## More induction proofs.

I) A generalization of the product rule from calculus.

Recall that, if fifz are differentiable functions, then

This takes a nice form if we divide through by fifz:

$$\frac{(f_1f_2)'}{f_1f_2} = \frac{f_1'f_0}{f_1f_2} + \frac{f_2'f_1}{f_1f_2} = \frac{f_1'}{f_1} + \frac{f_2'}{f_2}.$$

This last formula generalizes:

Let nEN; suppose fifzing for are differentiable. Then

$$\frac{(f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n)'}{f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n} = \frac{f_1'}{f_1} + \frac{f_2'}{f_2} + \cdots + \frac{f_n'}{f_n}$$

Let A(n) be the statement of the

Step 1: is A(1) true?

$$\frac{f_1'}{f_1} = \frac{f_1'}{f_1'} \checkmark$$
 So  $A(l)$  is true.

$$A(k): \frac{(f_1f_2\cdots f_k)}{f_1f_2\cdots f_k} = \frac{f_1}{f_1} + \frac{f_2}{f_2} + \cdots + \frac{f_k}{f_k}$$

Then 
$$\frac{(f_1 f_2 \cdots f_{k+1})'}{f_1 f_2 f_3 \cdots f_{k+1}} = \frac{((f_1 f_2 \cdots f_k) f_{k+1})'}{(f_1 f_2 \cdots f_k) f_{k+1}}$$
.

Use the product rule in the numerator (thinking of fifa...fk as a single function) to get

$$\frac{(f_1 f_2 \cdots f_{k+1})'}{f_1 f_2 f_3 \cdots f_{k+1}} = \frac{(f_1 f_2 \cdots f_k)' f_{k+1} + (f_1 f_2 \cdots f_k) f_{k+1}'}{f_1 f_2 f_3 \cdots f_{k+1}}$$

algebra = 
$$\frac{(f_1 f_2 \cdots f_k)}{f_1 f_2 \cdots f_k} + \frac{f_{k+1}}{f_{k+1}}$$

induction = 
$$\frac{f_1}{f_1} + \frac{f_2}{f_2} + \dots + \frac{f_k}{f_k} + \frac{f_{k+1}}{f_{k+1}}$$
hypothesis  $f_1'$   $f_2$   $f_k$   $f_{k+1}$ 

so A(k+1) follows.

So by induction, A(n) is true Yn EN. ATWMR.

II) A surprising fact.

## Theorem.

All sneakers are identical.

## Proof

Let A(n) be the statement: Any noncakers are identical.

the IN.

Step 1: Is A(1) true?
Any one sheaker is identical to itself, so yes, A(1) is true.

Step 2: Assume A(k): any k sneakers are identical.

Now suppose we have k+1 sneakers. Line them up:



(The second sneaker is part of the first group of k and the last)

By the induction hypothesis, the first k are identical, as are the last k. So all k+1 are identical to the second one, and this to each other.

So A(k) => A(k+1).

So by induction, A(n) is true the IN. ATWAR

| Problem with proof: The inductive step fails for $k=1$ . (If $k=1$ , the second |
|---|
| fails for k=1. (If k=1, the second  |
| sneaker is not part of both the first k   |
| sneaker is not part of both the first $k$ and the last $k-1$                    |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |