Last time: A k-algebra A is s.s (=> every A-module is s.s.

· Subalgebras of s.s. algebras may not be s.s. but hom. images.

TSO. Copies, quotients, direct sums and direct summands of

S.S. algebras are s.s. needs proof

Today: fhish the proof

· Jacobson radical (Thm 4.23)

Prop. (direct sum/summands) Let A., ---. Ar be finitely many k-alyebras. Then the direct product  $A := A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_r$  is a SS. algobra if each Ai is a s.s. algebra. Recall our preparation ight spot

(a) Let  $C_i = (0, 0, ..., | A_1, 0, -;; 0)$ . Then for every A-module M, we have  $M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \Sigma_{i} M$  as A-modules, (Lemma 3.30.)  $A_{i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A / \text{Span } |\Sigma_{j} : j = i \}$ (b) Consider the natural projection  $\pi_i$ :  $A \rightarrow A_i$ ,  $(a, a_i, -i, a_i) \rightarrow a_i$ It is a surj how and has kernel ker $\pi_i = Span\{\xi_j: j \neq \bar{u}\}$ . (c) Given an algebra A and a quetrent algebra B = A/I, a B-module V is so, as a B-module iff it's a s.s. A-module with IV = 0. (Thm 4.17.)

Pf: "only f": If A is s.s., then for each I \( i \) \( i \) the algebra Ai TS s.s. because Ai is 1so. to a quatient of A by (b). "if": Suppose A, Az, ---, Ar are all s.s. We show that A is s.s. by proving that every A-module M is s.s.

Consider the decomps  $M \stackrel{*}{=} G$   $E_i M$ . It suffres to show that M:=EiM is s.s. GS an A-module. Nove that Mr is an Ai-module via the action. A: × Mi - Mi, a: (6,0,-1,0.) m) = (0,--, a:,0,-.0) · m. But Ai II s.s., 10 M;
Ai ith spit

TS a s.s. Ai-module. A(so note A that m A P Mi, we have &j-Mi=0 ∀j≠v. Thus, { M: i) a s.s. A-module hence a s.s. A/Span {ε; |j≠i] module M: is a s.s. Ej·M:=0 ∀j∓i Thu4: ] A-module.

Jacobson Radical Let Abe a k-algebra. Def: The Jacobson radical J(A) of A is the intersection of all maximal left ideals of A-Note: It's not called a "left Jacobson ideal"... The reason is rt's a tow-orded rdeal and also the intersection of all maximal right ideals. (see Schitfler § 4.1) Def (nilpotent ideals) ( Recau that given two left ideals I, J & A, the set IJ = Spank[xy] xc I, ycJ] > again a left ideal; m partenlar, we have a Chain of ideals  $I = I^2 = I^3 = I^4 = \cdots$ 

We say an ideal ISA is rilpotent if the ideal I' is zero for some YZI.

Det: (annih: latur of mudula) Let M be an A-module. The annih: latur of M is the set  $Ann_A(M) = 5$  as  $A \mid a.m = 0 \forall m \in My$ . E.X. (HW) For any A module M, the set Ann (M) is a two-sided ideal of A.

Thm 4.23. Suppose that A has finite length. Let J=J(A). Then the following holds.

i.e., A has a comp series

- (a) I is the intersection of finitely many maximal left ideal.
- (b) We have  $J = \bigcap Ann_A(S)$ . S simples
- (c) J is a two-sized ideal.

Continued on the next page

(d). J is a nilpotent pdeal. Moreover, if n is the length of J, then J' = 0.

(d'). J contains every nilpotent left ideal I of A. -> not in the book, but in Schiffler (e). A/J is s.s. J 13 the smallest 2-stated rdeal whose corr. quotient is s.s. (f). If I  $\subseteq A$  is any two-rided ideal s.t. A/I is s.s., then  $J\subseteq I$ . (g). A TJ S.S. Iff J=0. — I measures s.s. of the algebra A.

ch). An A-module V is s.s. iff  $J \cdot V = 0$   $\overline{J}$  can detect s.s. of A-modules.

We'll start the proof today ... Pf: (a). (Sketch) "finite intersection" If not, we can find an infinite chain of ideals (A2)M1 ZM10M2 ZM10M20M3 ZM10M20M30M4 Z..... of intersections of maximal left ideals where all containments are proper. Write  $Ij = M, n_{1}, n_{2}, \dots, n_{m}$  then we have  $Ij/I_{j-1}$  is simple for all j>1 (Ex. we the 2nd 150 Thm). This cannot happen since A has finite length. (b) & (c): (b).  $J = \bigcap_{S \text{ simple}} \frac{Aun_A(S)}{(c)}$  (c) J is a two-sided ideal. two-sided ideal by Ex., so (b)  $\Longrightarrow$  (c).

We prove 6) next.

" $J = \bigcap_{S \text{ simple}} Ann(S)$ ": We first prove that  $J \ge \bigcap_{S \text{ simple}} Ann(S)$ . Suppose at A 1 on est which annihilates every single module S of A; we'll show that a EM for every maximal left order the grotient module A/M of the regular module. Since M 13 maximal, Sm 17 simple. Thus, a annihilates A/M. It follows that  $a \cdot A \subseteq M$  and thus  $a \in M$  (  $a \cdot S_m = o \Rightarrow a \cdot (a' + M) = aa' + M = o \Rightarrow aa' \in M \Rightarrow a \cdot | \in M$ ). Next we prove that  $J \subseteq \bigcap A_{mn}(S)$  by parving that  $J \subseteq A_{mn}(S)$ , i.e., JS = o, for an  $S \in A_{mn}(S)$ , i.e., JS = o, for an arbitrary simple A module S. Note that J.S is a submodule of S since J IJ a left robal. If JS=0, then we are done. If not, we must have JS=5 since S is simple. But then for each nunzero  $s' \in S$ , we have J : s' = S; in particular,  $\exists j \in J$  (t. j : S' = S'. But then (j-1) : S' = 0, hence  $j-1 \in Ann(S')$ , But  $j \in J \in Ann(S')$  (so I is maximal) so  $|=j-(j-1)\in Ann |s'|$ . This cannot happen sha 1.5'=5  $\neq 0$  more proofs next time...