/U} \cong M/V \text{ as } R\text{-modules.}$$

Pfs of Thms 2 & 3: Similar to that of Thm 1: apply the gp iso theorems after noting the relevant maps are module homs.

Prop. Let R be a ring and $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ an R -module hom. Then for every

(2.26). Submodule $W \subseteq N$ the preimage $\varphi^{-1}(W) := \{m \in M \mid \varphi(m) \in W\}$

is an R -submodule of M and it contains the kernel of φ .

Pf: Ex.

Thm 4. (Correspondence Thm) Let R be a ring, M an R -module, and $U \subseteq M$ an R -submod.

Then there are two mutually inverse inclusion-preserving bijections

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Submodules of } M \\ \text{containing } U \end{array} \right\} \xleftrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Submodules of} \\ M/U \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\vee \xrightarrow{1} V/U$$

$$\pi_U^{-1}(W) \xleftarrow{1} W$$

(where π_U is the proj $M \rightarrow M/U, m \mapsto m+U$)

Pf: Ex. (See Thm 2.28.) \square

2. Direct sums of modules

As usual (as in gp/ring theory), there are two notions of direct sums for modules. Below, let R be a ring.

Def 1. (External direct sum, Def 2.17) Let $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of R -modules for some index set I . The set (not necessarily related)

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i = \left\{ \text{tuples } (m_i)_{i \in I} \mid m_i \in M_i \ \forall i, \text{ } \underline{m_i \neq 0 \text{ only for finitely many } i} \right\}$$

also often \downarrow written as

$$\sum_{i \in I} m_i \leftarrow \text{finite sum}$$

is called the direct sum of $(M_i)_{i \in I}$.

Prop: (easy) $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ is naturally an R -module in the above setting under the coordinatewise action $r \cdot (m_i)_{i \in I} = (r m_i)_{i \in I}$.

Def 2. (Internal direct sum, Def 2.15.1b) Let M be an R -module.

We say M is the internal direct sum of a family $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ of submodules of M if (1) $M = \sum_{i \in I} M_i$, i.e., every $m \in M$ is a sum of finitely elems

from the submodules M_i . and (2). For every $j \in I$ we have $M_j \cap \sum_{i \in I, i \neq j} M_i = 0$.

Prop. (Connecting the two notions of direct sums) "0_M"

Let M be an R -module and $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ a family of submodules of M .

Then the map $\bigoplus_{i \in I}^E M_i \rightarrow M$ given by $(m_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} m_i \in M$

is a module iso from $\bigoplus_{i \in I}^E M_i$ to M iff M is the internal direct

sum of $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ in the sense of Def 2, i.e., iff conditions (1) and (2) hold.

Point of the Prop: We can use conditions (1) and (2) to recognize

when $M \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I}^E M_i$ (for submodules $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ of M).

Pf: next time, but try it yourself first!

Also next time:

modules of $k[t]$.