

Last time: 1. equivalent definitions of s.s. modules:

↓ complete reducible, "being a sum of simples"

2. closure properties: hom. images, iso. copies, quotient, submodule
direct sums, direct summands of s.s. modules are s.s.

Today: Semisimple algebras: · examples

Main thm. → - an algebra A is s.s. iff every A -module is s.s.
(i.e. the regular A -mod is s.s.)

· closure properties: do we still have all the closure properties from 2?

1. Key examples:

(a) We've already seen that any matrix algebra $M_n(k)$ is s.s.

We'll see today that direct products of such algebras are also s.s.

(Later: Artin-Wedderburn Thm says that every s.s. alg. is isomorphic to such a direct prod.)

(b) We saw that $T_n(k)$ is not s.s.: nonzero submodules of $V = k^n$

intersect nontrivially, so V is not a direct sum of them, so $T_n(k)$ cannot be s.s. by the main theorem.

Note: (a) and (b) show that a subalgebra of a s.s. algebra may not be s.s.

For discussion on when an algebra of the form $k[x]/\langle f \rangle$ is s.s., see Prop 4.14.

($\psi: \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i \rightarrow V$) Now, ψ is surjective because $\forall v \in \text{Im } \psi \exists i \in I$, so $V = \text{Im } \psi$.

Since A is a s.s. \checkmark module by assumption, $\bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$ is s.s. It follows that the hom. image

$\text{Im } \psi = V$ is s.s. \square

3. New s.s. algebras from old.

We saw from the example $T_n(k) \subseteq M_n(k)$ that a subalg. of a s.s. algebra A may not be s.s. What about algebras related to A in other ways?

S_i is a B -module: We show S_i is a submodule of V as a B -module because: (1). S_i is a subspace of V since S_i is an A -submodule of V . (2). S_i is closed under the B -action, i.e., $b \cdot s \in S_i \quad \forall s \in S_i$.

φ is surj $\Rightarrow b = \varphi(a)$ for some $a \in A \Rightarrow b \cdot s = \varphi(a) \cdot s = \underline{a \cdot s} \in S_i$. \checkmark
 S_i is an A -module.

S_i is a simple B -module: Let U be a nonzero B -submodule of S_i . Then it again may be viewed (by "induction") as an A -submodule of S_i . Since S_i is a simple A -module, it follows that $U = S_i$. We just proved that a B -submodule of S_i is either zero or S_i , therefore S_i is a simple B -submodule.

Corollary 1: (Iso copies) If A and B are iso. algebras, then A is s.s.

iff B is s.s.

Pf: Take an iso $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$, consider the surj hom φ and φ^{-1} , and apply the prop. \square

Corollary 2: (Quotients) Let A be a s.s. algebra and let I be a two-sided ideal of A . Then A/I is s.s.

Pf: Apply the proposition to the natural projection hom $\pi: A \rightarrow A/I$
 $a \mapsto a+I$
which is surj. \square

Thm 2. (Thm 4.17.) Let A be a k -algebra and let I be a two-sided proper ideal of A . Let $B = A/I$ and view every B -module V as an A -module via the induced action $a \cdot v = \pi(a) \cdot v = (a+I) \cdot v \quad \forall a \in A, v \in V$.

Then for every B -module V , we have

V is a s.s. B -module $\iff V$ is a s.s. A -module such that $I \cdot V = 0$.
"going between modules of an algebra (A) and its quotients"

Pf: E.x. see [EH].

Corollary 3. (direct sum/summands) Let A_1, \dots, A_r be finitely many k -algebras.

Then the direct product $A := A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_r$ is a s.s. algebra iff each A_i is a s.s. algebra.

Preparation: (a) Let $\epsilon_i = (0, 0, \dots, \overset{i\text{th spot}}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)$. Note that for every A -module M , we have $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \epsilon_i M$ as A -modules. (Lemma 3.30.)

(b) Consider the natural projection $\pi_i: A \rightarrow A_i, (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) \mapsto a_i$. It is a surj hom and has kernel $\ker \pi_i = \text{span}\{\epsilon_j : j \neq i\}$.

Pf: next time!