

Notes for Tues. Jan 28

I. Quick Recap

- A root system Φ is a collection of vectors in \mathbb{R}^n that play nicely with associated reflections.
- From the definition of the reflection map, we get coroots, α^\vee .
- From the root system, we can build a lattice on $\text{span } \Phi$ and call the elements in it weights.
- We can define fundamental weights that "pick out" the roots:

fundamental weight defined by this

$$\langle \bar{w}_i, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = \delta_{ij}$$
$$\frac{2\alpha_j}{\langle \alpha_j, \alpha_j \rangle} = \frac{2\alpha_j}{\alpha_j \cdot \alpha_j} = \frac{2}{|\alpha_j|^2} \alpha_j$$

II Discussion on Kashiwara crystals

Definition: Let Φ be a root system indexed by I , and let Λ be the weight lattice.

A crystal of type Φ is a nonempty set \mathcal{B} ^{or usually} together with maps

$$\begin{aligned} & e_i, f_i : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \cup \{0\} \quad \leftarrow \text{crystal operators} \\ (i \in I) \quad & \varepsilon_i, \varphi_i : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\} \quad \leftarrow \text{string lengths} \\ & \text{wt} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \quad \leftarrow \text{weight map} \end{aligned}$$

that satisfy the following.

- For $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$, $e_i(x) = y \Leftrightarrow f_i(y) = x$

extra pieces: $\text{wt}(y) = \text{wt}(x) + \alpha_i, \varepsilon_i(y) = \varepsilon_i(x) - 1, \varphi_i(y) = \varphi_i(x) + 1$

- $\varphi_i(x) = \langle \text{wt}(x), \alpha_i^\vee \rangle + \varepsilon_i(x)$

extra pieces: $\varphi_i(x) = -\infty \Rightarrow \varepsilon_i(x) = -\infty$ and we require $e_i(x) = f_i(x) = 0$

If \mathcal{B} is a crystal, we associate a directed graph with labeled edges:

vertices: elements of \mathcal{B}

edges: $x \xrightarrow{i} y$ if $f_i(x) = y$

← This description makes me think of f and e as operators that move "with" or "against" the direction of the graph but maybe that's backwards.

III Questions/Discussion

00 Open floor

[Q1] There are a bunch of examples in the text. Are there any in particular we should discuss?

Ex 2.19

[Q2] There are a lot of descriptors for crystals.
What intuition can we gather for them?



[Q3] Tensor products seem to matter in Ch 3. Do any particular exercises look better or worse for gaining familiarity?

Proof of 2.29 - HW for Friday

My answer to Q1:

- Either today or Friday, do the following

★ • Compute the crystal operators in Ex 2.19 (type A)

• Discuss Ex 2.25 (row crystals) + Ex 2.26 (column crystals) at length as a preview for ch 3.

- What the heck makes a crystal "standard"?

My answer to Q3:

2.1 - boring but important?

2.2 - 50/50 on if it'll be good

2.4 and or 2.8

2.10 (unrelated to tensors but the first half seems interesting)