

Last time: Let  $L$  be a s.s. Lie algebra /  $k = \bar{k}$ ,  $\text{char } k = 0$ .

Thm: There's a bijection

$$\text{AW: } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{fd. ineps} \\ \text{of } L \end{array} \right\} / \cong \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\dagger} = \left\{ \lambda \in H^* : \lambda(h_i) = \langle \lambda, \alpha_i \rangle \in \mathbb{N} \right. \\ \left. \forall 1 \leq i \leq \ell \right\} \\ (\Delta = \{ \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\ell} \})$$

$$V = \bigoplus V_{\lambda} \longmapsto \text{the unique maximal wt } \lambda \text{ in } V \\ \text{w.r.t. } \leq.$$

Q1. Why the " $\cong$ "?

A1: Linear algebra: "homomorphisms preserve wts"  $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ , say  $V_{\lambda} \neq 0$ ,  $0 \neq v \in V_{\lambda}$ .  
Then if  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,  $h \cdot \varphi(v) = \varphi(h \cdot v) = \varphi(\lambda(h)v) = \lambda(h) \cdot \varphi(v) \Rightarrow W_{\lambda} \neq 0$ ,  $\varphi(v) \in V_{\lambda} \dots$

Q2. Why  $\Rightarrow$  the maximal wt in  $V$  unique?

A2: Consequences of having h.w. vectors ...

A3: Why  $\Rightarrow$  the map  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow V$  inj. and surj.?

} main topic today.  
"h.w. are nice!"

A3: h.w. theory (including conseq. of PBW basis theorem) + Verma modules

---

Recall: a highest wt vector in  $L(\lambda) \subset V$  is a vector  $v_\lambda$  s.t.

(i)  $v_\lambda$  is a wt vector. say  $h \cdot v_\lambda = \lambda(h) v_\lambda \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}$ .

(ii)  $e_{\alpha} \cdot v_\lambda = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \Phi^+$  (true if  $\lambda$  is maximal)

A h.w. module  $\rightarrow$  one generated by a h.w. vector.

Prp: Let  $V$  be an irr.  $L$ -module and let  $v_\lambda \in V$  be a h.v. vector. Then  
 Say  $v_\lambda \in V_\lambda$ .

(1).  $v_\lambda$  generates  $V$ , so  $V$  is a h.v. module.

Pf:  $V$  is irr.

$$(2). V \stackrel{(1)}{=} U(L) \cdot v_\lambda = \text{Span} \left\{ \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+} f_\alpha \cdot \prod_{i=1}^l h_i \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+} e_\alpha \right\} \cdot v_\lambda = \text{Span} \left\{ \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+} f_\alpha \right\} \cdot v_\lambda.$$

Pf: Immediate from the PBW basis theorem and (i), (ii).  
 PBW basis once we make  $f_\beta < h_i < e_\alpha$   
 $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

$$(3) \underbrace{f_{\alpha_1}^{m_1} f_{\alpha_2}^{m_2} \dots f_{\alpha_k}^{m_k}}_{\in \mathbb{Z}^+} \cdot v_\lambda \in V_{\lambda - \sum_{j=1}^k m_j \alpha_j}. \text{ Consequently, all wts in } V \text{ are lower than } \lambda, \text{ so that } \lambda \text{ is the unique h.v. Moreover, } \dim V_\lambda = 1,$$

and more generally  $\forall \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  we have  $\dim V_\mu < \infty$  (s.  $V$  is locally f.d.).

Pf: obvious from (v) : consider the wt lattice.

(So we've answered the uniqueness question Q2.)

(5).  $\forall 1 \leq i \leq l$ ,  $\langle \lambda, \alpha_i \rangle \geq 0$ , so  $\lambda \in P^+$ .  
 $\hat{=}$   
 $\mathbb{N}$ , not just  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Pf: Consider the restriction  $\mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha_i} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{L} \curvearrowright V$  making  $V$  an  $\mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha_i}$ -module.

By (iii),  $e_{\alpha_i} \cdot v_\pm = 0$ , so  $v_\pm$  is a h.w. vector for  $\mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha_i}$ .

It follows from  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -rep theory (including Weyl's Complete reducibility thm)

$\lambda(h_i) = \langle \lambda, \alpha_i \rangle \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$   $\rightarrow$  Done with the "well-definedness" of  $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}$ .

Proving that  $\mathcal{AW}$  is a bijection:

(need the notion of Verma modules)

Recall the triangular decomposition:

$$L = N^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus N^-$$
$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ & \oplus L_{\alpha} & \oplus L_{\alpha} \\ & \alpha \in \Phi^+ & \alpha \in \Phi^- \end{array}$$

Define the positive Borel subalgebra to be  $B = N^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ .

Note:  $N^+$ ,  $N^-$  are each max. nilp.  $B \cap$  Borel in the sense that it's maximal solvable.

Definition of Verma modules. Let  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{H}^*$ . (do not need  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}$  yet)

• start by letting  $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$  be the 1-dim  $\mathfrak{H}$ -module  $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C} \langle 1_\lambda \rangle$

notation:  $1_\lambda$

s.t.  $h \cdot 1_\lambda = \lambda(h) \cdot 1_\lambda$ .

just 1

• turn  $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$  into a  $B$ -module via the Lie algebra hom  $B \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ . s.o.

that  $h \cdot 1_\lambda = \lambda(h) \cdot 1_\lambda \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{H}$  and  $e \cdot 1_\lambda = 0 \quad \forall e \in \mathfrak{N}^+$ .

can also define the  $B$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$  direct this way and checking it makes  $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$  a  $B$ -module.

• Since  $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$  is a  $B$ -module, it's a  $U(B)$ -module. Now define the Verma module of wt  $\lambda$  for  $L$  to be

$U(L)$ -module  $M(\lambda)$  defined by

$$M(\lambda) = {}_{U(L)} U(L) \otimes_{U(B)} \otimes_{U(B)} \mathbb{C}_\lambda.$$

(So  $M(\lambda)$  is the induction of  $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$  along the inclusion  $U(B) \hookrightarrow U(L)$ , and

$$\underbrace{x}_{\in U(L)} \cdot \left( \underbrace{y}_{\in U(L)} \otimes 1_\lambda \right) = xy \otimes 1_\lambda \quad \underline{\text{if } y \in U(B)}$$

$$x \otimes y 1_\lambda = \begin{cases} \alpha(y) x \otimes 1_\lambda & \text{if } y \in H \\ 0 & \text{if } y \in N^+ \end{cases}$$

Next time:

-  $M(\lambda)$  is h.w.

-  $\mathcal{A}W$  is a bijection.