

Last time: · Maschke's Thm; Let k be a field and G is finite gp.

Then kG is s.s. iff $\text{char}(k) \nmid |G|$.

Main Ideas for the proof:

· "only if": if $\text{char}(k) \mid |G|$, then the submodule $U := \text{Span} \langle \sum_{g \in G} g \rangle \subseteq kG$ cannot have a complement.

· "if": — show kG is completely reducible if $\text{char}(k) \nmid |G|$

— for any submodule $W \subseteq kG$, find a complement; use a special map $\pi: kG \rightarrow W$.

Today: · finish the proof, by showing that Φ is a kG mod. hom.

· Some consequences of the A.W. thm + Maschke's Thm.

1. Proof that π is an module hom.

$$\pi: kG = W \oplus V \rightarrow W, \quad m \mapsto \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot \underset{=}{p}(g^{-1} \cdot m)$$

v.s. complement
of W in kG
natural projection $p: kG \rightarrow W$
'from linear algebra'

Pf that π is a kG -module hom :

$$x = hg \Rightarrow g = h^{-1}x \Rightarrow g^{-1} = x^{-1}h$$

linearity. \checkmark see last lecture

π respects the kG -action: we need to show that $\pi(h \cdot m) = h \cdot \pi(m) \quad \forall m \in kG, h \in kG.$

$$\text{RHS} = h \cdot \pi(m) = h \cdot \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot \underset{=}{p}(g^{-1} \cdot m) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} hg \cdot \underset{=}{p}(g^{-1} \cdot m) \stackrel{x:=hg}{=} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \underbrace{x} \cdot \underbrace{p(x^{-1} \cdot m)}$$

$$\text{LHS} = \pi(h \cdot m) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot \underset{=}{p}(g^{-1} \cdot h \cdot m) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \underbrace{g} \cdot \underbrace{p((g^{-1}h) \cdot m)} \quad \underline{\underline{\quad}} \quad \square$$

2. Consequences of Maschke's Thm.

From now on we focus on the field $k = \mathbb{C}$ which is alg. closed and has char. 0.

Thm 6.4. Let G be a finite gp. Then $\mathbb{C}G$ is s.s and therefore has an

A.W decomp
$$\mathbb{C}G \cong M_{n_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times M_{n_2}(\mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times M_{n_r}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Moreover, (a). The gp alg. $\mathbb{C}G$ has precisely r simple modules up to iso, the dimensions of these modules are n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r .

(b). We have $|G| = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i^2$.

(c). The gp G is abelian iff all simple $\mathbb{C}G$ -modules have dim 1.

Fact (Thm 6.12): The number r above also equals the number of conjugacy classes of G . ← will be proved next time.

Pf. (a). " $r = \#$ iso classes of simples of kG " : part of the A.W. theorem.

(Cor. 5.11.)

(b). " $|G| = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i^2$ " : take the dimensions of both sides of

$$kG \cong M_{n_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times M_{n_2}(\mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times M_{n_r}(\mathbb{C})$$

(c). " G is abelian $\Leftrightarrow n_i = 1 \forall i$ " :

G is abelian $\Leftrightarrow kG$ is comm. $\Leftrightarrow M_{n_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times M_{n_r}(\mathbb{C})$ is comm.

$\Leftrightarrow M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$ is comm. $\forall i$

$\Leftrightarrow n_i = 1 \forall 1 \leq i \leq r.$

□

3. A.W. decomp of $\mathbb{C}S_3$.

What does Thm 6.4 imply about the A.W. decomp of $\mathbb{C}S_3$?

+ our midterm

Say it's $\mathbb{C}S_3 = M_{n_1}^*(\mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times M_{n_r}(\mathbb{C})$

- We know each class of simple modules of $\mathbb{C}S_3$ gives rise to a factor

$$M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}) \quad m \text{ (*)}$$

- We also know that $\mathbb{C}S_3$ has a trivial module U and 2-dim simple module W appearing as submodules of the natural module $V = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle$,

with $U = \text{Span} \langle v_1 + v_2 + v_3 \rangle$, $W = \text{Span} \langle v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3 \rangle$, so

up to reordering we may assume that $r \geq 2$ and $n_1 = 1$, $n_2 = 2$.

By Thm 6.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 b = |S_3| &= n_1^2 + n_2^2 + \dots + n_r^2 \\
 &= 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n_r^2 \\
 &= 5 + \dots + n_r^2
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that we have to have $r=3$ and $n_3=1$; this n_3 must correspond to a simple $\mathbb{C}S_3$ -module which has dim 1 and is not iso. to the trivial module U .

What's that third simple $\mathbb{C}S_3$ -module?

A: It's the sign module $S = \mathbb{C}$ with the action $g \cdot 1 = \text{sgn}(g) \forall g \in S_3$.

(this module corresponds to the gp rep $S_3 \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C})$, $g \mapsto \text{sgn}(g)$.)

Recall: any symm. gp S_n has a sign module of dim 1 defined this way.

What about $\mathbb{C}S_4$?

$$\mathbb{C}S_4 \cong \prod_{i=1}^r M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$$

Why 1, 1, 2, 3, 3?
What exactly are the simples?

look up Young tableaux & Specht modules!

$$\cdot 24 = |S_4| = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i^2$$

• We know $r \geq 2$ because there should be two factors in $*$

corresponding to the trivial module and the sign module of $\mathbb{C}S_4$;

moreover, they have $\dim 1$, so we can assume $n_1 = n_2 = 1$.

• What's r ? We can use Thm 6.12 and gp theory.

$$r = \# \text{ conj classes in } S_4 = \# \text{ cycle types in } S_4 = 5.$$

$$\cdot \text{So: } 24 = 1^2 + 1^2 + n_3^2 + n_5^2$$

$$22 = n_3^2 + n_4^2 + n_5^2 \implies \{n_3, n_4, n_5\} = \{2, 3, 3\} \text{ by numerical considerations. } \square$$