

Last time: - Pairs of roots

- Base: A base of a root system  $(\bar{E}, \bar{\Phi})$  is <sup>(1)</sup> a base  $\Delta$  of  $\bar{E}$  s.t. <sup>(2)</sup>  $\alpha$  is either a nonnegative lin. comb of the elts of  $\Delta$  or a nonpos. lin comb of the elt of  $\Delta$ .

Thm: Every root system  $(\bar{E}, \bar{\Phi})$  has a base.

Pf:  $\dim \bar{E} = 1$ : obvious.  $\dim \bar{E} \geq 2$ : take any  $\gamma \in \bar{E} \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \bar{\Phi}} \mathbb{R}\alpha$ ,  
define  $\bar{\Phi}_{\gamma}^{+} = \{ \alpha \in \bar{\Phi} : (\alpha, \gamma) > 0 \}$ ,  $\bar{\Phi}_{\gamma}^{-} = \{ \alpha \in \bar{\Phi} : (\alpha, \gamma) < 0 \}$

and let  $\Delta(\gamma) = \{ \text{indecomposables in } \bar{\Phi}_{\gamma}^{+} \}$ .

Claim:  $\Delta(\gamma)$  is a base. <sup>(1)</sup> not a sum  $\gamma = \alpha' + \alpha''$  where  $\alpha', \alpha'' \in \bar{\Phi}_{\gamma}^{+}$ .

Pf of the claim: Say  $\Delta(\gamma) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ .

① Every elt of  $\mathbb{F}_\gamma^+$  is a nonnegative sum of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l$ .

Pf: Suppose not. Pick  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_\gamma^+$  that is not a nonneg. lin. comb of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l$  with  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  minimal. In particular,  $\alpha \notin \Delta(\gamma)$ , so  $\alpha$  is decomposable so

$\alpha = \alpha' + \alpha''$  where  $\alpha', \alpha'' \in \mathbb{F}_\gamma^+$ . Thus,  $(\alpha, \gamma) = \underbrace{(\alpha', \gamma)}_{> 0} + \underbrace{(\alpha'', \gamma)}_{> 0}$

By the minimality assumption, since  $(\alpha, \gamma) > (\alpha', \gamma)$  and  $(\alpha, \gamma) > (\alpha'', \gamma)$ , we must have that  $\alpha', \alpha''$  are nonneg. lin comb of  $\Delta$ . But then so is  $\alpha$ . Contradiction.  $\square$

Corollary:  $\Delta$  spans  $\mathbb{Q}$  and hence  $E$ , and  $\Delta$  satisfies (2).

② We'll show that  $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \leq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq l$ .

Pf. If not,  $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) > 0$ , so  $\alpha_i - \alpha_j \in \Phi$ , so

$$\alpha_i - \alpha_j \in \Phi^+ \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha_j - \alpha_i \in \Phi^+.$$

Say  $\alpha_i - \alpha_j \in \Phi^+$ , then  $\alpha_i = \underbrace{(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)}_{\in \Phi^+} + \underbrace{\alpha_j}_{\in \Phi^+}$ . This

contradicts the fact that  $\alpha_i \in \text{indecomp.}$

Similarly, if  $\alpha_j - \alpha_i \in \Phi^+$ , then  $\alpha_j = \underbrace{(\alpha_j - \alpha_i)}_{\in \Phi^+} + \underbrace{\alpha_i}_{\in \Phi^+}$ , which

contradicts the fact that  $\alpha_j \in \text{indecomp.}$

(3)  $\Delta$  is lin. ind.

Pf: Say  $\sum_{i=1}^l r_i \alpha_i = 0$ . Separate  $\{r_1, \dots, r_l\}$  by

sign:  $\sum_{\substack{r_i \geq 0 \\ i \in I}} r_i \alpha_i = \sum_{\substack{r_j' \geq 0 \\ j \in J}} r_j' \alpha_j$  with  $I \cup J = \{1, \dots, l\}$ .

We have  $(\xi, \xi) = \left( \sum r_i \alpha_i, \sum r_j' \alpha_j \right) = \sum_{i,j} \underbrace{r_i r_j'}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)}_{\leq 0} \leq 0$ .

It follows that  $\xi = 0$ . So

$$0 = \left( \begin{array}{c} \gamma \\ \xi \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \sum \underbrace{r_i}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{(\gamma, \alpha_i)}_{> 0} \implies r_i = 0 \quad \forall i \in I.$$

Similarly,  $r_j' = 0$  and hence  $r_j = 0 \quad \forall j \in J$ .  $\square$

Prop. Any base  $\Delta$  of  $\mathbb{F}$  is of the form  $\Delta(\gamma)$  for some  $\gamma \in E \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} H_\alpha$ .

(So the map  $\Delta: E \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} H_\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bases of } \mathbb{F}$ ,  $\gamma \mapsto \Delta(\gamma)$  is surj.)

Pf. Given  $\Delta$ , we may select  $\gamma \in E$  st.  $(\gamma, \alpha) > 0 \forall \alpha \in \Delta$ :

- Use Gram-Schmidt to get an orthonormal basis  $\beta = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ .

Then  $\forall \alpha \in \Delta$ ,  $(\gamma, \alpha) = [\gamma]_\beta \cdot [\alpha]_\beta$ .

Take any  $c_1, \dots, c_n > 0$ , to find  $\gamma$  st.  $(\gamma, \alpha_i) = c_i$  then

to solve 
$$\begin{bmatrix} [\alpha_1]_\beta^t \\ \vdots \\ [\alpha_n]_\beta^t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}_\beta = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } [\gamma]_\beta.$$

Invertible since  $\Delta$  is a basis of  $E$ , so there is a solution.

Claim:  $\Delta = \underbrace{\Delta(\gamma)}_{\text{indecomp. in } \Phi_\gamma^+}$ .

Pf: Note that  $\gamma$  is regular, i.e.  $\sigma \in \bar{E} \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi} H_\alpha$ .

Also, since  $(\gamma, \alpha) > 0 \forall \alpha \in \Delta$ , we have  $\underbrace{\Phi_\Delta^+}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{roots in } \Delta \text{ that are nonneg. lin comb of } \Delta}}$   $\subseteq \Phi_\gamma^+$  and  $\Phi_\Delta^- \subseteq \Phi_\gamma^-$ .

But  $\Phi_\Delta^+ \cup \Phi_\Delta^- = \Phi_\gamma^+ \cup \Phi_\gamma^- = \Phi$ , roots in  $\Delta$  that are nonneg. lin comb of  $\Delta$

So  $\Phi_\Delta^+ = \Phi_\gamma^+$ ,  $\Phi_\Delta^- = \Phi_\gamma^-$ .

Next, note that  $\Delta \subset \Delta(\gamma)$ , i.e.  $\chi$  is indecomp in  $\Phi_\gamma^+$  for all  $\chi \in \Delta$ .

Pf: Say  $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$ . Take  $\chi \in \Delta \in \Phi_\Delta^+ = \Phi_\gamma^+$ , so  $\chi_j \neq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i \alpha_i$ ,  $c_i \geq 0 \forall i$ . Each  $\alpha_i$  is a nonneg lin comb of the  $\chi_i$ 's.

Expand the right side of (\*) into a lin comb of  $\Delta$ .

Since there's no cancellation, <sup>(positivity)</sup> no  $\alpha_i$  can have a  $X_{k \neq j}$ -comp if  $k \neq j$ .

So  $\alpha_i$  is a mult. of  $X_j$ . But  $\langle X_j \rangle \cap \Phi = \{\pm X_j\}$ .

and  $\Delta(\gamma) \cap \alpha$  is a basis, so the sum on the right side

can only contain one summand, and it has to be  $X_j$ .

This proves  $\Delta \subseteq \Delta(\gamma)$ .

Since  $|\Delta| = |\Delta(\gamma)| = \dim E = l$ , it follows that  $\Delta = \Delta(\gamma)$ .  $\square$

Def: Call each connected component of  $E \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi} H_\alpha$  a Weyl chamber.

Thus, each  $\gamma \in E \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi} H_\alpha$  lies in a unique Weyl chamber;

we denote it by  $C(\gamma)$ .

Note:  $C(\gamma) = C(\gamma') \iff (\gamma, \alpha)$  and  $(\gamma', \alpha)$  have the same sign  $\forall \alpha \in \Phi$ .

for  $\gamma, \gamma'$  regular  $\iff \Phi_\gamma^\pm = \Phi_{\gamma'}^\pm$

$\iff \Delta(\gamma) = \Delta(\gamma')$

take indecomp.  $\uparrow$  take max span  $(\cap \Phi)$

$\therefore$ , we have a well-defined bij.  $\Delta: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Weyl chamber } C \\ E \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi} H_\alpha \end{array} \right\} \longrightarrow \{ \text{bases of } \Phi \}$

$C \longmapsto \Delta(\gamma)$  for any  $\gamma \in C$ .