Shapiro's Tauberian Theorem.

Last time, we showed that

$$\sum_{p} \left[\frac{x}{p} \right] \log p = x \log x + o(x) \text{ for } x \ge 1.$$

We want to "drop the [] on the left, then divide through by x." We can do so by:

Thm. 4.8 (Shapiro's Tauberian Theorem.)

If a is an arithmetic function, a(n) 30 Vh,
and

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \alpha(n) \left[\frac{x}{n} \right] = x \log x + O(x) \quad \text{for } x \geq 1,$$

$$(a) \sum_{n \leq x} \underline{a(n)} = \log x + O(1) \quad \text{for } x \geq 1.$$

(b) I B>O such that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} a(n) \leq Bx$$
 for $x > 1$.

(c) =1A2O such that

$$\frac{\rho_{roof}}{S(x)} = \sum_{n \in x} a(n), \quad T(x) = \sum_{n \in x} a(n) \left[\frac{x}{n} \right], \quad U(x) = \sum_{n \in x} a(n).$$

We prove (b) first, by establishing the following claims, under the stated assumptions:

Note that (i) and (ii) together imply that, for some K>O,

$$S(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[S(x/2^n) - S(x/2^{n+1}) \right] \stackrel{\alpha}{=} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[T(x/2^n) - \lambda T(x/2^{n+1}) \right]$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Kx/2n \leq 2Kx$$
, which is (6).

To prove (i), note that, since [dy]-2[y] is either O or 1, we have [dy] >2[y] for all y, so

$$T(x) - 2T(\frac{x}{a}) = \sum_{h \leq x} a(h) \left[\frac{x}{h} \right] - 2 \sum_{h \leq \frac{x}{2}} \left[\frac{x}{2h} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{h \leq x/n} a(n) \left(\left[\frac{x}{h} \right] - \lambda \left[\frac{x}{\lambda n} \right] \right) + \sum_{\substack{x \leq n \leq x \\ \overline{\lambda}}} a(n) \left[\frac{x}{n} \right]$$

which proves (i).

To prove (ii) we note that, since $T(x) = x\log x + O(x)$ by assumption, we have

$$T(x)-2T(x/a) = x\log x + O(x)-2\left(\frac{x}{2}\log \frac{x}{a} + O(x)\right)$$

$$= X \log x - X(\log x - \log \lambda) + O(x) = X \log \lambda + O(x)$$

$$= O(x),$$

as required. So (b) is proved.

To prove (a), note that x/n = [x/n] + O(1), so U(x)

$$= \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{a(n)}{n} = \frac{1}{X} \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{x}{n} a(n) = \frac{1}{X} \left(\sum_{n \leq X} \left[\frac{x}{n} \right] a(n) + O\left(\sum_{n \leq X} (n) \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{X} \left(x \log x + O(x) + O(x) \right) = \log x + O(1),$$

the next-to-last equality by assumption and part (b). So (a) is proved.

(c) By part (al,

$$U(x) = \log x + R(x)$$

where $R(x) \leq M$ for some M^70 , and $x \geq 1$. Suppose $x = e^{-2M-1}$ note that $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Then if $x \geq 1$,

$$U(x) - U(\alpha x) = \log x + R(x) - \log x - R(\alpha x)$$
= - \log \alpha + R(x) - R(\alpha x)
\geq - \log \alpha + R(x) | - |R(\alpha x)|

> -loga - 2M = 1. But on the other hand,

$$U(x) - U(\alpha x) = \sum_{\alpha x \leq n \leq x} \frac{\alpha(n)}{n} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha x} \sum_{n \leq x} \alpha(n) = \frac{5(x)}{\alpha x},$$

 $S(x)/\alpha x \ge 1$ for $\alpha x \ge 1$, which is (c) with $B = \alpha$ and $x \ge 1/\alpha$.

Finally, we recall from Thm. 3.16 that, if we define

$$\Lambda_{l}(n) = \begin{cases} log p & \text{if p is prime,} \\ 0 & \text{if not,} \end{cases}$$

then

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \left[\frac{x}{n} \right] \Lambda_{1}(n) = \sum_{p \leq x} \left[\frac{x}{p} \right] \log p = x \log x + O(x),$$

so by Thm. 4.8(a), we have

Thm. 4.10.

(a)
$$\sum_{p \le x} \frac{\log p}{p} = \sum_{n \le x} \frac{\Lambda_1(n)}{n} = \log x + O(1)$$
 for $x \ge 1$.

(b)
$$\sum_{p \leq x} \log p \leq c_1 x$$
 for some $c_1 > 0$ and $x > 1$.

(c)
$$\sum_{p \leq x} \log p \approx c_2 x$$
 for some $c_2 > 0$, for

x sufficiently large.