

**Section 3.3, Exercise 8.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be nonempty bounded subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $S \subseteq T$ . Prove that

$$\inf T \leq \inf S \leq \sup S \leq \sup T.$$

**Proof.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be nonempty bounded subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $S \subseteq T$ . Since  $S$  and  $T$  are nonempty and bounded,  $\inf S, \inf T, \sup S$ , and  $\sup T$  all exist.

Since  $S$  is nonempty, there exists some element  $x$  of  $S$ . By definition of  $\inf$  and  $\sup$ ,  $\inf S \leq x$  and  $x \leq \sup S$ , so  $\inf S \leq x \leq \sup S$ , so  $\inf S \leq \sup S$ .

We show that  $\inf T \leq \inf S$ : if  $x \in S$ , then  $x \in T$  (since  $S \subseteq T$ ) so, by definition of  $\inf$ , we have  $\inf T \leq x$ . This shows that  $\inf T$  is less than or equal to every element of  $S$ , so  $\inf T$  is a lower bound for  $S$ . But every lower bound is less than or equal to the greatest lower bound, so  $\inf T \leq \inf S$ .

Next, we show that  $\sup S \leq \sup T$ : if  $x \in S$ , then  $x \in T$  (since  $S \subseteq T$ ) so, by definition of  $\sup$ , we have  $x \leq \sup T$ . This shows that  $\sup T$  is greater than or equal to every element of  $S$ , so  $\sup T$  is an upper bound for  $S$ . But every upper bound is greater than or equal to the least upper bound, so  $\sup S \leq \sup T$ .

We've shown that  $\inf T \leq \inf S$  and  $\inf S \leq \sup S$  and  $\sup S \leq \sup T$ . So, for any nonempty bounded subsets  $S$  and  $T$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $S \subseteq T$ , we have

$$\inf T \leq \inf S \leq \sup S \leq \sup T.$$

□

**Section 3.3, Exercise 12(a).** Prove: if  $x$  and  $y$  are real numbers with  $x < y$ , then there are infinitely many rational numbers in the interval  $[x, y]$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A(n)$  be the statement “if  $x$  and  $y$  are real numbers with  $x < y$ , then there are  $n$  rational numbers in the interval  $[x, y]$ .” We prove by induction that  $A(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

First: by Theorem 3.3.13, there exists a rational number  $q_1$  in the interval  $(x, y)$ , and therefore in the interval  $[x, y]$ . So  $A(1)$  is true.

Next: suppose  $A(k)$  is true. That is, for real numbers  $x$  and  $y$  with  $x < y$ , there are  $k$  rational numbers  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k$  in the interval  $[x, y]$ . Assume these  $k$  numbers are arranged in increasing order. By Theorem 3.3.13, there is a rational number  $q_0$  with  $q_1 < q_0 < q_2$ . Note that  $q_0$  cannot be any of the numbers  $q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots, q_k$ , since  $q_1 < q_0 < q_2 < q_3 < \dots < q_k$ . This gives us  $k + 1$  rational numbers in  $[x, y]$ . So  $A(k + 1)$  follows.

So  $A(1)$  is true and  $A(k)$  implies  $A(k + 1)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . So by induction,  $A(n)$  is true for all  $n$ , meaning  $[x, y]$  contains infinitely many rational numbers, for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $x < y$ . □