

Friday, 11/7-①

The RSA^{*} encryption algorithm

* Rivest-Shamir-Adleman, 1977

(Also developed in 1973 by British intelligence,
declassified 1997.)

Basic premise:

- Multiplying is easy, but
- Factoring is hard.

(A) Here's how RSA encoding works:

(1) First convert the message to numbers, in some simple way (e.g. A → 11, B → 12, C → 13, ...). Convert punctuation etc. similarly). E.g. MATH → 23113018.

(2) Take the resulting message (e.g. 23113018) - call it N . Raise N to a very large natural number k .

(3) Take the result, and compute its remainder after division by a large integer m . That is, write

$$N^k = mq + r \text{ where } 0 \leq r < m.$$

Then r is the coded message you send. It's a coded version of N .

(B) Decoding:

(1) under certain conditions, if you

(2)

know how m factors, you can recover the original message M from its coded version r . That is, you can decode r . How? We'll answer before long.

(2) But: if you don't know how m factors, you can't decode. Unless you can determine how m factors - but remember, factoring is hard.

(c) "Public key".

The RSA algorithm is public key. This means: knowing how to encode doesn't tell you how to decode.

Specifically: I can give everyone k and m (and the "easy" translation $A \rightarrow 11$, $B \rightarrow 12$, etc.), and then anyone can encode a message N (by writing $N^k = mq + r$ with $0 \leq r < m$: then r is the coded message). But if I don't say how m factors (and you can't figure it out), you can't decode!

(D) Some number theory.

If we write

$$N^k = mq + r \quad (0 \leq r < m),$$

then

$$N^k - r = mq, \text{ so } m \mid (N^k - r).$$

So:

it will be useful to study situations where $m \mid (a-b)$, for integers a, b, m .

Definition.

Let $a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We say " a is congruent to b mod m ," and write

$$a \equiv b \pmod{m},$$

if $m \mid (a-b)$.

Examples

$$122 \equiv 87 \pmod{5} \quad (\text{since } 5 \mid 35 = 122 - 87,$$

$$-13 \equiv 8 \pmod{7},$$

$$241137 \equiv 137 \pmod{1000},$$

$k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ for any odd $k \in \mathbb{Z}$;

$n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for any even $n \in \mathbb{Z}$;

$$3^5 \equiv 3 \pmod{10}$$

$$3^5 \equiv 3 \pmod{15}$$

$$3^5 \equiv 3 \pmod{24}$$

$$(\text{since } 3^5 - 3 = 240 = 10 \cdot 24 = 15 \cdot 16),$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$n \equiv r \pmod{7}$$

for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq r < 7$;

For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$n \equiv r \pmod{m}$$

for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq r < m$

(by the division algorithm).

$$59^{1013} = 59 \pmod{1013},$$

etc.

Properties of " \pmod{m} :

Proposition. Let $a, b, c, d, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$,
then:

- (i) $a+c \equiv b+d \pmod{m}$.
- (ii) $a-c \equiv b-d \pmod{m}$.
- (iii) $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$.

Proof of (i).

Let $a, b, c, d, m \in \mathbb{Z}$; assume $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$
and $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$. Then $m | (a-b)$ and
 $m | (c-d)$, so $m | ((a-b)+(c-d))$. So
 $m | ((a+c)-(b+d))$, so

$$a+c \equiv b+d \pmod{m}.$$

□

E.g. $25 \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$ and $75 \equiv -2 \pmod{7}$, so
 $100 \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$.

Proof of parts (ii) and (iii): see HW 10.