Homework Assignment #1: Solutions to Selected Exercises

Part I. Apostol Chapter 1 (pp. 21–23): Exercises 2, 10, 11, 16, 18, 19, 20.

Exercise 2. Prove that, if (a,b) = (a,c) = 1, then (a,bc) = 1.

SOLUTION: Suppose (a, b) = (a, c) = 1. If (a, bc) > 1, then a and bc have a common factor d > 1. Since d|a implies (d, b) divides (a, b), and since (a, b) = 1 by assumption, We must have (d, b) = 1. But then, since d|bc, we must have d|c, by Theorem 1.5 (Euclid's Lemma). But then d|a and d|c, contradicting the fact that d > 1 and (a, c) = 1.

So it must be that (a, bc) = 1.

Exercise 10. Given x and y, let m = ax + by, n = cx + dy, where $ad - bc = \pm 1$. Prove that (m, n) = (x, y).

SOLUTION: Under the given assumptions note that, if d|x and d|y, then d|(ax + by) and d|(cx + dy); that is, d|m and d|n. So d|(m, n). So (x, y)|(m, n).

On the other hand, the equations m = ax + by and n = cx + dy have solution

$$x = \frac{dm - bn}{ad - bc} = \pm (dm - bn); \qquad y = \frac{-cm + an}{ad - bc} = \pm (-cm + an),$$

since we're assuming that $ad - bc = \pm 1$. So d|m and d|n implies that d|x and d|y. That is, (m,n)|(x,y).

Since (x,y)|(m,n) and (m,n)|(x,y), and since greatest common divisors are positive, we conclude that (m,n)=(x,y).

Exercise 11. Prove that $n^4 + 4$ is composite if n > 1.

SOLUTION: We will show that, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $r \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(5k+r)^4 + 4$ is composite as long as it's not the case that k = 0 and r = 1. This will be enough because any n > 1 can be written as n = 5k + r for such a k and such an r.

First we consider the case r=0. We note that

$$(5k)^4 + 4 = (2 - 10k + 25k^2)(2 + 10k + 25k^2),$$

so we're done in this case. (It's readily checked that neither factor on the right can equal 1.) On the other hand, suppose $r \neq 0$. We have

$$(5k+r)^4 + 4 = 4 + 625k^4 + 500k^3r + 150k^2r^2 + 20kr^3 + r^4$$
$$= (r^4 + 4) + 5(125k^4 + 100k^3r + 30k^2r^2 + 4kr^3).$$

Clearly, this is larger than 5 as long as $k \neq 0$, and is divisible by 5 as long as $r^4 + 4$ is. But $1^4 + 4 = 5$, $2^4 + 4 = 20$, $3^4 + 4 = 85$, and $4^4 + 4 = 260$, so we're done.

Exercise 16. Prove that if $2^n - 1$ is prime, then n is prime.

SOLUTION: If n = ab where a, b > 1, then

$$2^{n} - 1 = (2^{ab}) - 1 = (2^{a})^{b} - 1 = (2^{a} - 1)(1 + 2^{a} + (2^{a})^{2} + \dots + (2^{a})^{b-1}),$$

by the formula for a finite geometric sum.

Exercise 18. If $m \neq n$ compute the gcd $(a^{2^m} + 1, a^{2^n} + 1)$ in terms of a. [Hint: let $A_n = a^{2^n} + 1$ and show that $A_n | (A_m - 2)$ if m > n.]

SOLUTION: If m > n, then

$$A_m - 2 = a^{2^m} - 1 = (a^{2^{m-1}} + 1)(a^{2^{m-1}} - 1).$$

The term in parentheses on the far right can further be factored, as $(a^{2^{m-2}} + 1)(a^{2^{m-2}} - 1)$. We may continue factoring in this manner, to get

$$A_m - 2 = a^{2^m} - 1 = (a^{2^{m-1}} + 1)(a^{2^{m-2}} + 1)(a^{2^{m-3}} + 1) \cdots (a^{2^n} + 1)(a^{2^n} - 1).$$

The term on the far right is A_n . So $A_n|(A_m-2)$, say $A_m=qA_n+2$. Now let $d=(A_m,A_m)$. Then $d|A_m$ and $d|A_n$, so d|2, so d=1 or d=2. But $d\neq 2$ since A_n and A_m are odd. So $d=(A_n,A_m)=1$.

Exercise 19. The *Fibonacci sequence* 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34,... is defined by the recursion formula $a_{n+1} = a_n + a_{n-1}$, with $a_1 = a_2 = 1$. Prove that $(a_n, a_{n+1}) = 1$ for each n.

SOLUTION: We prove this by induction on n. It's certainly true for n = 1 (1,1) = 1. Now assume it's true for n = k. Then $(a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}) = (a_{k+1}, a_{k+1} + a_k)$. But for general integers r and s, (r, r + s) = (r, s), since d|r and $d|s \Leftrightarrow d|r$ and d|(r + s). So

$$(a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}) = (a_{k+1}, a_{k+1} + a_k) = (a_{k+1}, a_k) = (a_k, a_{k+1}) = 1$$

by the induction hypothesis, and we're done.

Exercise 20. Let d = (826, 1890). Use the Euclidean algorithm to compute d, then express d as a linear combination of 826 and 1890.

SOLUTION:

$$1890 = 826 \cdot 2 + 238$$
$$826 = 238 \cdot 3 + 112$$
$$238 = 112 \cdot 2 + 14$$
$$112 = 14 \cdot 8 + 0.$$

So

$$(826, 1890) = 14 = 238 - 112 \cdot 2 = 238 - (826 - 238 \cdot 3) \cdot 2$$
$$= 238 \cdot 7 - 826 \cdot 2 = (1890 - 826 \cdot 2) \cdot 7 - 826 \cdot 2$$
$$= 1890 \cdot 7 - 826 \cdot 16.$$

(a) Use mathematical induction to prove that, for any positive integer n, the product of any n integers of the form $4\ell + 1$ (where ℓ is a positive integer) is itself of the form $4\ell + 1$.

SOLUTION: It's true for n = 1. Now assume it's true for n = k. If $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k, m_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ are of the form $4\ell + 1$, then by induction, there exist integers $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k \cdot m_{k+1} = (m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k) \cdot m_{k+1} = (4r+1)(4s+1) = 4(4s+r+s)+1;$$

that is, the desired result is true for n = k + 1 as well. So we're done by induction.

(b) Show that there are infinitely many primes of the form $4\ell + 3$ (for ℓ a positive integer). You may want to use the result of part (a) of this exercise.

SOLUTION: Suppose there are only finitely many such primes, call them p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_K , in ascending order. Let

$$M = 4p_1p_2\cdots p_k - 1 = 4(p_1p_2\cdots p_K - 1) + 3.$$

Then clearly M > 1 and M is of the form $4\ell + 3$. Every integer > 1 is divisible by a prime, so M must be divisible by one of the primes p_j , for some $1 \le j \le K$. (M is of the form $4\ell + 3$, so it can't be divisible *only* by primes of the form $4\ell + 1$, because then it too would be of that form, by part (a).) But if p_j divides M then, since p_j also divides $4p_1p_2 \cdots p_k$, it must divide

$$M - 4p_1p_2 \cdots p_k = -1,$$

a contradiction. So there must be infinitely many primes of the given form.