

Monday 8/25-①

Statements and Proofs.

I. The statement $P \Rightarrow Q$.

Read "if P then Q;" "P implies Q."

Meaning: whenever P is true, Q must follow.

Methods of proof:

A) Direct proof. Such a proof looks like this:

Proposition. $P \Rightarrow Q$.

Proof.

Assume P. [Now do what's necessary to conclude:] Therefore, Q.

So $P \Rightarrow Q$. \square

↑ direct proof
"template"

Example 1:

Proposition 1.

If n is an odd integer, then $n^2 - 1$ is divisible by 4.

Proof

Assume n is an odd integer: then $n = 2k + 1$ for some integer k. So

$$n^2 - 1 = (2k + 1)^2 - 1 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 - 1 = 4k^2 + 4k = 4(k^2 + k).$$

So $n^2 - 1$ is divisible by 4.

So $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is odd $\Rightarrow 4 \mid (n^2 - 1)$. \square

↑ "divides"
the set of integers

B) Contrapositive proof.

FACT: the statement $P \Rightarrow Q$ is always logically equivalent to its contrapositive $\sim Q \Rightarrow \sim P$.

"not P" (the negation of P) ②

So a contrapositive proof looks like:

contrapositive proof "template"

Proposition. $P \Rightarrow Q$.

Proof.

Assume $\sim Q$. [Then do what's necessary to conclude:] Therefore, $\sim P$.

So $P \Rightarrow Q$. \square

Example 2:

Proposition 2.

$n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is odd $\Rightarrow 4 \mid (n^2 - 1)$.

Proof.

Assume $4 \nmid (n^2 - 1)$.

wrtc

$n = 2k + r$ where $r = 0$ (if n is even) or $r = 1$ (if n is odd). Then

$$n^2 - 1 = (2k + r)^2 - 1 = 4k^2 + 4kr + r^2 - 1$$

$$= 4(k^2 + kr) + (r^2 - 1)$$

Since $4 \nmid (n^2 - 1)$, we see that $r^2 - 1 \neq 0$, so $r \neq 1$, so $r = 0$. So n is even (and therefore not odd).

So $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is odd $\Rightarrow 4 \mid (n^2 - 1)$. \square

II) Proof by contradiction.

The idea: to prove a statement T , assume $\sim T$. Show this leads to an absurdity. Then $\sim T$ must be false, so T is true.

*often, this will be of the form " \forall and $\sim \forall$," for some other statement V .

Like this:

Proposition. T .

Proof

Assume $\sim T$. [Then do stuff to conclude:]

Therefore, V . [Then do more stuff to conclude:]

Therefore, $\sim V$. Contradiction.

Therefore, T . □

Contradiction proof "template".

Example 3:

(by definition, these are positive.)

Proposition 3.

There are infinitely many prime numbers.

↑ (the statement T)

(this is $\sim T$)

Proof. Assume [there are only finitely many prime numbers:]

call them $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_k$.

Define

$$M = p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_k + 1,$$

and let p be any prime divisor of M .

Then:

(c) The only primes are p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k , so p equals one of these primes, so p divides their product is

$$N = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k.$$

Since p divides M and N , p divides their difference, so $p | (M - N)$.

(b) By the definitions of M and N , $M - N = 1$, which is not divisible by any prime, so $p \nmid (M - N)$.

So $p \mid (M - N)$ and $p \nmid (M - N)$.
Contradiction. So \exists infinitely many primes. \square