

Last time:

• module homs and isos.

• mentioned a fact about modules of $A = \frac{\mathbb{C}[x]}{\langle x^n - 1 \rangle}$:

$\forall \lambda \in U := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda^n - 1 = 0 \}$, there is a 1-d
module $V_\lambda \stackrel{=k}{\text{of}} A$ s.t. $x + \langle x^n - 1 \rangle =: \bar{x} \cdot 1 = \lambda \cdot 1$.

• In fact, there is a bijection $U \rightarrow \{ \text{1-d modules of } A \} / \text{iso}$
 $\lambda \mapsto V_\lambda$.

Today:

• Working towards modules of $k[x]$ and its quotients. § 2.2

• Representations of algebras. Representations vs. modules. § 2.4.

1. Representation. Let A be a k -algebra.

Def. (Representations of an algebra; Def. 2.31)

A representation of A over k consists of a k -v.s. V and a k -algebra homomorphism $\theta : A \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V) \cong M_n(k)$

Note: Once we fix a basis of V , we can identify $\text{End}_k(V)$ with $M_n(k)$ via the map $f \mapsto [f]_{\beta}^{\beta}$, so a representation of A can be identified as a pair (V, θ') where V is a k -v.s. and θ' is an algebra hom $\theta' : A \rightarrow M_n(k)$.

• (actions, actions, actions) Given a rep. of A $\theta: A \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$, for each $a \in A$ we have $\theta(a) \in \text{End}_k(V)$, so $\theta(a)$ acts on V as a linear map.

we can think of this action as an "action of a ", similar to how a would act on a module. → the same! we'll see
Point: rep \rightarrow actions on V by elems of A .

An important equivalence.

Thm 2.33. Let A be a k -algebra.

(1). Suppose $(V, \theta: A \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V))$ is a repn of A , then the action defined by , i.e., the map $A \times V \rightarrow V$ defined by

$$a \cdot v = \underbrace{\theta(a)}_{\uparrow \text{End}_k(V)}(v) \in V$$

makes V an A -module.

(2). Given an A -module V with an action $A \times V \rightarrow V$, $(a, v) \mapsto a \cdot v$,
 the pair $(V, \theta: A \rightarrow \text{End}_K(V))$ where

$$\theta(a)(v) = a \cdot v$$

is a rep of A .

(3) The constructions $\{\text{reps of } A\} \xrightleftharpoons[\text{(2)}]{\text{(1)}} \{A\text{-modules}\}$ are mutual inverses.

Pf. Let's prove (1). **Given:** rep $A \xrightarrow{\theta} \text{End}_K(V)$, **Constructed:** $A \times V \rightarrow V$
 $a \cdot v = \theta(a)(v)$.

We need to check the module axioms.

$$(i) \quad a \cdot (v+w) = \theta(a)(v+w) \stackrel{\theta(a) \text{ is lin}}{=} \theta(a)(v) + \theta(a)(w) = a \cdot v + a \cdot w \quad \forall v, w \in V.$$

$$(ii). (a+b) \cdot v = \theta(a+b) \cdot v \stackrel{\theta \text{ is lin}}{=} [\theta(a) + \theta(b)](v) = \theta(a)(v) + \theta(b)(v) = a \cdot v + b \cdot v$$

$$(iii). (ab) \cdot v = \theta(ab) \cdot v \stackrel{\theta \text{ is mult.}}{=} [\theta(a) \circ \theta(b)](v) = \theta(a)(\theta(b)(v)) = a \cdot (b \cdot v)$$

$$(iv). 1_A \cdot v = \theta(1_A) \cdot v \stackrel{\theta \text{ is unital}}{=} 1_{\text{End}_K(V)}(v) = \text{id}_V(v) = v$$

$$\forall a, b \in A, v \in V.$$

By (i) - (iv), V is an A -module.

HW: Prove (2) and (3).

Thm: " R -modules $\equiv R$ -reps". (Change V to M and erase K from thm 2.33; everything still holds)

Note: We've done something differently from before: we directly treated K -algebras

instead of more general rings. But the def/thm generalize to rings.

Def: A rep of a ring R is a pair (M, θ) where M is an abelian gp

and θ is a ring hom $\theta: R \rightarrow \text{End}(M) := \{ \text{gp homs } f: M \rightarrow M \}$.

Example/Application.

Induced actions, revisited.

Recall that if A, B are algebras, V a B -module, and $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ alg hom.

$A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B \otimes V$. We proved that V is also an A -module via the action $a \cdot v = \frac{\varphi(a)}{\uparrow B} \cdot (v)$ by checking the module axioms.

But given Thm 2.33, no direct verification is necessary.

V is a B -module \implies the map $\theta: B \rightarrow \text{End}_K(V)$, $b \mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b \cdot \\ b \end{smallmatrix} \right)$, the action of b is an algebra hom

\implies the map $\theta': A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B \xrightarrow{\theta} \text{End}_K(V)$, $a \mapsto \theta(\varphi(a)) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \varphi(a) \cdot \\ \varphi(a) \end{smallmatrix} \right)$, the action of $\varphi(a)$

\implies V is an A -module under $a \cdot v = \theta'(a)(v) = \varphi(a) \cdot v$!!! is an alg. hom

2. Modules of $k[x]$ and its quotients.

General observation: The R -module axioms " $(r+s) \cdot m = r \cdot m + s \cdot m$ " and

" $(rs) \cdot m = r \cdot (s \cdot m)$ " implies that knowing the action of a generating set of R on M is enough for knowing the action of all elems of R on M .
(M an R -module).

Special case: The algebra $A = k[x]$ is generated by x (and 1 , which has to act as identity on any module), to specify/know the A -action on an A -module M , it suffices to specify/know the action of x .

Conversely, given any vector space V and any linear map $\alpha: V \rightarrow V$,

We may in fact make V an $k[x]$ module (i.e.

$$\underline{x \cdot v = \alpha(v)}.$$

Note: (Uniqueness & formula) \downarrow If such a module exists, then it's unique because the action of x determines the action of all of A .

eg. $x \cdot v = \alpha(v) \rightarrow (2x^2 - x + 3) \cdot v = 2x^2 \cdot v - x \cdot v + 3 \cdot v$
 $= 2\alpha^2(v) - \alpha(v) + 3v$

(Existence) Why does such a module always exist.

Two proofs: (1) Prove that there is a module $V_{\alpha} = V$ st. $f(x) \cdot v = f(\alpha)(v)$; $\uparrow k[x]$ $*$
in particular, $x \cdot v = \alpha(v)$; see p. 33. (2). Universal property.

A universal property proof.

Prop: Let V be a k -vec. space. For any $\alpha \in \text{End}_k(V)$ we can make

V a $k[X]$ -module st. $X \cdot v = \alpha(v)$.

Pf: (Easy fact: $k[X] \cong k\langle X \rangle$) By Thm 2.33, it suffices to construct
via $\varphi: X \mapsto x$

an alg hom $\theta: k[X] \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$ with $\theta(x) = \alpha$. Recall that

$k\langle X \rangle$ is the free unital algebra on the set $X = \{x\}$. (HW 2.18)

function $f: X \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$ with $f(x) = \alpha$ induces a unique algebra hom \bar{f} with

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x & & \alpha \\ \downarrow & & \\ X & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & \text{End}_k(V) \end{array}$$

$$\bar{f} \circ \iota = f, \Rightarrow \bar{f}(x) = \bar{f}(\iota(x)) = f(x) = \alpha.$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & & \\ \iota & \dashrightarrow & \exists! \bar{f} \\ k\langle X \rangle & & \end{array}$$

Composing \bar{f} with φ gives the desired hom

$$\theta = \bar{f} \circ \varphi: k[X] \rightarrow k\langle X \rangle \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V), x \mapsto \alpha. \quad \square$$