

Last time: · Construction of the maps  $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{reps of} \\ \mathcal{A} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{G} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{reps of} \\ \mathcal{K}\mathcal{G} \end{array} \right\}$ .

· discussion of the "equivalence."

Today:

· More on  $F$  &  $G$ . Categorical equivalence.

· Schur's Lemma.

# 1. A categorical equivalence Let $Q$ be a quiver.

• Def: (a) (subreps, simplicity, homomorphisms) Given a rep  $V = (V_i, \varphi_\alpha)_{i \in Q_0, \alpha \in Q_1}$

of  $Q$ , a subrepresentation of  $V$  is a tuple  $W = (W_i, \psi_\alpha)_{i \in Q_0, \alpha \in Q_1}$ ,

st. •  $W_i$  is a subspace of  $V_i$  for all  $i \in Q_0$ . •  $\psi_\alpha$  is just the restriction

$\varphi_\alpha|_{W_i}$  of  $\varphi_\alpha$  to  $W_i$  for every arrow  $\alpha: i \rightarrow j$  in  $Q$ , and •  $\psi_\alpha(W_i) \subseteq W_j$

for all such arrows  $\alpha: i \rightarrow j$ .  $\left( \begin{array}{ccc} \varphi_\alpha: V_i & \rightarrow & V_j \\ \downarrow & \text{UI} & \text{UI} \\ \psi_\alpha|_{W_i}: W_i & \rightarrow & W_j \end{array} \right)$ ; clearly the conditions make  $W$

a rep of  $Q$  in its own right.)

$$\uparrow \quad 0 = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} V_i = 0 & , & \varphi_\alpha = 0 \\ \psi_i & & \psi_\alpha \end{array} \right)$$

(b) We say  $V$  is simple if  $\uparrow$  the only subrepresentations of  $V$  is  $\underline{0}$  and  $V$ .  
 $\uparrow$   $V \neq 0$  and

(c). Let  $V' = (V_i', \varphi'_\alpha)$  be a rep of  $Q$ . A homomorphism from  $V$  to  $V'$

is a set of linear transformations  $\Phi = (\phi_i : V_i \rightarrow V_i')_{i \in V}$  that is

compatible with the repr. maps in the sense that the following square commutes, i.e.,

$$\alpha: i \rightarrow j \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \Phi \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V: & V_i & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\alpha} & V_j & \swarrow \\ & \phi_i \downarrow & \circ & \downarrow \phi_j & \\ V': & V_i' & \xrightarrow{\varphi'_\alpha} & V_j' & \end{array}$$

$$\phi_j \circ \varphi_\alpha(v) = \varphi'_\alpha \circ \phi_i(v) \quad \forall v \in V_i.$$

An isomorphism of reps is a bijective hom: we say  $\Phi = (\phi_i)$  is an isomorphism

if each  $\phi_i$  is a bijective hom.

Fact: These def for reps of  $Q$  correspond well with the analogs for  $\mathbb{K}Q$ -modules:

e.g.  $W \subset V$  sub rep for  $Q \rightarrow F(W)$  is a submodule of  $F(V)$ . ; iff  $Q$  is simple ;  $\Phi$  to a module hom.

Upshot: Really, there's an equivalence of categories between

the category of  
reps of  $Q$   
(containing the reps and rep  
homomorphisms)

$\longleftrightarrow$

the category of  
modules of  $kQ$   
(containing the modules of  $kQ$   
and module homs)

so we can talk about  $kQ$  modules via quiver reps (which requires little more than basic linear algebra).

### Bounded quiver algebras

The equivalence  $Q\text{-reps} \cong kQ\text{-mod}$  can be generalized to account for relations on a quiver:

Def: A relation on a quiver  $Q$  is an eqn  $r = \sum_{p \in S} c_p \cdot p \in kQ$

where all paths  $p$  in  $S$  share the same source and share the same target.

e.g.  $1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 2 \xrightarrow{\beta} 3$   $r = \alpha$  is a relation  $\xrightarrow{\text{natural}} \varphi(r) = \varphi_\alpha$

$\delta \searrow \downarrow \gamma$   
 $4$   $r' = 3\gamma\beta - 5\delta$  is a relation  $\rightarrow \varphi(r') = 3\varphi_\gamma\varphi_\beta - 5\varphi_\delta$

Def: A rep  $(V_i, \varphi_\alpha)$  of  $Q$  is said to satisfy a relation  $r$  if " $\varphi(r)$ " is the zero map.

Thm: There is a natural categorical equivalence, for every ideal  $I \subseteq kQ$ ,

$\downarrow$   
 Schiffler.  $\left( \begin{array}{l} \text{cat. of reps of } Q \\ \text{satisfying all relations} \\ \text{in } I \end{array} \right) \leftrightarrow \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{cat. of modules} \\ \text{of } kQ/I \end{array} \right)$

the categories of modules of  $A$  and  $kQ/I$  are "equivalent".

Thm: Every finite dim.  $k$ -algebra is "Morita equivalent" to a quotient  $kQ/I$  for some quiver  $Q$  and some ideal  $I \subseteq kQ$ .

Thus, using quiver representations we can essentially understand reps of  
(linear algebra!)  
all finite dimensional  $k$ -algebras.

## 2. Schur's Lemma

$k$  is algebraically closed: every polynomial in  $k[x]$  of deg  $n$  has  $n$  roots in  $k$ .

Thm 3.33. (Schur's Lemma) Let  $A$  be a  $k$ -alg. Let  $S, T$  be simple  $A$ -modules.

(a)  $A$  <sup>module</sup> hom  $\varphi: S \rightarrow T \Rightarrow$  either  $0$  or an isomorphism (hence invertible).

In particular,  $\text{End}_A(S) = \{ \varphi: S \rightarrow S \mid \varphi \text{ is an } A\text{-module hom} \} \Rightarrow$  a division algebra.

(b) If  $V$  is f.d. and  $k$  algebraically closed, then  $\varphi = \lambda \text{id}_V$  for some  $\lambda \in k$ .

Pf: (a). Say  $\varphi \neq 0$ . Then  $\ker \varphi \neq V$  and  $\text{Im} \varphi \neq 0$ . Simplicity of  $V$  then forces

$\ker \varphi = 0$  and  $\text{Im} \varphi = V$ , so  $\varphi$  is inj and surj, hence an iso.

(b). Need fact: If  $k$  is alg. closed then every  $k$ -linear map  $f: W \rightarrow W$  on a f.d.

$k$ -v.s.  $W$  has at least one eigenvector. Pf: next time / try it!