

Last time:

- Submodules
- Quotient modules.
- Simplicity of $M_n(k) \curvearrowright k^n$

Today.

- Definition of module homomorphisms
- More new modules from old: "induced" modules, direct sums/products.

1. Def. of module homomorphisms.

Def. Let R be a ring and let M, N be R -module. A map $\phi: M \rightarrow N$ is called an R -module homomorphism if

$$(i) \quad \phi(m_1 + m_2) = \phi(m_1) + \phi(m_2) \quad \forall m_1, m_2 \in M$$

$$(ii) \quad \phi(r \cdot m) = r \cdot \phi(m) \quad \forall r \in R, m \in M.$$

An R -module hom $\phi: M \rightarrow N$ is called an isomorphism if it's bijective.

Note: We'll look at module hom more carefully soon.

Right now, we'll just note that there's a natural notion of "isomorphic modules".

2. "Induced" modules (Example 2.4. (4) & (5))

Let A, B be rings and let M be an B -module.

(a) If $A \subseteq B$, i.e., if A is a subring of B , then M is

naturally also an A -module with the same (inherited) action. EX: convince yourself that the axioms $\forall a \in A, m \in M$ hold.

$$\underset{A \subseteq B}{\overset{\uparrow}{A}} \cdot m = \underline{a \cdot m} \quad \rightarrow \text{whatever it is in } M \text{ as a } B\text{-module}$$

Eg $\underline{k} \hat{=} \underline{A} = \{ \lambda \text{Id}_n \mid \lambda \in k \} \subseteq \underline{B} = M_n(k) \quad (\hookrightarrow k^n =: \underline{M}, \quad n > 1).$

Jacob's question: M is simple as a B -module. Is it still simple as an A -module?

Answer: No. For all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $M_i := \text{Span}(e_i)$ is a submodule of M when M is viewed as an A -module. In fact, $\text{Span}(v)$ is a submodule $\forall v \in k^n$.

(b) More generally, if there is a ring hom $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$

(for (i), $\varphi = i: A \rightarrow B$, $i(a) = a \quad \forall a \in A$)

then any B -module M can be made an A -module with the action given by

$$a \cdot m = \varphi(a) \cdot m \quad \forall a \in A, m \in M$$

Let's check the axioms.

- (i) $a \cdot (m+n) \stackrel{\text{green}}{=} \underbrace{\varphi(a)}_B \cdot (m+n) = \varphi(a) \cdot m + \varphi(a) \cdot n \stackrel{\text{green}}{=} a \cdot m + a \cdot n$
- (ii) $1_A \cdot m \stackrel{\text{green}}{=} \varphi(1_A) \cdot m \stackrel{\text{blue}}{=} 1_B \cdot m = m$
- (iii) $(a+a') \cdot m \stackrel{\text{green}}{=} \varphi(a+a') \cdot m \stackrel{\text{blue}}{=} [\varphi(a) + \varphi(a')] \cdot m = \varphi(a) \cdot m + \varphi(a') \cdot m \stackrel{\text{green}}{=} a \cdot m + a' \cdot m$
- (iv) $(aa') \cdot m \stackrel{\text{green}}{=} \varphi(aa') \cdot m \stackrel{\text{blue}}{=} [\varphi(a) \varphi(a')] \cdot m = \varphi(a) [\varphi(a') \cdot m] \stackrel{\text{green}}{=} \varphi(a) [a' \cdot m] \stackrel{\text{green}}{=} a \cdot (a' \cdot m)$
- $\left. \begin{array}{l} \forall a, a' \in A, \\ m, n \in M \\ \text{blue } \circ: \varphi \text{ is a hom} \\ \text{green } \square: \text{ def of } \\ \text{APM} \end{array} \right\}$

Note: We'll have another explanation for why M is "certainly" an A -module this way.

3. Direct products / sums

Def. (External direct sum/product ; Def 2.17, P.38)

Let R be a ring and let $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of R -modules for some index set I .

(a) The Cartesian product $\prod_{i \in I} M_i = \{ (m_i)_{i \in I} \mid m_i \in M_i \text{ for all } i \in I \}$ is naturally an R -module with componentwise add. an R -action. $((m_i)_i + (n_i)_i = (m_i + n_i)_i ; r \cdot (m_i)_i = (r \cdot m_i)_i)$; it is called the direct product of the family $(M_i)_{i \in I}$.

(b) The subset $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i = \{ (m_i)_{i \in I} \mid m_i \in M_i \forall i, m_i \neq 0 \text{ for only finitely many } i \in I \}$

of $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ is a submodule of $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$; it's called the direct sum of $(M_i)_{i \in I}$.

Note: If the indexing family I is finite, then $\prod M_i = \bigoplus M_i$.

Def (internal direct sum, Def. 2.15. (b). p37)

Let R be a ring and let M be an R -module.

We say M is the direct sum of a family $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of R -submodules, denoted

$M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} U_i$, if the following holds:

(i). $M = \sum_{i \in I} U_i$, that is, every elt $m \in M$ is a finite sum of elts from the submodules U_i .

(ii). For every $j \in I$ we have $U_j \cap \sum_{i \neq j} U_i = 0$

E.g. We saw that for $k \cong \underline{A} = \{ \lambda \text{Id}_n \mid \lambda \in k \} \subseteq \underline{B} = M_n(k) \cong k^n =: \underline{M}$ ($A \cong M$)

$U_i := \text{Span}(e_i)$ is a submodule of M for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. The collection $(U_i)_{i \in [n]}$ satisfies (i) and (ii) by linear algebra, so $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n U_i$.

Notation:

$[n] := \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$

$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

Note: (1) Recall from gp theory that for a gp G and a family

$\{G_i : i \in I\}$ of subgps of G , G is isomorphic to the external direct

sum $\overset{\text{Ex}}{\bigoplus_{i \in I} G_i}$ iff $G = \bigoplus_{i \in I} G_i$ as an internal direct sum.

via the map $\overset{\text{Ex.}}{\bigoplus G_i} \rightarrow G$, $(g_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \sum g_i$.

(2) The same fact holds for R -modules: for an R -module M and a family

of submodules $(U_i)_{i \in I}$, M is iso to the external dir. sum $\overset{\text{Ex}}{\bigoplus U_i}$

iff $M = \bigoplus U_i$ as an internal direct sum (i.e., iff (i) and (ii) hold)

via the map $\overset{\text{Ex.}}{\bigoplus U_i} \rightarrow \bigoplus U_i$, $(u_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} u_i$.

Pf: Hw.