

Last time: (Let  $A$  be a square matrix throughout this lecture.)

To find the e-values & e-vecs of  $A$ , use the facts that

- the e-values of  $A$  are the roots of the char. eq.  $\chi(A)$ .
- the e-space of each e-value  $\lambda$  is  $E_\lambda = \text{Null}(A - \lambda I)$ .

in particular, we can find  
a basis and the dim of  $E_\lambda$ .

Today: how eigenvalues/eigenvectors relate to  
the diagonalization problem.

$$A \longrightarrow A = P D P^{-1}$$

↙ diagonal  
↑ invertible

## 1. Algebraic vs. geometric multiplicity (of eigenvalues)

Def 1. Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $A$ , so that  $\chi(A) = \det(A - xI) = (x - \lambda)^m \dots$ .

Then the algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda$  is the largest positive number  $m$  such that  $(x - \lambda)^m$  divides  $\chi(A)$ , i.e., it is the multiplicity of the root  $\lambda$  for  $\chi(A)$ .

Ex. By the last lecture the matrix  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 3 \\ -3 & -5 & -3 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  has char. poly

$\chi(B) = -(x-1)(x+2)^2$ , so  $B$  has two eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = -2$ ;

they have algebraic mult. 1 and 2, respectively.

**Def 2.** Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $A$ . Then the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda$  is the dimension of the eigenspace  $E_\lambda$  of  $A$ .

**Eg.** Same  $B$  as before:  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 3 \\ -3 & -5 & -3 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Eigenvalues:  $\lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = -2$ .

$$E_{\lambda_1}: E_{\lambda_1} = \text{Null}(B - \lambda_1 I) = \text{Null}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1-1 & 3 & 3 \\ -3 & -5-1 & -3 \\ 3 & 3 & 1-1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \text{Null}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & 3 \\ -3 & -6 & -3 \\ 3 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & 3 & | & 0 \\ -3 & -6 & -3 & | & 0 \\ 3 & 3 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & | & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & -1 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \textcircled{1} & 0 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & \textcircled{1} & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow E_{\lambda_1} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} : \begin{array}{l} x-z=0 \\ y+z=0 \\ z \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} z \\ -z \\ z \end{bmatrix} = z \cdot \underline{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}} : z \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$\Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  is a basis of  $E_{\lambda_1}$ , so geom. mult  $(\lambda_1) = \dim(E_{\lambda_1}) = 1$ .  
 $\hookrightarrow$  equals alg. mult  $(\lambda_1)$ .

$$\lambda_2 = -2: \quad \bar{E}_{\lambda_2} = \text{Null}(B - \lambda_2 I) = \text{Null}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 & 3 \\ -3 & -3 & -3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 3 & 3 & 3 & 0 \\ -3 & -3 & -3 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \begin{array}{ccc|c} x & y & z & \\ \textcircled{1} & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{E}_{\lambda_2} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} : \begin{array}{l} x+y+z=0 \\ y, z \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -y-z \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = y \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + z \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} : y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \text{ is a basis of } \bar{E}_{\lambda_2}, \text{ so}$$

$$\text{geom. mult}(\lambda_2) = \dim(\bar{E}_{\lambda_2}) = 2.$$

Note: again,  $\text{geom. mult}(\lambda_2) = \text{alg. mult.}(\lambda_2)$  here.

E.g.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 3 \\ -4 & -6 & -3 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

E.x.: show that  $\chi(A) \stackrel{(*)}{=} (1-x)(x+2)^2$ .

$\Downarrow$

alg. mult  $(\lambda_1) = 1$ , alg. mult  $(\lambda_2) = 2$ .  $\lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = -2$ .

E.x. geom. mult  $(\lambda_1) = 1$ .

We'll show that geom. mult  $(\lambda_2) = 1 \leq$  alg. mult  $(\lambda_2)$ :

$$E_{\lambda_2} = \text{Null}(A - \lambda_2 I) = \text{Null}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 3 \\ -4 & -4 & -3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 4 & 4 & 3 & 0 \\ -4 & -4 & -3 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} \textcircled{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \textcircled{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow E_{\lambda_2} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} : \begin{array}{l} x+y=0 \\ z=0 \\ y \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -y \\ y \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = y \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} : y \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \text{ is a basis for } E_{\lambda_2},$$

so geom. mult  $(\lambda_2) = 1$ .

## 2. The diagonalizability theorem

Thm. Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix.

(1). For every eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $A$ , we have

$$1 \leq \text{geom. mult.}(\lambda) \leq \text{alg. mult.}(\lambda)$$

(Note: as the last example shows,  $\text{geom. mult.}(\lambda)$  can be strictly smaller than  $\text{alg. mult.}(\lambda)$ .)

(2). The matrix  $A$  is diagonalizable, i.e., there exists a diagonal matrix  $D$  and an invertible matrix  $P$  s.t.  $A = PDP^{-1}$ ,

iff for every e-value  $\lambda$  of  $A$  we have  $\text{alg. mult.}(\lambda) = \text{geom. mult.}(\lambda)$ .

(eg. for the matrix  $B$  earlier, this is the case, so  $B$  is diagonalizable;

for the matrix  $A$  on the last page, this is not the case, so  $A$  is not diagonalizable.)

13) Suppose the condition shaded in green in (2) holds, i.e., suppose  $\text{algmult}(\lambda) = \text{geomult}(\lambda)$  for every e-value  $\lambda$  of  $A$ . Suppose further that  $B_1 = \{v_{11}, v_{12}, \dots, v_{1n_1}\}$ ,  $B_2 = \{v_{21}, v_{22}, \dots, v_{2n_2}\}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $B_k = \{v_{k1}, v_{k2}, \dots, v_{kn_k}\}$  are bases of the eigenspaces  $E_{\lambda_1}, \dots, E_{\lambda_k}$  where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  is a complete, irredundant list of e-values of  $A$ .

Then we have the set  $B = \{v_{11}, \dots, v_{1n_1}, v_{21}, \dots, v_{2n_2}, \dots, v_{k1}, \dots, v_{kn_k}\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and

$$A = P D P^{-1} \text{ where } D = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c} \lambda_1 & & \\ \hline \lambda_1 & & \\ \hline \vdots & & \\ \hline \lambda_1 & & \\ \hline & \lambda_2 & \\ & \vdots & \\ & \lambda_2 & \\ & & \ddots \end{array} \right] \text{ and } P = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c} v_{11} & \dots & v_{1n_1} \\ \hline v_{21} & \dots & v_{2n_2} \\ \hline \dots & & \dots \end{array} \right].$$

Eg. For the matrix  $B$ , we have  $B = PDP^{-1}$  where

$$D = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{array} \right], \quad P = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ \hline -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

↑ basis for  $E_1$       ↑ basis for  $E_{-2}$ .

Note. If for some eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $A$ ,  $\text{alg. mult}(\lambda) = 1$ , then part (i) of the forces  $\text{geom. mult}(\lambda) = 1 = \text{alg. mult}(\lambda)$ .

Corollary. If all eigenvalues of  $A$  have  $\text{alg. mult.} = 1$ , then  $A$  is diagonalizable.

In contrast, if some eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $A$  has  $\text{alg. mult}(\lambda) > 1$ , then to find out if  $A$  is diagonalizable we generally have to compute  $E_\lambda$  explicitly.



Eg. Determine if the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 2 \\ -4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is diagonalizable.

If so, find a closed formula for  $A^k$  where  $k$  is a positive integer.

Next time: · explaining the thm.

· diagonalization examples.

· inner products.