

Last time: Properties of matrix multiplication:

- No commutativity or cancellation for multiplication:

in general,

$$AB \neq BA$$

$$AB = AC \not\Rightarrow B = C$$

$$AB = CB \not\Rightarrow A = C$$

- Other obvious generalizations of scalar arithmetic

do work (e.g.  $A(B+C) = AB+AC$ )

- Multiplying with a diagonal matrix  $D = \text{diag}_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ :

left mult by  $D$  ( $A \mapsto DA$ ) scales the rows of a matrix  $A$ ;

right  $\dots \dots (A \mapsto AD) \dots \dots$  cols of  $\dots \dots$

e.g.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 3 & 9 \\ 0 & 4 & -4 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 4 & 15 \\ 0 & 4 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$



e.g. (identity matrix) We call the matrix  $I_n := \text{diag}(1, 1, \dots, 1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix, then  $I_n A = A = A I_n$ .

Ex. If  $A, D$  are

$n \times n$  and  $D \mapsto$   
diag. Is

$$AD = DA$$

in general?

Today:

- associativity of matrix multiplication, via linear maps.
- more matrix operation(s): inversion, transposition, powers.

## 1. Associativity.

Q: Why is matrix mult. defined this way?

$$\begin{array}{l} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 10 \\ 15 & 22 \end{bmatrix} \text{ by the "row-col rule".} \\ \searrow \neq \begin{bmatrix} 1 \cdot 1 & 2 \cdot 2 \\ 3 \cdot 3 & 4 \cdot 4 \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

Answer: So that it's compatible with composition ... (next page)

Setting: Let  $A, B$  be  $m \times n$  and  $n \times p$  matrices and let  $T_A, T_B$  be the associated linear maps  $T_A: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $T_A(x) = Ax \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$T_B: \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $T_B(x) = Bx \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^p$ .

It now makes sense to consider the composition

$$T_A \circ T_B: \quad \mathbb{R}^p \xleftarrow{T_B} \mathbb{R}^n \xleftarrow{T_A} \mathbb{R}^m$$

Fact: The composition of two linear maps is another linear map.

Thus,  $T_A \circ T_B: \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  has a standard matrix  $M$ .

$$\left( T_A \leftrightarrow A, \quad T_B \leftrightarrow B, \quad T_A \circ T_B \leftrightarrow \begin{matrix} ? \\ M \end{matrix} \right)$$

Thm: In the above setting, the standard matrix of  $T_A \circ T_B$  is

exactly  $AB$ , i.e., we have  $T_A \circ T_B(x) = (AB)x \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^p$ .

(the standard matrix of the comp is the product of the standard matrices)

Pf: Write  $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_p \end{bmatrix}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , and write  $B = [b_1 | b_2 | \dots | b_p]$ .

$$\text{Then } T_A \circ T_B(x) = T_A(T_B(x)) = T_A(Bx) = A \cdot (Bx)$$

$$= A \cdot (x_1 b_1 + \dots + x_p b_p) \xrightarrow[\text{w/ } A]{\text{lin. of mult}} x_1 (Ab_1) + x_2 (Ab_2) + \dots + x_p (Ab_p)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} Ab_1 & | & Ab_2 & | & \dots & | & Ab_p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_p \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} Ab_1 & | & Ab_2 & | & \dots & | & Ab_p \end{bmatrix}}_{AB} \cdot x = (AB)x. \quad \square$$

We can deduce the associativity of mat. mult from the theorem:

Let  $A, B, C$  be  $m \times n$ ,  $n \times p$ ,  $p \times q$  matrices. And consider the maps

$T_A: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $T_B: \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $T_C: \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$  given by left

mult. by  $A, B, C$ , respectively.

By the theorem,  $(AB)C$  is the standard matrix of  $(T_A \circ T_B) \circ T_C$   
and  $A(BC)$  is  $\dots \dots \dots T_A \circ (T_B \circ T_C)$

But the maps  $(T_A \circ T_B) \circ T_C$  and  $T_A \circ (T_B \circ T_C)$  are equal because composition of functions is associative. It follows that  $(AB)C = A(BC)$ .  $\square$ .

E.g. (for the Thm). Take  $m=n=p=2$ . Consider the linear maps.

$$T_A = T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x \\ -y \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \text{refl. w.r.t to the } x \text{ axis}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$T_B = S: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} y \\ x \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \text{refl. w.r.t. to the line } y=x, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

compose :

$$T \circ S: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{S} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ x \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{T} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ -x \end{bmatrix}$$

linear. the standard matrix  
for  $T \circ S = T_A \circ T_B \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{bmatrix} T \circ S(e_1) & T \circ S(e_2) \end{bmatrix} = \underline{\underline{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}}$$

What about  $AB$ ?

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \underline{\underline{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}}.$$

This is indeed  $\leftarrow AB$ ;  
agrees w/ the Thm.

## 2. Matrix Inversion

Def: An  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is called invertible if there is an  $n \times n$  matrix  $B$  st.  $AB = I_n = BA$ . In this case, we call  $B$  the inverse of  $A$  and denote it by  $A^{-1}$ .

Note: We only discuss invertibility for square matrices.

Ex: If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $B = \frac{1}{1 \cdot 4 - 2 \cdot 3} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$

is an inverse of  $A$ .

Pf:  $AB = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \cdot (-2) + 2 \cdot \frac{3}{2} & 1 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot (-\frac{1}{2}) \\ 3 \cdot (-2) + 4 \cdot \frac{3}{2} & 3 \cdot 1 + 4 \cdot (-\frac{1}{2}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Ex: check that  $BA = I_2$ .

## Invertibility allows cancellation

$$\bullet \quad AB = AC, \quad A \text{ inv} \implies B = C.$$

$\Downarrow$

$\Uparrow$

$$(A^{-1})AB = A^{-1}(AC) \implies (A^{-1}A)B = (A^{-1}A)C \implies I \cdot B = I \cdot C$$

Similar to  $2 \cdot x = 2 \cdot y \implies \frac{1}{2} \cdot (2 \cdot x) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (2 \cdot y) \implies x = y.$

$$\bullet \quad Ax = b, \quad A \text{ inv} \implies x = A^{-1}b.$$

Pf: Ex.

Next time:

- more on inversion and cancellation
- invertibility of  $2 \times 2$  matrices,
- transposes & powers
- midterm review.