

Last time:

- what diagonalizable matrices are: square matrices similar to diagonal matrices:  $A$  s.t.  $\exists D = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and  $P$  inv w/  $A = PDP^{-1}$ .
- why diagonalizable matrices are interesting/useful:
  - if  $A$  is diagonalizable, say  $A = PDP^{-1}$ , then  $A^k = PD^kP^{-1}$ .
- when a matrix is diagonalizable:  $A$  is diagonalizable iff the geom. mult agrees w/ the alg. mult. for every e-value of  $A$ .

if so. how we can diagonalize  $A$

$$A = PDP^{-1} \text{ where } D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_k & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & \lambda_k & & \\ & & & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & & & \lambda_k \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } P = \begin{bmatrix} | & & & | \\ \underbrace{v_{11} \dots v_{1n_1}}_{\text{basis for } E_{\lambda_1}} & \dots & & v_{k1} \dots v_{k,n_k} \\ | & & & | \end{bmatrix}$$

Connection to matrices of linear maps

Today:

- more practice problems
- Why  $A = PDP^{-1} \rightarrow$

1. More diag. exercises. Determine diagonalizability. Diagonalize if possible.

(1).  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 3 \\ -4 & -6 & -3 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Soln: (sketch)  $\text{char}_A(x) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 2-x & 4 & 3 \\ -4 & -6-x & -3 \\ 3 & 3 & 1-x \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{\downarrow \text{ cofactor expansion}}{=} \dots = -(x-1)(x+2)$  <sup>2</sup>

So the eigenvalues of  $A$  are  $\lambda_1 = 1$  with alg. mult. 1,

and  $\lambda_2 = -2$  with alg. mult. 2.

Since  $\text{alg. mult}(\lambda_1) = 1$ , we must have  $\text{geom. mult}(\lambda_1) = 1 = \text{alg. mult}(\lambda_1)$ .

Next we look for  $\text{geom. mult}(\lambda_2)$ :

$$E_{\lambda_2} = \text{Null}(A - \lambda_2 I_3) = \text{Null}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2+2 & 4 & 3 \\ -4 & -6+2 & -3 \\ 3 & 3 & 1+2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \text{Null}\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 3 \\ -4 & -4 & -3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 3 \\ -4 & -4 & -3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 4 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus,  $\text{geom. mult}(\lambda_2) = \dim E_{\lambda_2} = \# \text{ non-pivot cols in } A$  ↓ P ↓ f ↓ P ↘ EF.

$= 1$ .

Since  $\text{geom. mult}(\lambda_2) = 1 < 2 = \text{alg. mult}(\lambda_2)$ ,

the matrix  $A$  is not diagonalizable.

$$(2). \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 12 \\ -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Soln:  $\text{char}_A(x) = \begin{vmatrix} -2-x & 12 \\ -1 & 5-x \end{vmatrix} = (-2-x)(5-x) + 12 = x^2 - 3x - 10 + 12$   
 $= x^2 - 3x + 2 = (x-1)(x-2)$

So the eigenvalues of  $A$  are  $\lambda_1 = 1$  and  $\lambda_2 = 2$ , both with alg. mult. 1.

Since both the alg. mult. are 1,  $A$  must be diagonalizable.

$E_{\lambda_1}$ :  $E_{\lambda_1} = \text{Null} \left( \begin{bmatrix} -2-1 & 12 \\ -1 & 5-1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \text{Null} \left( \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 12 \\ -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \right).$

Note that we should have  $\dim E_{\lambda_i} = \text{geom. mult}(\lambda_i) = 1$ .

and that  $\begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is clearly in  $E_{\lambda_1}$ .

Therefore  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  must be a basis of  $E_{\lambda_1}$ .

$$\bar{E}_{\lambda_2}: \quad \bar{E}_{\lambda_2} = \text{Null} \left( \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \text{Null} \left( \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 12 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Note that  $\dim E_{\lambda_2} = 1$  as in the  $\lambda_1$ -case

$$\text{and } \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \bar{E}_{\lambda_2},$$

So  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  is a basis of  $E_{\lambda_2}$ .

It follows that  $A = P D P^{-1}$  where

$$D = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 2 \end{array} \right] \text{ and } P = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 4 & 3 \\ \hline 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]. \quad \square$$

Note: If you don't need it for further computations, you don't have to find  $P^{-1}$ .

(3).

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -8 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Soln.:  $\text{char}_A(x) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 5-x & -8 & 1 \\ 0 & 0-x & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 2-x \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{\text{Ch. 3.}}{=} (5-x)(0-x)(2-x) = -x(x-2)(x-5)$

(Indeed, given a triangular mat.  $B = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & & * \\ 0 & a_2 & \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & a_n \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\text{char}_B(x) = (a_1-x)(a_2-x)\dots(a_n-x)$  and hence the  $\lambda$  values are just the diag. entries  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  in  $B$ .)

Thus, the eigenvalues of  $A$  are 0, 2, and 5, all with alg. mult 1.

In particular,  $A$  is diagonalizable.

Diagonalization (finding  $P$  &  $D$  s.t.  $A = PDP^{-1}$ ): ... Ex.

## 2. Why $A = PDP^{-1}$ .

Setting: Let  $A$  be a diagonalizable  $n \times n$  matrix,  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  are the distinct eigenvalues of  $A$  with alg. mult. (= geom. mult.)  $n_1, \dots, n_k$ ; for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,

$E_{\lambda_i}$  has basis  $B_i = \{v_{i1}, v_{i2}, \dots, v_{in_i}\}$ . Finally, write  $[B_i] := [v_{i1} | v_{i2} | \dots | v_{in_i}]$

Claim:  $A = PDP^{-1}$  where  $P = [B_1 | B_2 | \dots | B_k]$  and  $D = \text{diag}(\underbrace{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_1}_{n_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\lambda_k, \dots, \lambda_k}_{n_k})$ .

Consider the map  $T_A: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  given by

$$T_A(x) = A \cdot x \quad (\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Recall that for a linear map  $T: V \rightarrow W$ , a basis  $\beta$  of  $V$ , and

a basis  $\gamma$  of  $W$ , then the matrix of  $T$  relative to  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  is ...

... the matrix  $[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} = \left[ [T(b_1)]_{\gamma} \quad \dots \quad [T(b_n)]_{\gamma} \right]$ .

In particular, for the standard basis  $C = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$[T_A]_C^C = \left[ [T(e_1)]_C \quad \dots \quad [T(e_n)]_C \right] = A$$

and for  $B = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [T_A]_B^B &= \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c} [T_A(v_{11})]_B & [T_A(v_{12})]_B & \dots & [T_A(v_{1n_1})]_B \\ \hline [T_A(v_{k1})]_B & \dots & [T_A(v_{kn_1})]_B & \dots & [T_A(v_{kn_2})]_B \end{array} \right] \\ &= \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c} [Av_{11}]_B & \dots & [Av_{1n_1}]_B \\ \hline [Av_{k1}]_B & \dots & [Av_{kn_2}]_B \end{array} \right] \\ &= \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c} [\lambda_1 v_{11}]_B & \dots & [\lambda_1 v_{1n_1}]_B \\ \hline \dots & \dots & \dots \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$= \text{diag}(\underbrace{\lambda_1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_1}_{n_1}, \underbrace{\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_2}_{n_2}, \dots) = D!$$



## What have we done?

We have  $T_A: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  and two bases  $C$  and  $B$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

We noted that  $[T_A]_C^C = A$  and  $[T_A]_B^B = D$ .

Recall that  $P_{C \leftarrow B} = \begin{bmatrix} [v_{11}]_C & \dots & [v_{1n}]_C \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ [v_{m1}]_C & \dots & [v_{mn}]_C \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_{11} & \dots & v_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ v_{m1} & \dots & v_{mn} \end{bmatrix} = P$  (since  $C$  is standard)

Thus, the equation  $A = P D P^{-1}$  can be interpreted as

$$[T_A]_C^C = P_{C \leftarrow B} [T_A]_B^B \left( P_{C \leftarrow B} \right)^{-1}$$

$$\text{or } [T_A]_C^C = P_{C \leftarrow B} [T_A]_B^B P_{B \leftarrow C}$$

→ true, since  $\forall v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have  $[T_A]_C^C \cdot v = P_{C \leftarrow B} \cdot [T_A]_B^B \cdot P_{B \leftarrow C} \cdot v$

The equation  $A = P D P^{-1}$  holds since the last equation holds.