<u>Last time</u>: There are infinitely many primes.

· Warlesheet 2 on proofs.

Today: Ch7: Beyond Conditional proofs.

1. Equivalences

(a): "if and only if" statements (P ⇒ Q)

Typical proof strategy:

prove the two implications separately.

Eg. Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Prove that x is even iff 3x * 5 is odd.

Pf: (1) (\in) We fixe prove that x is even if 3x * 5 is odd, by proving the contrapositive. So suppose x is not even. Then x is odd, therefore x = 2k+1 for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that 3x * + 5 = 3(2k+1) + 5 = 6k + 8 = 2(2k+4), so 3x * + 5 is even. It follows that x = 2k+1 is even if 3x * + 5 = 3(2k+1) + 5 = 6k + 8 = 2(2k+4).

(2) (3) Suppose X is even. Then X = 2k for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, so $3 \times t5 = 3.2k + 5 = 6k + 5 = 2(3k + 2) + 1$, therefore $3 \times t5$ is odd.

Parts 11) and (2) Combine to show that X is even iff 3x+5 is odd.

(b) Equivalences of more than two statements / conditions. (The following are equivalent ---) Note: If P=) a and a=> R then P=> R So, to prove (a) (b) (c) it suffres to show (ii) (3 implications) and to prove (a) , (c), (d) are equiv it suffices to show (a) => (b) => (d) Ex. Let n& Z. Prove that the following conditions are equivalent. (b) $n^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

(c) n^2 is odd.

 $\text{of:} \quad \text{(a)} = \text{(b)} : \text{ Suppose } n \text{ is odd. Then } n = 2k+| \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ so}$ $n^2 = (k+1)^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + | = 0 + 0 + | = | \pmod{4}.$ $\text{(b)} = \text{(c)} : \text{ Suppose } n^2 = | \pmod{4}, \text{ then } n^2 = 4k + | \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$ $\text{We can write } n^2 = 2(2k) + | \text{, so } n^2 \text{ is odd.}$ $\text{(c)} = \text{(a)} : \text{We need to show that } n \text{ is odd if } n^2 \text{ is odd.}$

Which we have proven before and will omit here.

By the above, we have that (a), (b), (c) are equivalent.

2. Existence proofs

Easier examples:

Prop : Them Bosts an even prime number.

Pf: The number 2 is such an example.

Prop: There exists an integer that can be written as a sum of two perfect cubes in two different ways.

Pf: Consider the number 1729. We have $1729 = 10^3 + 9^3 = 1^3 + 12^3$, which suffices as an example. (one can find this example by some computer program)

Point: Construction and verification of an example is sufficient for proving an existential statement.

A harder example: Prop: If a.b \(N), then Ik, l \(\frac{7}{2} \) st. gcd (a,b) = ak+bl. $\begin{cases} 6.9. & \alpha = 10, b = 12 \\ \alpha = 3, b = 5 \end{cases} \implies \gcd(a,b) = 2, \qquad -\frac{7}{2} \cdot 10 + 6 \cdot 12 = 2.$ Pf: Consider the set $D = \{ax + by : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, and let d be the snallest positive integer in D. We claim that d=gcd (a,b), S. that gld = d = ak+bl for some kelt & and we are done. To prove the claim that d=gcd (a,b), we first prove that d | a and db. To prove da, let r ∈ {0, --, d-13 be the remainder obtained when

we divide a by d, so that a = dg+r for some ge 7. We have

r= a-dg. But d & D. so d= axt by for some x.y & Z., hence $\Gamma = a - dq = a - (ax + by) q = a (1 - xq_b) + b (yq_b) \in D$. Since d'is the smallest pristive number in D and re {0, (, 2, --, d-1)} it follows that r = 0. so $d \mid \alpha$. A similar argument shows $d \mid b$. We'd finish the proof (and do more proofs) next time.