

Last time: - almost finished the proof of Thm 4.23, on



Today: 1. finish the proof 2. applications of the theorem.

1. It remains to prove that $\Phi: A/J \rightarrow A/M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A/M_r$, $a+J \mapsto$

$(a+M_1, \dots, a+M_r) \cong$ an A -module iso where A is a finite-length alg. $J=J(A)$,

and M_1, \dots, M_r a minimal list of maximal submodules of A s.t. $J = \bigcap_{i=1}^r M_i$.

It further suffices to show that $E_i := (0, \dots, \underbrace{1+M_i}_{i\text{th spot}}, 0, \dots, 0) \in \text{Im } \Phi$, for

these E_i 's generate the module $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r A/M_i$.

Consider the module $\bigcap_{j \neq i} M_j$. By assumption, $\bigcap_{j \neq i} M_j \not\subseteq M_i$. Thus, since

$M_i \cap \bigcap_{j \neq i} M_j$ a maximal submodule of A , we have $M_i + \bigcap_{j \neq i} M_j = A$.

In particular, we have $1_A = m_i + y$ for some $m_i \in M_i$, $y \in \bigcap_{j \neq i} M_j$.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Phi}(y + J) &= (y + M_1, y + M_2, \dots, y + M_i, \dots, y + M_r) \\ &= (0, 0, \dots, \underline{1 - m_i + M_i}, \dots, 0) \\ &= (0, 0, \dots, \underline{1 + M_i}, \dots, 0) \\ &= \bar{E}_i.\end{aligned}$$

We are done. \square

2. Applications of Thm 4.23.

→ E.x. (HW 8.17) / Eg. 4.22.12).

(a). Semisimplcity criterion for algebras of the form $A = k[x] / \langle f \rangle$.

Strategy: A is f.d., so A has finite length and Thm 4.23 $\boxed{f = f_1^{a_1} \cdots f_r^{a_r}}$

applies. We'll compute the Jacobson radical J of A and determine when

$A \cong$ s.s. by determining when $J = 0$. We find J by computing the intersection of all max. left ideals of A .

to find the intersection of the max. ideals, use the Correspondence Thm

to find these ideals, then use the fact that in $k[x] / \langle f \rangle$, $\bigcap_{i=1}^r \langle g_i \rangle / \langle f \rangle = \langle \prod_{i=1}^r g_i \rangle / \langle f \rangle$
if g_1, \dots, g_r are pairwise coprime.

E.g. $f = (x-1)^2(x-2)$. $\rightarrow \langle g \rangle / \langle f \rangle$, g irr. $g \mid f$.
 $\langle x-1 \rangle / \langle f \rangle$, $\langle x-2 \rangle / \langle f \rangle$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} p \in \langle g_i \rangle \forall i \Rightarrow g_i \mid p \forall i \\ \Rightarrow \underline{\text{LCM}}(g_1, \dots, g_r) \mid p \Rightarrow p \in \underline{\text{LCM}} \end{array} \right)$$

(b). semi-simplicity criterion for path algebras of acyclic quivers.

Prop. Let Q be an acyclic quiver and let $A = kQ$. Then the Jacobson radical J of A is the subspace of A spanned by all paths of positive length in Q .

Note: For any algebra A , isomorphic modules M, N have equal annihilators, i.e., $\text{Ann}_A(M) = \text{Ann}_A(N)$ by preservation of scalar actions.

Pf: Recall (from Lecture 18, Mar. 01.) that the modules $S_i = Ae_i/J_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$ is a complete set of simple modules of A up to isomorphism, so $J = \bigcap_{i=1}^r \text{Ann}_A(S_i)$.

Here $r = |Q_0|$, $J_i = Ae_i^{\geq 1}$ is the span of all paths in Q starting at vertex i that have positive length.
we assume $Q_0 = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$

Note that

$$\text{Ann}_A(S_i) = \text{Ann}_A(Ae_i / J_i) = J_i \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j \neq i} Ae_j \right)$$

E.x. immediate from the def. of the A -action. $\varphi_i \left(\frac{Ae_i}{J_i} \right) = \varphi_i(Ae_i) + J_i$.

It follows that

$$J = \bigcap_{i=1}^r \left(J_i \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j \neq i} Ae_j \right) \right) = \text{the ideal equaling the}$$

span of all paths \rightarrow span of all positive-length paths in \mathcal{Q} .

except e_i . □

Corollary: (Corollary 4.27) Let $A = kQ$ for an acyclic quiver Q . Then A is s.s. iff Q has no arrows. Moreover, if Q has no arrows, then we have

$$A \cong \underbrace{k \times k \times \dots \times k}_{r \text{ times, } r = |Q_0|} \text{ as an algebra.}$$

Pf: The first claim follows immediately from the prop: A derived iso. for the second

statement can be given by $A = kQ = \text{Span} \{e_1, \dots, e_r\} \rightarrow k \times k \times \dots \times k$,

Rmk: (1). The decomposition $k \times k \times \dots \times k$ of the s.s. algebra $e_i \mapsto (0, \dots, \underbrace{1}_{i\text{th spot}}, 0, \dots)$.

A in the second statement is a special case of the Artin-Wedderburn Thm:

any s.s. algebra is of the form $M_{n_1}(D_1) \times \dots \times M_{n_r}(D_r)$ for some division algebras D_1, \dots, D_r over k .

(2). decomposition into simples vs. indecomposables. When \mathcal{Q} has any arrow, $k\mathcal{Q}$

(i) not s.s. so decomposing $A = k\mathcal{Q}$ into simple submodules is not that "interesting". It will still be interesting to decompose A into indecomposables.

another natural family of
"building blocks" for arbitrary
modules. \rightarrow Ch. 7. Krull-Schmidt
Thm.

(3). Recall that

$A = k\mathcal{Q}$ is not s.s.

\Downarrow

some A -module V is not s.s.

\Downarrow

some A -module V is not completely reducible
(i.e., V has a proper, nontrivial
submodule w/ no complement)

\longrightarrow Can we find such an A -module?

Next time: Artin-Wedderburn
Thm.