

Last time: · Hopf algebra axioms and their consequences for module categories of the algebras.

Today: start the final topic. f.d. irrep of s.s. Lie algebras

Notation:  $k$ : alg. closed field of char 0.

$L$ : s.s. Lie algebra /  $k$

$\mathfrak{h}$ : a CSA of  $L$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} L \\ \mathfrak{h} \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow L = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} L_{\alpha}$$

root system.

$\Delta$ : a fixed base of the root system  $\Phi$

assumed/

$$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\} \rightarrow L = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} L_{\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi^-} L_{\alpha}$$

"triangular decomposition"

$\swarrow$   $\searrow$

$\mathfrak{N}^+$   $\mathfrak{N}^-$

$\mathfrak{N}^+, \mathfrak{N}^-$  are nilp by Engel's thm.

In fact they are maximal nilp.

$W$ : the Weyl gp

$K$ : the Killing form on  $L$ .

$\rightarrow K|_H \ni$  nondegenerate  $\Rightarrow H^* \cong H$ ,  $\alpha \mapsto$  the unique  $t_\alpha \in H$   
s.t.  $\alpha(h) = K(t_\alpha, h)$   
 $\forall h \in H$ .

$(, )$  on  $H^*$ :  $\forall \alpha, \beta \in H^*$ ,  $(\alpha, \beta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} K(t_\alpha, t_\beta)$ .

Goal: classify f.d. irreps. of  $L$ .

Key ingredients: h.w. considerations / PBW basis thm / linear algebra.

Recall: - Weyl's Thm: Every f.d. rep. of  $L$  is a direct sum of simples.

- Hum. Thm 6.4 / E.W. Thm 9.1b. "Preservation of Jordan Decomposition"

Let  $x \in L$ . If  $x = s + n$  is the A.J.D. of  $x$ , then

for any rep  $\rho: L \rightarrow \text{gl}(V)$  of  $L$ , the J.D. of  $\rho(x)$  is

$$\rho(x) = \rho(s) + \rho(n).$$

-  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $h_\alpha = \frac{2t_\alpha}{\kappa(t_\alpha, t_\alpha)}$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F}, \beta \in \mathfrak{h}^*, \quad \beta(h_\alpha) &= \kappa(t_\beta, h_\alpha) = \kappa\left(t_\beta, \frac{2t_\alpha}{\kappa(t_\alpha, t_\alpha)}\right) \\ &= \frac{2\kappa(t_\beta, t_\alpha)}{\kappa(t_\alpha, t_\alpha)} = \frac{2(\beta, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= (\beta, \alpha^\vee) = \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle.$$

- Also recall we can define a relation  $\succeq$  on  $\bar{\Phi}$  by declaring  $\alpha \leq \beta$  if  $\beta - \alpha$  is a  $\mathbb{N}$ -linear comb. of positive (simple) roots.

for us.  $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

We may extend  $\leq$  to a partial order on  $H^*$  by declaring

$$\alpha \leq \beta \text{ for } \alpha, \beta \in H^* \text{ if } \beta - \alpha \in \sum_{\alpha \in \bar{\Phi}^+} \mathbb{N}\alpha.$$

We call the new order the dominance order.

We can now start the classification ...

First recall that by the results on J.D., any f.d. rep of  $L$

is a direct sum of wt spaces:

$$(*) \quad V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{H}^*} V_\lambda$$

where  $V_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid h \cdot v = \lambda(h)v \ \forall h \in \mathfrak{H}\}$ . {  $\lambda$  is a weight of  $V \neq 0$ ,  
 (in which case  $V_\lambda$  is a weight space).

Pwp. Let  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{H}$ . Then for the above decomp (\*),

(1).  $L_\alpha \cdot V_\lambda \subseteq V_{\lambda+\alpha}$

(2). (integrality)  $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Pf.: (1)  $\forall v \in V_\lambda, x \in L_\alpha, h \cdot \underline{x \cdot v} = x \cdot h \cdot v + [h, x] \cdot v = x \cdot \lambda(h)v + \alpha(h)x \cdot v = \left( \begin{matrix} \parallel \\ \lambda(h) + \alpha(h) \end{matrix} \right) \underline{x \cdot v}$   $\lambda + \alpha(h)$   
 $\parallel$   $\checkmark$

(2). Consider the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -subalgebra in  $L$ .  $V$  is naturally a  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module via the map  $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \hookrightarrow L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . So  $V$  is a direct sum of simple  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules. But in  $\uparrow$  such simple modules all wts are integral by  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -rep theory.  $\square$

Consequences of (1) and (2).

(2) motivates the def. of weight lattices.

Def. We define the weight lattice of  $(L, \mathfrak{h})$  to be the set

$$P = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \lambda(h_i) = \langle \lambda, \alpha_i \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq \ell \right\}.$$

(So (2) implies that each wt in  $V = \bigoplus V_\lambda$  must be in  $P$ .)

Rank: Find a dual basis  $\beta = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_l\}$  of  $\Delta$  in  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ .

ie  $\beta$  is the set where  $\langle \omega_j, \alpha_i \rangle = \delta_{ij} \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq l$ .

Then  $w \in P \iff w = \sum_{i=1}^l a_i \omega_i$  where  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq l$

↓

$$\Downarrow \\ (\langle w, \alpha_i \rangle = a_i \quad \forall i)$$

So  $P$  is just the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -span of  $\beta$ :  $P = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Z} \omega_i$ . This is

why we call  $P$  a lattice.

It will be important to also consider  $P^+ := \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha_i \rangle \in \mathbb{N} \forall i\}$

Def: The elts in  $\beta$  are called fundamental weights.  $= \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \mathbb{N} \omega_i$

The wts in  $P$  are called integral weights.

$P^+$  is called the positive weight lattice; its elts are called

dominant  
integral  
weights

(i) leads to highest weight theory.

Pick a wt  $\mu$  in  $V = \bigoplus V_\lambda$  (so  $V_\mu \neq 0$ ) with  $\mu$  maximal w.r.t. the dominance order.

then  $\forall \alpha \in \Phi^+$ ,  $e_\alpha \cdot v_\mu \in \underbrace{V_{\mu+\alpha}}_{=0}$ . i.e.  $e_\alpha \cdot v_\mu = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \Phi^+$ .  
(nonzero in  $L_\alpha$ ) ↓ by max. of  $\mu$

Thus,  $\forall 0 \neq v_\mu \in V_\mu$ , we have

(\*)  $\begin{cases} e_\alpha \cdot v_\mu = 0 & \forall \alpha \in \Phi^+ \\ v_\mu \text{ is a wt vector (since it's in a wt space).} \end{cases}$

Def. In a rep of  $L$ , a nonzero elt  $v_\mu$  satisfying (\*) is called a



highest weight vector. A module generated by a h.w. vector

$\Rightarrow$  called a highest weight module.

Remark: By the above discussion, any f.d. irrep has a h.w. vector and  $\Rightarrow$  a highest wt module. (since  $V^+$  generates  $V$  now that  $V$  is irreducible).

It will turn out that the maximal wt.  $\mu$  must be the unique maximal wt and must be in  $\mathfrak{p}^+$ .

So we get a map  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{f.d. irreps of } \mathfrak{g} \\ \mathcal{L} \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\quad} \mathfrak{p}^+$   
 $\xrightarrow{\cong} \text{general theory: iso. reps have the same wts.}$

We'll show that this  $\Rightarrow$  a bijection, thus classifying the f.d. irreps via  $\mathfrak{p}^+$ .