

Last time:  $E, \Phi, \Delta$  (fixed base)

$\downarrow$   
Weyl gp  $W$ .

Def:  $W_0 = \langle s_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta \rangle \subseteq W$ .

• Thm:  $W$  is finite.

• Thm:  $W = W_0$ .  $\leftarrow$  Suffices to prove:  $\forall \beta \in \bar{\Phi}, \exists g \in W_0, \alpha \in \Delta$  s.t.  $\beta = g(\alpha)$ .

Pf: Suffices to consider  $\beta \in \bar{\Phi}^+$ . (if  $\beta \in \bar{\Phi}^-$ , then  $-\beta \in \bar{\Phi}^+$ , so get  
 $g' \in W_0, \alpha \in \Delta$  s.t.  $g'(\alpha) = -\beta$  so  $g \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g' s_\alpha$  so  $(g := g' s_\alpha, \alpha)$  works)

For  $\beta \in \bar{\Phi}^+$ , use induction on  $ht(\beta)$

(Recall:  $ht(\sum_{\gamma \in \Phi^+} k_\gamma \gamma) = \sum k_\gamma$ .)

if  $ht(\beta) = 1$ ,  $\beta \in \Delta_{\bar{\Phi}^+}$ , s.  $g = e$  works.

If  $h^+(\beta) > 1$ . Say  $\beta = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} k_\gamma \gamma$  with

①  $k_\gamma \geq 0 \forall \gamma \in \Delta$ , and  $k_\gamma > 0$  for some  $\gamma \in \Delta$ .

then since ②  $\langle \beta, \beta \rangle = \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta} k_\sigma \langle \sigma, \beta \rangle > 0$  ( $\langle \beta, \beta \rangle \neq 0$  since  $\beta \neq 0$ ),

we must have  $\langle \gamma_0, \beta \rangle = \langle \beta, \gamma_0 \rangle > 0$  for some  $\gamma_0 \in \Delta$ .

Consider  $S_{\gamma_0}(\beta) = \beta - \underbrace{\langle \beta, \gamma_0 \rangle}_{> 0} \gamma_0$ .

Recall that  $S_{\gamma_0}$  permutes  $\mathbb{F}^+ \setminus \{\gamma_0\}$ , so  $S_{\gamma_0}(\beta) \in \mathbb{F}^+$ .

On the other hand, we must have  $h^+(S_{\gamma_0}(\beta)) < h^+(\beta)$  since  $\langle \beta, \gamma_0 \rangle > 0$ .

By induction,  $\exists h \in W_0$  st.  $S_\alpha(\beta) = h(\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha \in \Delta$ .

It follows that  $\beta = S_\alpha(S_\alpha(\beta)) = S_\alpha h(\alpha) = g(\alpha)$  for  $g := S_\alpha h \in W_0$ . Done.  $\square$

# Action of the Weyl gp on Weyl chambers and Bases $(E, \Phi)$ .

Recall the bijection  $\{ \text{Weyl chambers of } E \} \longleftrightarrow \{ \text{Bases of } \Phi \}$

Notation: (if  $\gamma$  is regular,  
we denote the chamber it's in  
by  $C(\gamma)$ .)

conn. comp. of  $E \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi} H_\alpha$   
regular elt.

$C \xrightarrow[\text{any}]{\text{take}} \gamma \in C \longmapsto \Delta(\gamma) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{indecomp. on} \\ \text{the positive} \\ \text{side of } \gamma \end{array} \right.$

$C(\gamma) := \{ \text{regular } \gamma : \begin{array}{l} \langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle > 0 \\ \forall \alpha \in \Phi \end{array} \} \longleftarrow \Delta$

Upshot: "W acts on both sides and the actions are compatible.

Moreover, both actions are faithful and transitive."

Observe that: Let  $g \in W$ .

(1) If  $\Delta$  is a base of  $\mathbb{F}$ , then so is  $\Delta' \rightarrow$  so  $W$  acts on the set of bases of  $\mathbb{F}$ .

$$\Delta' := g(\Delta) := \{ g(\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta \}.$$

Pf: Need to check the base axiom for  $\Delta'$ .

(B1)  $\Delta'$  should be a basis.  $\rightarrow$  True:  $\Delta$  is a basis, and  $g \in GL(E)$  is an automorphism of  $E$ .

(B2) Need  $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta'} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha \cup \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta'} \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} \alpha$ .

True:  $g$  is linear, so it preserves linear comb.

$$\left( \forall \beta \in \mathbb{F}. \exists k_\gamma, \alpha \in \Delta \text{ s.t. } \beta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} k_\alpha \alpha, \begin{matrix} k_\alpha \geq 0 & \text{or} & k_\alpha \leq 0 \\ \forall \alpha & & \forall \alpha \end{matrix} \right)$$
$$\underline{g(\beta)} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} k_\alpha g(\alpha) \subseteq \sum_{\alpha' \in \Delta'} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha' \cup \sum_{\alpha' \in \Delta'} \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} \alpha'$$

all elts in  $\mathbb{F}$  can be written this way.

(2). If  $r$  is regular, then  $s_0 \cap g(r)$ .

Pf:  $\forall \alpha \in \Phi$ . then  $\alpha = g(\beta)$  for some  $\beta \in \Phi$  (take  $\beta = g^{-1}(\alpha)$ ).

So  $(g(r), \alpha) = (g(r), g(\beta)) = (r, \beta) \neq 0$  since  $r$  is regular.

So  $g(r)$  is regular.  $\square$

• If  $C$  is a Weyl chamber, then  $s_0 \cap g(C)$ .

Pf: Suffices to consider  $g = s_\alpha$ .  $\alpha \in \Phi$ .

(a) Take  $r \in C$  so that  $C = C(r)$ . Then  $g(r)$  is regular and  $g(r) \in g(C)$ .

(b)  $g$  is a reflection, so it's continuous, so it maps conn. sets to connected sets, so  $g(C)$  lies in a unique chamber, necessarily  $C(g(r))$ . i.e.,  $g(C) \subseteq C(g(r))$ .

$$(c) \quad g^2 = s_\alpha^2 = e, \quad s.$$

$$C(g(x)) = \underline{gg(C(g(x)))} \stackrel{(b)}{=} g(C(gg(x))) = gC(x) = gC.$$

$$\text{So } gC = C(g(x)). \quad \square$$

13). The actions are compatible :  $g(C(\alpha)) = C(g(\alpha))$  for every  
base  $\alpha$  of  $\mathbb{E}$ .

pf: Take  $\alpha \in C(\alpha)$ . Then  $g(C(\alpha)) \ni g(\alpha)$ . so

it suffices to show that  $g(\alpha) \in C(g(\alpha))$ . This is true since

$$(g(\alpha), g(\alpha)) = \underline{(\alpha, \alpha)} > 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \alpha. \quad \square$$

since  $\alpha \in C(\alpha)$

## Harder results:

Thm 1. Let  $S_\Delta = \{s_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  for each base  $\Delta$  of  $\Phi$ .

Then  $(W, S_\Delta)$  is a Coxeter system for every base  $\Delta$  of  $\Phi$ .

Consequence: Elts of  $W$  have length  $\stackrel{\forall w \in W}{\text{def.}} l(w) = \left( \min l. \text{ s.t. } w = s_1 \dots s_l \right)$   
for some  $s_1, \dots, s_l \in S_\Delta$ .

$$\cdot l(w) \stackrel{\text{prop.}}{=} \left| \left\{ \alpha \in \Phi_\Delta^+ \mid w(\alpha) \in \Phi_\Delta^- \right\} \right| \quad \forall w \in W.$$

can be used to prove

Thm 2.  $W$  acts simply transitively on the set of bases of  $\Phi$ , i.e.,

① Given any two bases  $\Delta, \Delta'$  of  $\Phi$ .  $\exists g \in W$  s.t.  $\Delta' = g(\Delta)$ . (transitivity)

② For any base  $\Delta$  of  $\Phi$ . if  $g(\Delta) = \Delta$  for some  $g \in W$ . then  $g = e$ . ("simply")

Coro 1:  $W$  acts simply transitively on the Weyl chambers.

Pf: Thm 2 + Ob. (3).

Ex: Formulate and prove the corollary more carefully

Coro 2: We can define a well-defined graph for  $\Phi$  via any base  $\Delta$  of  $\Phi$  which has vertex set  $\Delta$  and with  $\xrightarrow{\text{The Dynkin diagram of } \Phi.}$

$$d_{\alpha\beta} := \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle$$

edges/lines between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Delta$ . (We'll do this more carefully soon)

Well-defined: different bases give the same graph. (up to relabeling vertices) vs

Pf:  $\Delta, \Delta' \rightarrow \exists g \in W \quad \Delta' = g(\Delta)$ .  $d_{g(\alpha)g(\beta)} = d_{\alpha\beta}$  since  $g$  preserves  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .  $\square$