

Last time:

- Ideals in $k[x]$ are all principal.

- Def of modules: A module over a ring R is an abelian gp $(M, +)$ equipped with a map $R \times M \rightarrow M$, $(r, m) \mapsto r \cdot m \quad \forall r \in R, m \in M$ s.t.

- $r \cdot (m+n) = r \cdot m + r \cdot n$

- $(r+s) \cdot m = r \cdot m + s \cdot m$, $(rs) \cdot m = r \cdot (s \cdot m)$, $1 \cdot m = m$ } $\forall r, s \in R$
 $m, n \in M$

- Examples of modules: \downarrow becomes " $m \cdot (rs) = (m \cdot r) \cdot s$ " in the def. of right modules

k -modules $\cong k$ -vec spaces, \mathbb{Z} -modules \cong Abelian gps

regular module of $R = {}^R R$, ideals of a ring R are R -modules

Today:

- more examples
- another def
- Submodules and quotient modules

1. More examples of modules

(5) natural modules of matrix algebras $A = M_n(k)$ (left mult) $V := k^n$ $X \cdot v := Xv$

(i) By properties of matrix-vec. mult. (e.g. $X(v+w) = Xv + Xw$), $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} : a_i \in k \forall i \right\}$

it's easy to see that the left mult. action makes k^n an A -module.

Note: (left vs. right) Note that the above action would not make k^n a right A -module because in general $(XY)v = Y(Xv)$ in matrix multiplication.

Instead, the set $V' := \{ [a_1, \dots, a_n] : a_i \in k \forall i \}$ can be made a right A -module if we define the action to be right mult: $\underset{\hat{V}'}{v'} \cdot \underset{\hat{A}}{X} = (v' X)$.

(ii) Any subalgebra of $A = M_n(k)$ also acts on V by the same (inherited) action.

e.g. \downarrow
 $\text{Tr}(k)$ - the upper Δ matrices

(b) natural module of endomorphism algebras

$$A = \text{End}_K(U) \cong V$$

(i) The map $\text{End}_K(U) \times V \rightarrow V$ defined by

$$f: V \rightarrow V$$

$$(f, v) \mapsto f \cdot v := f(v) \rightarrow \text{the action is just "evaluation"}$$

makes V an A -module.

Ex: Check the axioms.

(e.g. we'd need

$$f \cdot (v+w) = f \cdot v + f \cdot w,$$

$$\text{i.e., } f(v+w) = f(v) + f(w).$$

this holds by the linearity of f .)

(ii) As in the last example, subalgebras of A

also inherit as a module with the same action.

In fact, every module V of an algebra corresponds to an action of
some subalgebra of $\text{End}_K(U)$ on V in the sense of (b).(ii). by evaluation

More precisely ...

2. Modules \equiv Representations

k : ground field over which things are done

• Let V be an module over/of an algebra A ($A \curvearrowright V$).

Recall that $B := \text{End}_k(V)$ is an algebra with mult. being composition.

Ex: The axioms for modules implies that $\forall a \in A$, the map $\varphi(a) : V \rightarrow V$
given by $(\varphi(a))(v) := a \cdot v \in V$ "the action of a "

is a linear map, $\therefore \varphi(a) \in \text{End}_k(V)$, yielding a map $\varphi : A \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$
 $a \mapsto \varphi(a)$,

• The axioms also imply that φ is an algebra hom.

Thus, the action $A \curvearrowright V$ corresponds to an algebra hom $\varphi : A \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$

and to the evaluation action $\varphi(A) := \text{Im}(\varphi) \curvearrowright V$

We call the data (V, φ) a representation of A .

Conversely, given a rep. of A , i.e., given a v.s V and an alg hom

$\varphi: A \rightarrow \text{End}_K(V)$, we can make V an A -module by defining the

action $A \times V \rightarrow V$ by

$$a \cdot v = \varphi(a)(v) \quad \forall a \in A, v \in V$$

Ex: The action defined above satisfies the module axioms, as in Ex. (b).

(e.g. ' $a \cdot (v+w) = a \cdot v + a \cdot w$ ' because $\varphi(a)$ is linear

$$(a+b) \cdot v = a \cdot v + b \cdot v, \text{ i.e., } \varphi(a+b)(v) = \varphi(a)(v) + \varphi(b)(v) \\ = (\varphi(a) + \varphi(b))(v)$$

because φ is a hom.)

Conclusion: Modules \equiv Representations .

Ex. Fact: The gp alg $A = \mathbb{K}S_2$ has the v.s $V = \mathbb{K}^3$ as a module,
with an action st. \downarrow
basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$

$$\pi \cdot e_i = e_{\pi(i)}$$

eg. $(12) e_1 = e_2$

This action corresponds to the rep $A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V) = M_3(\mathbb{K})$ with

$$e_{S_3} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (12) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (23) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(123) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (132) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (13) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Next time: submodules, quotient modules
module homs.