

Math 2001. Lecture 6.

01.24.2022.

Last time:

- indexed sets
- statements ; and, or, not ; truth tables

Today:

- conditional statements
- how to prove various types of statements
- logical equivalences

1. Conditional statements

By a conditional statement we mean a statement that can be made in the form "if P , then Q " where P, Q are themselves some statements.

Running example: if x is an integer divisible by 6, then x is an even integer.

Note: A conditional statement can often be stated in various ways:

(P44) if P , then Q ; P is sufficient / a sufficient condition for Q ;
 Q if P ; Q is necessary / a necessary condition for P ;
 Q whenever P ;
 Q , provided that P ; P only if Q ;
Whenever P , Q ;

notation for "if P then Q " : $P \Rightarrow Q$.

To prove "if P then Q ", assume P is true and derive Q .

Eg. Prop.: If x is an int. divisible by 6, then x is an even int.

Pf.



Note the template!

Suppose x is an int. divisible by 6.

Then $x = 6k$ for some int. k .

It follows that $x = 6 \cdot k = (2 \cdot 3) \cdot k = 2 \cdot (3k)$,

where $3k$ is an integer.

So x is an even integer.

We have proved the proposition. \square

Note: To prove "if P then Q ", we don't need to worry about the cases where P is false (eg $x=5$); in those cases, the statement "if P then Q " is "vacuously true".

We'll often see statements " $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ ", which means " $P \Rightarrow Q$ and $Q \Rightarrow P$ " and are often phrased as " P if and only if Q ".

the \downarrow arrow always points from the hypothesis to the consequence

We define the converse of "if P then Q " to be the statement "if Q then P ". The converse of a true statement may not be true, and vice versa.

Eg

P : x is an int. divisible by 6;
 Q : x is an even integer.



$P \Rightarrow Q$: true, as just proved
 $Q \Rightarrow P$: false, eg. $x=4$.

2. Summary: proofs of statements

To prove $P \wedge Q$, prove both P and Q .

$P \vee Q$, prove one of P or Q .

$\sim P$, prove P is false.

$P \Rightarrow Q$, assume P and derive Q .

$P \Leftrightarrow Q$, prove $P \Rightarrow Q$ and $Q \Rightarrow P$ separately.

3. Logical equivalences

We say two statements are (logically) equivalent if they always have the same truth value (no matter what the truth values of their component statements are).

Non-example: $P \Rightarrow Q$ and $Q \Rightarrow P$ for the running example.

	P	Q	$P \Rightarrow Q$	$Q \Rightarrow P$
e.g. $(x=4) \rightarrow$	F	T	\textcircled{T}	\textcircled{F}

(vacuously true) $\searrow \swarrow$

Conclusion:

" $P \Rightarrow Q$ " is not equivalent to " $Q \Rightarrow P$ " here.

Example:

" $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ " is equivalent to " $(P \wedge Q) \vee (\sim P \wedge \sim Q)$ "

for all statement P, Q

"both or ^R neither"

P	Q	$P \Rightarrow Q$	$Q \Rightarrow P$	$P \Leftrightarrow Q$	$P \wedge Q$	$\sim P$	$\sim Q$	$(\sim P) \wedge (\sim Q)$	R
T	T	T	T	T	T	F	F	F	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	F	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	F	F	T	F	F	F
F	F	T	T	T	F	T	T	T	T



same!



Example. Every conditional statement " $P \Rightarrow Q$ " is equivalent to its "contrapositive" statement, the statement that " $\sim Q \Rightarrow \sim P$ ".

P	Q	$P \Rightarrow Q$	$\sim Q$	$\sim P$	$\sim Q \Rightarrow \sim P$
T	T	T	F	F	T
T	F	F	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T

→ same! ←

Next time:

- open sentences, quantifiers
- some concrete proofs.

Ex:

Show that for all statements P, Q ,
" $P \vee Q$ " is equiv. to " $(\sim P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (\sim Q \Rightarrow P)$ ".