

Contents

1	Dirichlet Series and The Riemann Zeta Function	1
2	Primes in Arithmetic Progressions	4
3	Functional equations for $\zeta(s), L(s, \chi)$	9
4	Product Formula for $\xi(s), \xi(s, \chi)$	18
5	A Zero-Free Region and the Density of Zeros for $\zeta(s)$	23
6	A Zero-Free Region and the Density of Zeros for $L(s, \chi)$	25
7	Explicit Formula Relating the Primes to Zeros of $\zeta(s)$	25
8	Chebyshev Estimates and the Prime Number Theorem	28
9	Prime Number Theorem in Arithmetic Progressions	33
	[Sections 1,2, and 3 are OK - the rest need work/reorganization]	

1 Dirichlet Series and The Riemann Zeta Function

Throughout, $s = \sigma + it$ is a complex variable (following Riemann).

Definition. *The Riemann zeta function, $\zeta(s)$, is defined by*

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_n \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$$

for $\sigma > 1$.

Lemma (Summation by Parts). *We have*

$$\sum_{n=p}^q a_n b_n = \sum_{n=p}^{q-1} A_n (b_n - b_{n+1}) + A_q b_q - A_{p-1} b_p$$

where $A_n = \sum_{k \leq n} a_k$. In particular, if $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n b_n$ converges and $A_n b_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n b_n = \sum_{n \geq 1} A_n (b_n - b_{n+1}).$$

Another formulation: if $a(n)$ is a function on the integers, $A(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} a(n)$, and f is C^1 on $[y, x]$ then

$$\sum_{y < n \leq x} a(n) f(n) = A(x) f(x) - A(y) f(y) - \int_y^x A(t) f'(t) dt$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=p}^q a_n b_n &= \sum_{n=p}^q (A_n - A_{n-1}) b_n = \sum_{n=p}^q A_n b_n - \sum_{n=p}^q A_{n-1} b_n \\ &= \sum_{n=p}^q A_n b_n - \sum_{n=p-1}^{q-1} A_n b_{n+1} = \sum_{n=p}^{q-1} A_n (b_n - b_{n+1}) + A_q b_q - A_{p-1} b_p.\end{aligned}$$

For the second formulation, assume y is not an integer and let $N = \lceil y \rceil$, $M = \lfloor x \rfloor$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_y^x A(t) f'(t) dt &= A(N-1) \int_y^N f'(t) dt + A(M) \int_M^x f'(t) dt + \sum_{n=N}^{M-1} A(n) \int_n^{n+1} f'(t) dt \\ &= \left[A(N-1) f(N) - A(M) f(M) + \sum_{n=N}^{M-1} A(n) (f(n+1) - f(n)) \right] \\ &\quad + A(M) f(x) - A(N-1) f(y) \\ &= - \sum_{y < n \leq x} a(n) f(n) + A(x) f(x) - A(y) f(y).\end{aligned}$$

If y is an integer, one easily checks that the result still holds. □

Yet another version that is useful.

Lemma (Euler-Maclurin Summation). *Assume f is C^1 on $[a, b]$ and let $W(x) = x - \lfloor x \rfloor - 1/2$. Then*

$$\sum_{a < n \leq b} f(n) = \int_a^b (f(x) + W(x) f'(x)) dx + \frac{1}{2} (f(b) - f(a)).$$

Proof. The right-hand side is

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_a^b f(x) dx + \int_a^b x f'(x) dx - \int_a^b \lfloor x \rfloor f'(x) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b f'(x) dx + \frac{1}{2} (f(b) - f(a)) \\ &= b f(b) - a f(a) - \int_a^b \lfloor x \rfloor f'(x) dx = b f(b) - a f(a) - \sum_{n=a}^{b-1} n (f(n+1) - f(n)) \\ &= \sum_{a < n \leq b} f(n).\end{aligned}$$

□

A few lemmas on Dirichlet series $(\sum_n a_n n^{-s})$.

Lemma. *If $f(s) = \sum_n a_n n^{-s}$ converges for $s = s_0$ then $f(s)$ converges on $\sigma > \sigma_0$ (uniformly on compacta).*

Proof. We have $\sum_n a_n n^{-s} = \sum_n a_n n^{-(s-s_0)} n^{-s_0}$. Let $A_k(s_0) = \sum_{n=1}^k a_n n^{-s_0}$ and sum the tail of the series by parts

$$\sum_{n=M}^N \frac{a_n}{n^{s_0}} \frac{1}{n^{s-s_0}} = \sum_{n=M}^{N-1} A_n \left(\frac{1}{n^{s-s_0}} - \frac{1}{(n+1)^{s-s_0}} \right) - \frac{A_N}{N^{s-s_0}} + \frac{A_{M-1}}{M^{s-s_0}}.$$

We have

$$\left| \frac{1}{n^{s-s_0}} - \frac{1}{(n+1)^{s-s_0}} \right| = \left| (s-s_0) \int_n^{n+1} \frac{1}{x^{s-s_0+1}} dx \right| \leq \frac{|s-s_0|}{n^{\sigma-s_0+1}}$$

so that the tails go to zero uniformly. \square

Lemma. *If $|A_N| = |\sum_{n=1}^N a_n| < CN^{\sigma_0}$ then $f(s) = \sum_n a_n n^{-s}$ converges for $\sigma > \sigma_0$.*

Proof. Summation by parts again:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=M}^N a_n n^{-s} \right| &= \left| \sum_{n=M}^{N-1} A_n \left(\frac{1}{n^s} - \frac{1}{(n+1)^s} \right) + \frac{A_N}{N^s} - \frac{A_{M-1}}{M^s} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{n=M}^N s \int_n^{n+1} \frac{A_n}{x^s} dx + \frac{A_N}{N^s} - \frac{A_{M-1}}{M^s} \right| \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{n=M}^N |s| \int_n^{n+1} \frac{dx}{x^{\sigma-s_0+1}} + \frac{1}{N^{\sigma-s_0}} + \left(\frac{M-1}{M} \right)^{\sigma_0} \frac{1}{M^{\sigma-s_0}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

which goes to zero. \square

Proposition. *The Riemann zeta function can be continued to $\sigma > 0$ with a simple pole at $s = 1$, $\text{Res}_{s=1} \zeta(s) = 1$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(s) &= \sum_n \frac{1}{n^s} = \sum_n n \left(\frac{1}{n^s} - \frac{1}{(n+1)^s} \right) \\ &= \sum_n n s \int_n^{n+1} x^{-s-1} dx = s \int_1^\infty [x] x^{-s-1} dx \\ &= s \int_1^\infty (x - \{x\}) x^{-s-1} dx = \frac{s}{s-1} - s \int_1^\infty \{x\} x^{-s-1} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{s-1} + 1 + s \int_1^\infty \{x\} x^{-s-1} dx \end{aligned}$$

where the last integral converges for $\sigma > 0$.

We can do better by writing

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(s) &= s \int_1^\infty [x] x^{-s-1} dx = s \int_1^\infty (x - 1/2 - (\{x\} - 1/2)) x^{-s-1} dx \\ &= \frac{s}{s-1} - \frac{1}{2} - s \int_1^\infty W(x) x^{-s-1} dx \\ &= \frac{s}{s-1} - \frac{1}{2} + s(s+1) \int_1^\infty \left(\int_1^x W(y) dy \right) x^{-s-2} dx \end{aligned}$$

where $W(x) = x - [x] - 1/2$ is the “sawtooth” function. Since $\int_1^x W(y)dy$ is bounded for all x , the last integral converges for $\sigma > -1$. This also shows that $\zeta(0) = -1/2$.

[Another way to get this continuation is by considering

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(s) &= 2\zeta(s) - \zeta(s) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2k)^{-s} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2k-1)^{-s} - \zeta(s) \\ &= 2^{1-s} \zeta(s) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n n^{-s} \\ \Rightarrow \zeta(s) &= \frac{\sum_n (-1)^n n^{-s}}{2^{1-s} - 1}\end{aligned}$$

where the sum on the right-hand side converges for $\sigma > 0$, clear for s real and Dirichlet series converge on half-planes.] \square

Proposition. *The Riemann zeta function does not vanish on $\sigma \geq 1$.*

Proof. For $\sigma > 1$ we have the Euler product, which is non-zero (any convergent product, $\prod_n (1+a_n)$, $\sum_n |a_n| < \infty$, $|a_n| < 1$ is non-zero). Along the line $\sigma = 1$, we use a continuity argument, starting with the identity

$$3 + 4 \cos \theta + \cos 2\theta = 2(1 + \cos \theta)^2 \geq 0.$$

Taking the logarithm of the Euler product, for $\sigma > 1$ we have

$$\Re \log \zeta(s) = \Re \sum_{m,p} \frac{1}{m} e^{-m(\sigma+it) \log p} = \sum_{m,p} \frac{1}{m} e^{-\sigma m \log p} \cos(mt \log p).$$

Taking $\theta = mt \log p$ in the inequality above, multiplying by $e^{-\sigma m \log p}/m$, and summing over m, p gives

$$3 \log \zeta(\sigma) + 4 \Re \log \zeta(\sigma + it) + \Re \log \zeta(\sigma + 2it) \geq 0,$$

and exponentiating gives

$$\zeta^3(\sigma) |\zeta^4(\sigma + it) \zeta(\sigma + 2it)| \geq 1.$$

Now, if $\zeta(1 + it) = 0$, taking limits as $\sigma \rightarrow 1$ above gives a contradiction, the quadruple zero cancels the triple pole and $\zeta(1 + 2it)$ remains bounded. Hence there are no zeros on $\sigma = 1$ as claimed. \square

2 Primes in Arithmetic Progressions

Proposition. *The sum of the reciprocals of the primes diverges,*

$$\sum_p 1/p = \infty$$

Proof. Taking the logarithm of the Euler product for $\zeta(s)$ ($s > 1$ real) we get

$$\log(\zeta(s)) = - \sum_p \log(1 - p^{-s}) = \sum_{n,p} \frac{1}{np^{ns}}$$

using the power series expansion

$$-\log(1 - z) = \sum_n \frac{z^n}{n}.$$

The sum over $n > 1$ converges

$$\sum_{n,p} \frac{1}{n} p^{-ns} = \sum_p p^{-s} + O(1)$$

since

$$\sum_{p, n \geq 2} \frac{1}{n} p^{-ns} < \sum_p \frac{p^{-2}}{1 - p^{-s}} < \sum_n n^{-2} < \infty.$$

□

More specifically, although we don't need it and the above is merely motivational, we have

Theorem (Mertens). $\sum_{p \leq x} 1/p = C + \log \log x + O(1/\log x)$ with $C = ?$

Proof. Let $S(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \log n = \log([x]!) = x \log x - x + O(\log x)$. Then

$$S(x) = \sum_{lm \leq x} \Lambda(l) = \sum_{l \leq x} \Lambda(l) \left[\frac{x}{l} \right] = x \sum_{l \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(l)}{l} + O(\psi(x)).$$

Since $\psi(x) \asymp x$ (see the prime number theorem section) we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n} = \log x + O(1).$$

Since $\sum_{p, \alpha \geq 2} \Lambda(p)/p^\alpha < \infty$, we have

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p} = \log x + O(1).$$

Now use summation by parts

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} &= \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p} \frac{1}{\log p} \\ &= \frac{1}{\log x} \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p} + \int_2^x \frac{\sum_{p \leq t} \frac{\log p}{p}}{t(\log t)^2} dt \\ &= 1 + O(1/\log x) + \int_2^x \frac{1}{t \log t} dt + O\left(\int_2^x \frac{1}{t(\log t)^2} dt\right) \\ &= C + \log(\log x) + O(1/\log x) \end{aligned}$$

for some constant C .

□

Definition. A Dirichlet character to the modulus q , $\chi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, is induced by a homomorphism $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and defined to be zero for $(n, q) > 1$. They form an abelian group under pointwise multiplication isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$ with identity χ_0 (the principal character) and $\chi^{-1} = \bar{\chi}$. Also note that Dirichlet characters are completely multiplicative, $\chi(ab) = \chi(a)\chi(b)$.

Lemma (Orthogonality relations).

$$\frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi} \chi(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & a \equiv 1(q) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \chi = \chi_0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Definition. The Dirichlet L -series associated to a character χ , $L(s, \chi)$, is defined by

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_n \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p (1 - \chi(p)p^{-s})^{-1}$$

for $\sigma > 1$.

For future reference we note that the series on the left actually converges on $\sigma > 0$ for non-principal χ and that $L(s, \chi_0)$ can be continued to $\sigma > 0$ with a simple pole at $s = 1$. Using summation by parts (cf. the section on the Riemann zeta function) we have, for non-principal χ

$$\sum_n \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} = \sum_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \chi(k) \right) (n^{-s} - (n+1)^{-s}) = s \int_1^\infty \left(\sum_{n \leq x} \chi(n) \right) x^{-s-1} dx$$

with $\sum_{n \leq x} \chi(n) \leq \phi(q)$, whereas for χ_0 we have

$$L(s, \chi_0) = \zeta(s) \prod_{p|q} (1 - p^{-s})$$

and the claim follows from the properties of the Riemann zeta function.

Theorem (Primes in Arithmetic Progressions). For $(a, q) = 1$ there are infinitely many primes p such that $p \equiv a(q)$. More precisely, the sum of the reciprocals of such primes diverges, $\sum_{p \equiv a(q)} 1/p = \infty$.

Proof. Taking the logarithm of the Euler product for $L(s, \chi)$ gives (similar to the above)

$$\log(L(s, \chi)) = - \sum_p \log(1 - \chi(p)p^{-s}) = \sum_{n,p} \frac{\chi(p)}{np^{ns}} = \sum_p \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} + O(1).$$

Multiplying by $\bar{\chi}(a)/\phi(q)$ and summing over all characters modulo q selects primes congruent to a modulo q (using orthogonality)

$$\frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi} \bar{\chi}(a) \log(L(s, \chi)) = \sum_p p^{-s} \sum_{\chi} \chi(pa^{-1}) + O(1) = \sum_{p \equiv a(q)} \frac{1}{p^s} + O(1).$$

On the left hand side, the term corresponding to the principal character diverges. If $L(1, \chi) \neq 0$ for the non-principal characters (we know that $L(1, \chi)$ is well-defined) then letting $s \rightarrow 1^+$ gives the divergence of the sum on the right-hand side,

$$\sum_{p \equiv a(q)} \frac{1}{p} = \infty$$

indicating the existence of infinitely many primes in a given arithmetic progression $a \pmod q$. \square

To validate the proof above, we must prove the non-vanishing of $L(1, \chi)$ for non-principal characters. Three proofs are provided below.

Theorem (Non-vanishing of $L(1, \chi)$). *For a non-principal character χ , we have*

$$L(1, \chi) \neq 0.$$

(*Proof 1, de la Vallée Poussin*). First note that for $s > 1$ real, we have

$$F(s) = \prod_{\chi} L(s, \chi) \geq 1$$

since its logarithm is positive

$$\log(F(s)) = \sum_{\chi, p, n} \frac{\chi(p)}{np^{ns}} = \sum_{p \equiv 1(q), n} \frac{1}{np^{ns}} > 0.$$

If $L(1, \chi) = 0$ for a complex character $\chi \neq \bar{\chi}$, then $L(1, \bar{\chi}) = 0$ as well. From this we see that $F(s)$ has a zero at $s = 1$ (exactly one pole from the principal character, and at least two zeros from $\chi, \bar{\chi}$), a contradiction.

So we need only consider real characters. Given a real character χ with $L(1, \chi) = 0$, consider the auxiliary function

$$\psi(s) = \frac{L(s, \chi)L(s, \chi_0)}{L(2s, \chi_0)}$$

which is analytic on $\sigma > 1/2$ with $\lim_{s \rightarrow 1/2^+} \psi(s) = 0$. Consider the product expansion for ψ

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(s) &= \prod_p (1 - \chi(p)p^{-s})^{-1} (1 - \chi_0(p)p^{-s})^{-1} (1 - \chi_0(p)p^{-2s}) \\ &= \prod_{p \nmid q} \frac{1 - p^{-2s}}{(1 - p^{-s})(1 - \chi(p)p^{-s})} = \prod_{\chi(p)=1} \frac{1 + p^{-s}}{1 - p^{-s}} \\ &= \prod_{\chi(p)=1} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2p^{-ns} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\psi(s) = \sum_n a_n n^{-s}$ is a Dirichlet series with positive coefficients and $a_0 = 1$. Now expand ψ as a power series around $s = 2$, $\psi(s) = \sum_m b_m (s-2)^m$, and note that the radius of convergence is at least $3/2$ (the first singularity is at $s = 1/2$). The coefficients are given by

$$b_m = \frac{\psi^{(m)}(2)}{m!} = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_n a_n (-\log n)^m n^{-2} = (-1)^m c_m$$

for some non-negative c_m . Hence

$$\psi(s) = \sum_m c_m (2-s)^m$$

with $c_m \geq 0$ and $c_0 = \psi(2) = \sum_n a_n n^{-2} \geq a_0 = 1$. From this it follows that $\psi(s) \geq 1$ for $s \in (1/2, 2)$, contradicting $\psi(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow 1/2^+$. Therefore $L(s, \chi) \neq 0$ as desired. \square

(*Proof 2, taken from Serre*). We reconsider the function $F(s)$ from above, and claim an equality

$$F(s) = \prod_{\chi} L(s, \chi) = \prod_{p, \chi} (1 - \chi(p)p^{-s})^{-1} = \prod_{p \nmid q} (1 - p^{-f(p)s})^{-g(p)}$$

where $f(p)$ is the order of p modulo q and $g(p) = \phi(q)/f(p)$. [Note that $F(s)$ is the Dedekind zeta function of the q th cyclotomic field, away from the ramified primes.] By definition, $\chi(p)$ is an f th root of unity and for each choice of such a root, there are g choices to extend the character from the subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$ generated by p to the entire group. Hence

$$\prod_{\chi} (1 - \chi(p)T) = (1 - T^f)^g.$$

If $L(1, \chi) = 0$ for some non-principal χ , then $F(s)$ is analytic at for $\sigma > 0$ (the L -series for non-principal χ already are, and the simple pole of $L(s, \chi_0)$ at $s = 1$ is balanced by the supposed zero of $L(s, \chi)$ at $s = 1$). However, looking at the product expansion, for $s > 0$ we have

$$(1 - p^{-fs})^{-g} = \left(\sum_k p^{-kfs} \right)^g \geq \sum_k p^{-\phi(q)ks} = (1 - p^{-\phi(q)s})^{-1}$$

(taking diagonal terms and noting $fg = \phi(q)$) so that

$$F(s) \geq \prod_{p \nmid q} (1 - p^{-\phi(q)s})^{-1} = \zeta(\phi(q)s) \prod_{p \nmid q} (1 - p^{-\phi(q)s})$$

which diverges at $s = 1/\phi(q)$, a contradiction. Therefore, there can be no χ with $L(1, \chi) = 0$. \square

(*Proof 3, Monsky*). Here is an elementary proof for the non-vanishing of $L(1, \chi)$ for non-principal real χ . Let $c_n = \sum_{d|n} \chi(d)$. Note that $c_n \geq 0$ since c_n is multiplicative and

$$c_{p^a} = 1 + \chi(p) + \chi(p)^2 + \cdots + \chi(p)^a \geq 0.$$

Also note that $\sum_n c_n = \infty$ since $c_{p^a} = 1$ for any prime dividing q . Now consider the function (convergent on $[0, 1)$)

$$f(t) = \sum_n \chi(n) \frac{t^n}{1-t^n} = \sum_n \sum_d \chi(n) t^{nd} = \sum_n t^n c_n$$

which we showed satisfies $f(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow 1^-$. If $\sum_n \chi(n)/n = 0$ then ($t \in [0, 1)$)

$$-f(t) = \sum_n \left(\frac{\chi(n)}{n} \frac{1}{1-t} - \frac{\chi(n)t^n}{1-t^n} \right) = \sum_n \chi(n) \left(\frac{1}{n(1-t)} - \frac{t^n}{1-t^n} \right) =: \sum_n \chi(n) b_n.$$

Note that $\sum_{n \leq x} \chi(n) \leq \phi(q)$ and that $b_n \rightarrow 0$. If we can show that the b_n are decreasing, then the series converges for all $t \in [0, 1)$ (summation by parts!) contradicting $f(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow 1^-$. To this end, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1-t)(b_n - b_{n+1}) &= \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{t^n}{1+t+\dots+t^{n-1}} + \frac{t^{n+1}}{1+t+\dots+t^n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n(n+1)} - \frac{t^n}{(1+t+\dots+t^{n-1})(1+t+\dots+t^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we have ($t \in [0, 1)$)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n}(1+t+\dots+t^{n-1}) &\geq (t^{n(n-1)/2})^{1/n} \geq t^{n/2}, \\ \frac{1}{n+1}(1+t+\dots+t^n) &\geq (t^{n(n+1)/2})^{1/(n+1)} \geq t^{n/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (1-t)(b_{n+1} - b_n) &= \frac{1}{n(n+1)} - \frac{t^n}{(1+t+\dots+t^{n-1})(1+t+\dots+t^n)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n(n+1)} - \frac{t^n}{t^n n(n+1)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and the theorem follows. □

[Note: add some kind of density statement.]

3 Functional equations for $\zeta(s), L(s, \chi)$

Lemma (Poisson Summation). *Suppose f is “nice” and decays sufficiently fast at infinity, so that the periodization $F(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x+n)$ converges and is equal to its Fourier series. Then*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(n).$$

where $\hat{f}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{-2\pi i t x} dt$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n) &= F(0) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_0^1 F(x) e^{-2\pi i n x} dx \right) e^{2\pi i n 0} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x+m) \right) e^{-2\pi i n x} dx \\ &= \sum_{n, m \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_m^{m+1} f(x) e^{-2\pi i n x} dx = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i n x} dx = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(n).\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma. *The function*

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 \pi x}$$

satisfies the functional equation

$$\theta(1/x) = x^{1/2} \theta(x).$$

Proof. Apply Poisson summation to $f(z) = e^{-\pi z^2/x}$ with $x > 0$ fixed. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}(n) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi z^2/x} e^{-2\pi i n z} dz \quad (z \mapsto x^{1/2} z) \\ &= x^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi(z^2 + 2i n x^{1/2} z)} dz = x^{1/2} e^{-\pi n^2 x} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi(z + i n x^{1/2})^2} dz \\ &= x^{1/2} e^{-\pi n^2 x}\end{aligned}$$

since the last integral is 1, comparing it to

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi z^2} dz \right)^2 = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\pi r^2} r dr d\theta = 1$$

say by integrating around a long rectangle along the real axis. [Or note that $g(z) = e^{-\pi z^2}$ is its own Fourier transform so that $\hat{f}(z) = g(z/\sqrt{x})^\wedge = \sqrt{x} \hat{g}(\sqrt{x} z) = \sqrt{x} g(\sqrt{x} z) = \sqrt{x} e^{-\pi z^2 x}$.] Summing over n we get

$$\theta(1/x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(n) = x^{1/2} \theta(x).$$

□

Theorem (Functional equation for $\zeta(s)$). *The equation*

$$\pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s(s-1)} + \int_1^{\infty} (x^{s/2} + x^{(1-s)/2}) \omega(x) \frac{dx}{x}$$

(where $\omega(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 \pi x} = (\theta(x) - 1)/2$) gives a continuation of $\zeta(s)$ to the whole plane. The expression on the right is invariant under $s \leftrightarrow 1-s$, so that

$$\xi(s) := \frac{1}{2} s(s-1) \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s) = \xi(1-s),$$

and $\xi(s)$ is entire.

Proof. We start with the gamma function (say for $\sigma > 1$)

$$\Gamma(s/2) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{s/2-1} dx$$

and make a change of variable, $x = n^2 \pi t$ to obtain

$$n^{-s} \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) = \int_0^\infty e^{-n^2 \pi t} t^{s/2-1} dt.$$

Sum over n and interchange limits ($\sum_n \int_0^\infty e^{-n^2 \pi t} t^{s/2-1} dt$ converges uniformly for $\sigma > 0$) to obtain

$$\pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s) = \int_0^\infty \omega(t) t^{s/2-1} dt.$$

Split the integral at $t = 1$ and use the functional equation for $\theta(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(1/t) &= \frac{1}{2}(\theta(1/t) - 1) = \frac{1}{2}(t^{1/2}\theta(t) - 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(t^{1/2}(2\omega(t) + 1) - 1) = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{t^{1/2}}{2} + t^{1/2}\omega(t) \end{aligned}$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-s} \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) &= \int_0^\infty \omega(t) t^{s/2-1} dt = \int_0^1 \omega(t) t^{s/2-1} dt + \int_1^\infty \omega(t) t^{s/2-1} dt \\ (t \mapsto 1/t) &= \int_1^\infty \left[\left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{t^{1/2}}{2} + t^{1/2}\omega(t) \right) t^{-s/2+1} \right] \frac{1}{t^2} dt + \int_1^\infty \omega(t) t^{s/2-1} dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s-1} + \int_1^\infty [\omega(t) t^{-s/2-1/2} + \omega(t) t^{s/2-1}] dt \\ &= \frac{1}{s(s-1)} + \int_1^\infty (t^{-s/2-1/2} + t^{s/2-1}) \omega(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{s(s-1)} + \int_1^\infty (t^{(1-s)/2} + t^{s/2}) \omega(t) \frac{dt}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last integral converges for all s . □

Here is another version/proof of the functional equation for $\zeta(s)$.

Theorem. *The Riemann zeta function satisfies*

$$\zeta(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \Gamma(s-1) \sin(\pi s/2) \zeta(1-s).$$

Proof. Start with the gamma integral, make a change of variable $y = nx$

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty y^{s-1} e^{-y} dy = n^s \int_0^\infty x^{s-1} e^{-nx} dx,$$

divide by n^s and sum over n to obtain

$$\Gamma(s)\zeta(s) = \sum_n \int_0^\infty x^{s-1} e^{-nx} dx = \int_0^\infty x^{s-1} \left(\sum_n e^{-nx} \right) dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{s-1}}{e^x - 1} dx.$$

Consider the contour integral

$$I(s) = \int_C \frac{z^{s-1}}{e^z - 1} dz$$

where C goes from $+\infty$ just above the real axis, circles the origin once (avoiding non-zero roots of $e^z - 1$), and returns to $+\infty$ just below the real axis. If C_ρ is the circle around the origin and $z = \rho e^{i\theta}$, then for $\sigma > 1$ we have

$$\left| \int_{C_\rho} \frac{z^{s-1}}{e^z - 1} dz \right| \leq 2\pi\rho \frac{\rho^{\sigma-1}}{|\sum_{n \geq 1} \rho^n e^{in\theta} / n!|} = \frac{2\pi\rho^{\sigma-1}}{|e^{i\theta} + \rho(\dots)|} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \rho \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} I(s) &= - \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{s-1}}{e^x - 1} dx + \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{2\pi i(s-1)} x^{s-1}}{e^x - 1} dx = (e^{2\pi i(s-1)} - 1) \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{s-1}}{e^x - 1} dx \\ &= (e^{2\pi i(s-1)} - 1) \Gamma(s) \zeta(s) = (e^{2\pi i(s-1)} - 1) \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi s) \Gamma(1-s)} \zeta(s) \\ &= \zeta(s) \frac{2\pi i e^{\pi i s}}{\Gamma(1-s)}, \end{aligned}$$

(using the identity $\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-s) = \pi / \sin(\pi s)$) so that

$$\zeta(s) = e^{-i\pi s} \Gamma(1-s) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{z^{s-1}}{e^z - 1} dz.$$

To get the functional equation, consider the contour C_n starting at $+\infty$ just above the real axis, going around the square defined by the vertices $\{(2n+1)\pi(\pm 1 \pm i)\}$, and returning to $+\infty$ just below the real axis. We use residues ($(e^z - 1)^{-1}$ has simple poles with residue 1 at $2\pi i k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$) to calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_n - C} \frac{z^{s-1}}{e^z - 1} dz &= \sum_{k=1}^n [(2\pi i k)^{s-1} + (-2\pi i k)^{s-1}] = \sum_{k=1}^n (2\pi k)^{s-1} (i^{s-1} + (-i)^{s-1}) \\ &= e^{(s-1)\pi i} 2^s \pi^{s-1} \cos\left(\frac{\pi(s-1)}{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^n k^{s-1} \text{ (note } -i = e^{3\pi i/2}) \\ &= e^{(s-1)\pi i} 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^n k^{s-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives us

$$\begin{aligned} I(s) &= \int_C \frac{z^{s-1}}{e^z - 1} dz = \int_{C_n} \frac{z^{s-1}}{e^z - 1} dz - \int_{C_n - C} \frac{z^{s-1}}{e^z - 1} dz \\ &= \int_{C_n} \frac{z^{s-1}}{e^z - 1} dz - i e^{(s-1)\pi i} 2^{s+1} \pi^s \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^n k^{s-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\int_{C_n} \frac{z^{s-1}}{e^z-1} dz \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to get the functional equation by taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ above

$$\begin{aligned} I(s) &= \zeta(s) \frac{2\pi i e^{\pi i s}}{\Gamma(1-s)} = -i e^{(s-1)\pi i} 2^{s+1} \pi^s \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \zeta(1-s) \\ &\Rightarrow \zeta(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \Gamma(s-1) \sin(\pi s/2) \zeta(1-s). \end{aligned}$$

□

An easy consequence of the above work is the value of $\zeta(s)$ at non-negative integers and at positive even integers. From the integral representation of $\zeta(s)$ above, we have, for $n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(-n) &= e^{n\pi i} \Gamma(n+1) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{z^{-n-1}}{e^z-1} dz \\ &= (-1)^n n! \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C z^{-n-2} \left(\sum_n \frac{B_n}{n!} z^n \right) dz \\ &= (-1)^n n! \frac{B_{n+1}}{(n+1)!} = (-1)^n \frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

where the Bernoulli numbers, B_n come from the coefficients of the Taylor series

$$\frac{z}{e^z-1} = \sum_n \frac{B_n}{n!} z^n.$$

For instance

$$\zeta(0) = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \zeta(-1) = -\frac{1}{12}, \quad \zeta(-3) = \frac{1}{120}, \quad \text{and } \zeta(-2n) = 0 \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

Using the functional equation, we get, for $n \geq 1$ odd (else we get $0 = 0$ below)

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^n \frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1} &= \zeta(-n) = 2^{-n} \pi^{-n-1} \sin(-n\pi/2) \zeta(1+n) \Gamma(1+n) \\ &\Rightarrow \zeta(2m) = \frac{B_{2m}}{(2m)!} 2^{2m-1} \pi^{2m} (-1)^{m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

For instance

$$\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}, \quad \zeta(4) = \frac{\pi^4}{90}, \quad \zeta(6) = \frac{\pi^6}{945}.$$

We now move on to the functional equation for $L(s, \chi)$ where χ is *primitive*, i.e. q is the smallest period of χ in that there does not exist a divisor d of q and a character χ' modulo d such that χ is given by the composition

$$(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times \xrightarrow{\chi'} \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

Definition. A Gauss sum $\tau(\chi)$ associated to a character χ of modulus q is

$$\tau(\chi) = \sum_{m=1}^q \chi(m) e^{2\pi i m/q}.$$

More generally, define

$$\tau(\chi, z) = \sum_{m=1}^q \chi(m) e^{2\pi i m z/q}.$$

Lemma. If χ is primitive, we have

$$\chi(n) = \frac{1}{\tau(\bar{\chi})} \sum_m \bar{\chi}(m) e^{2\pi i m n/q} = \frac{\tau(\bar{\chi}, n)}{\tau(\bar{\chi})},$$

and $|\tau(\chi)|^2 = q$.

Proof. If $(n, q) = 1$ we have

$$\chi(n)\tau(\bar{\chi}) = \chi(n) \sum_m \bar{\chi}(m) e^{2\pi i m/q} = \sum_m \bar{\chi}(m n^{-1}) e^{2\pi i m n^{-1}/q} = \sum_k \bar{\chi}(k) e^{2\pi i k n/q} = \tau(\bar{\chi}, n)$$

where $k = m n^{-1}$ modulo q , whether or not χ is primitive. The last expression also holds for $(n, q) = d > 1$ if χ is primitive (in which case both sides are zero). It cannot be the case that χ is trivial on the kernel $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times$ else we extend from the image to a character $\chi' : (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and χ is not primitive. Hence there is a b prime to q and congruent to 1 modulo d with $\chi(b) \neq 1$, in which case $b n \equiv n(q)$ since

$$b - 1 = l d = l \frac{q}{(n, q)} \Rightarrow n(b - 1) = \frac{n l}{(n, q)} q.$$

Therefore

$$\tau(\chi, n) = \sum_a \chi(a) e^{2\pi i a n/q} = \sum_a \chi(ab) e^{2\pi i a b n/q} = \chi(b) \sum_a \chi(a) e^{2\pi i a n/q} = \chi(b) \tau(\chi, n)$$

with $\chi(b) \neq 1$ so that $\tau(\chi, n) = 0$ as desired.

Finally, we show that for χ primitive, $|\tau(\chi)| = q^{1/2}$. Using the expression above we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(q) |\tau(\bar{\chi})|^2 &= \sum_n |\chi(n)|^2 |\tau(\bar{\chi})|^2 = \sum_{n, k, l} \chi(k) \bar{\chi}(l) e^{2\pi i (l-k)n/q} \\ &= \sum_{k=l} \sum_n |\chi(k)|^2 + \sum_{k \neq l} \chi(k) \bar{\chi}(l) \sum_n e^{2\pi i (l-k)n/q} \\ &= q \phi(q) + 0, \end{aligned}$$

and $|\tau(\chi)|^2 = q$ as desired. Hence we can divide by $\tau(\chi)$ and the lemma follows. \square

We need functional equations similar to that of $\theta(1/x) = x^{1/2} \theta(x)$ used above.

Proposition (Functional equations for ψ_0, ψ_1). *Given a primitive character χ of modulus q , define ψ_0, ψ_1 (for $\chi(-1) = 1$ or -1) by*

$$\psi_0(\chi, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi(n) e^{-n^2 \pi x / q}, \quad \psi_1(\chi, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n \chi(n) e^{-n^2 \pi x / q}.$$

These functions satisfy the functional equations

$$\psi_0(\bar{\chi}, 1/x) = (x/q)^{1/2} \tau(\bar{\chi}) \psi_0(\chi, x), \quad \psi_1(\bar{\chi}, 1/x) = -ix^{3/2} q^{-1/2} \tau(\bar{\chi}) \psi_1(\chi, x).$$

Proof. Define functions

$$f(z, x) = e^{-\pi z^2 / x}, \quad f_0(z, x) = e^{-\pi(qz+b)^2 / (qx)}, \quad f_1(z, x) = (qz+b) e^{-\pi(qz+b)^2 / (qx)}$$

so that

$$f_0(z, x) = f(qz+b, qx), \quad f_1(z, x) = \frac{qz}{-2\pi} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(qz+b, qx).$$

We showed earlier that $\hat{f}(z, x) = x^{1/2} f(z, 1/x)$ and applied Poisson summation to get the functional equation for θ earlier. We piggyback off of this using properties of the Fourier transform, namely

$$(g(z+b))^\wedge = e^{2\pi i b} \hat{g}(z), \quad (g(az))^\wedge = \frac{1}{a} \hat{g}(z/a), \quad \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial z} \right)^\wedge = 2\pi i z \hat{g}(z).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_0(z, x) &= q^{-1} e^{2\pi i z b / q} \hat{f}(z/q, qx) = (x/q)^{1/2} e^{-\pi z^2 x / q} e^{2\pi i z b / q}, \\ \hat{f}_1(z, x) &= \frac{qz}{-2\pi} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(qz+b, qx) \right)^\wedge = \frac{x}{-2\pi} e^{2\pi i z b / q} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right)^\wedge(z/q, qx) \\ &= -ix^{3/2} q^{-1/2} z e^{2\pi i z b / q} e^{-\pi z^2 x / q}. \end{aligned}$$

By Poisson summation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n \hat{f}_0(n, x) &= \sum_n (x/q)^{1/2} e^{-\pi n^2 x / q} e^{2\pi i n b / q} = \sum_n f_0(n, x) = \sum_n e^{-\pi(qn+b)^2 / (qx)}, \\ \sum_n \hat{f}_1(n, x) &= \sum_n -ix^{3/2} q^{-1/2} n e^{2\pi i n b / q} e^{-\pi n^2 x / q} = \sum_n f_1(n, x) = \sum_n (qn+b) e^{-\pi(qn+b)^2 / (qx)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now multiply by $\bar{\chi}(b)$ and sum over b modulo q to get

$$\begin{aligned} (x/q)^{1/2} \sum_n e^{-\pi n^2 x / q} \sum_b \bar{\chi}(b) e^{2\pi i n b / q} &= \sum_{n,b} \bar{\chi}(b) e^{-\pi(qn+b)^2 / (qx)}, \\ -ix^{3/2} q^{-1/2} \sum_n n e^{-\pi n^2 x / q} \sum_b \bar{\chi}(b) e^{2\pi i n b / q} &= \sum_{n,b} \bar{\chi}(b) (qn+b) e^{-\pi(qn+b)^2 / (qx)}. \end{aligned}$$

Use the lemma above ($\tau(\bar{\chi}, n) = \chi(n) \tau(\bar{\chi})$) to finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (x/q)^{1/2} \tau(\bar{\chi}) \psi_0(\chi, x) &= \psi_0(\bar{\chi}, 1/x), \\ -ix^{3/2} q^{-1/2} \tau(\bar{\chi}) \psi_1(\chi, x) &= \psi_1(\bar{\chi}, 1/x). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem (Functional equation for $L(s, \chi)$). Given a primitive character χ of modulus q , define

$$\xi(s, \chi) = (\pi/q)^{-\frac{s+a}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+a}{2}\right) L(s, \chi)$$

where $a = 0, 1$ if $\chi(-1) = 1, -1$. Then ξ satisfies the functional equation

$$\xi(1-s, \bar{\chi}) = \frac{i^a q^{1/2}}{\tau(\chi)} \xi(s, \chi).$$

Proof. Suppose $\chi(-1) = 1$. We proceed as in the construction of the functional equation for ζ starting with

$$\Gamma(s/2) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{s/2-1} dx,$$

substituting $x = n^2 \pi t / q$

$$(\pi/q)^{-s/2} n^{-s} \Gamma(s/2) = \int_0^\infty e^{-n^2 \pi t / q} t^{s/2-1} dt,$$

multiplying by $\chi(n)$ and summing over n to get

$$\xi(s, \chi) = (\pi/q)^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) L(s, \chi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \psi_0(t, \chi) t^{s/2-1} dt$$

where

$$\psi_0(t, \chi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi(n) e^{-n^2 \pi t / q}.$$

Split the integral at $t = 1$ and use the functional equation for ψ_0

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(s, \chi) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_1^\infty \psi_0(t, \chi) t^{s/2-1} dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^\infty \psi_0(1/t, \chi) t^{-s/2-1} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_1^\infty \psi_0(t, \chi) t^{s/2-1} dt + \frac{\tau(\chi)}{2q^{1/2}} \int_1^\infty \psi_0(t, \bar{\chi}) t^{-s/2-1/2} dt \\ &= \frac{\tau(\chi)}{q^{1/2}} \xi(1-s, \bar{\chi}) \end{aligned}$$

using the fact that $\tau(\chi)\tau(\bar{\chi}) = \tau(\chi)\overline{\tau(\chi)} = q$ (since χ is even).

Now assume $\chi(-1) = -1$. We start with $\Gamma((s+1)/2)$

$$\Gamma((s+1)/2) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{(s-1)/2} dx,$$

substituting $x = n^2 \pi t / q$

$$(\pi/q)^{-(s+1)/2} n^{-s} \Gamma((s+1)/2) = \int_0^\infty n e^{-n^2 \pi t / q} t^{(s-1)/2} dt,$$

multiplying by $\chi(n)$ and summing over n to get

$$\xi(s, \chi) = (\pi/q)^{-(s+1)/2} \Gamma((s+1)/2) L(s, \chi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \psi_1(t, \chi) t^{(s-1)/2} dt$$

where

$$\psi_1(t, \chi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n \chi(n) e^{-n^2 \pi t / q}.$$

Split the integral at $t = 1$ and use the functional equation for ψ_1

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(s, \chi) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_1^\infty \psi_0(t, \chi) t^{(s-1)/2} dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^\infty \psi_0(1/t, \chi) t^{-(s+3)/2} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_1^\infty \psi_0(t, \chi) t^{(s-1)/2} dt + \frac{iq^{1/2}}{2\tau(\bar{\chi})} \int_1^\infty \psi_0(t, \bar{\chi}) t^{-s/2} dt \\ &= \xi(1-s, \bar{\chi}) \end{aligned}$$

using the fact that $\tau(\chi)\tau(\bar{\chi}) = -\tau(\chi)\overline{\tau(\chi)} = -q$ (since χ is odd). \square

Here is another proof of the functional equation, along the lines of the second proof for ζ given above (taken from Brendt).

Theorem. *For χ modulo q primitive, we have*

$$L(1-s, \chi) = q^{s-1} (2\pi)^{-s} \tau(\chi) \Gamma(s) L(s, \bar{\chi}) (e^{-\pi is/2} + \chi(-1) e^{\pi is/2}).$$

Proof. Start with Γ , make a change of variable, multiply by $\chi(n)$ and sum over n

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(s) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{s-1} dx = n^s \int_0^\infty e^{-nx} x^{s-1} dx, \\ L(s, \chi) \Gamma(s) &= \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_n \chi(n) e^{-nx} \right) x^{s-1} dx = \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_a \chi(a) \sum_n e^{-(nq+a)x} \right) x^{s-1} dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty x^{s-1} \sum_n e^{-nqx} \sum_a \chi(a) e^{-ax} dx = \int_0^\infty \tau \left(\chi, \frac{iqx}{2\pi} \right) \frac{x^{s-1}}{1 - e^{qx}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

We will calculate the integral using residues. Consider the function

$$F(z) = \frac{\pi e^{-\pi iz} \tau(\bar{\chi}, z)}{z^s \sin(\pi z) \tau(\bar{\chi})}$$

and the positively oriented contour C_m consisting of two right semicircles and the segments connecting them

$$\Gamma_m = \{(m+1/2)e^{i\theta} : -\pi/2 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2\}, \Gamma_\epsilon = \{\epsilon e^{i\theta} : -\pi/2 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2\}, \{it : \pm\epsilon \leq t \leq \pm(m+1)\}.$$

F is meromorphic on the interior of C_m with simple poles at the zeros of the sine factor, $z = 1, \dots, m$, with residues

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow n} (z-n) F(z) = \frac{e^{-n\pi i} \tau(\bar{\chi}, n)}{n^s \tau(\bar{\chi})} \lim_{z \rightarrow n} \frac{\pi(z-n)}{\sin(\pi z)} = (-1)^n \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} \lim_{z \rightarrow n} \frac{\pi(z-n)}{(-1)^n \sin(\pi(z-n))} = \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_m} F(z) dz = \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}.$$

For $s > 1$ the integral on Γ_m goes to zero since

$$\left| \frac{\pi e^{-\pi iz} \tau(\bar{\chi}, z)}{\tau(\bar{\chi}) \sin(\pi z)} \right| = \left| \frac{2\pi i \tau(\bar{\chi}, z)}{\tau(\bar{\chi})(e^{2\pi iz} - 1)} \right| \leq 2\pi \sqrt{q} \frac{e^{-2\pi \Im(z)/q}}{|e^{2\pi iz} - 1|} \leq M$$

is bounded. Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$L(s, \chi) = \int_{i\epsilon}^{i\infty} \frac{\tau(\bar{\chi}, z) dz}{\tau(\bar{\chi}) z^s (1 - e^{2\pi iz})} + \int_{-i\epsilon}^{-i\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi iz} \tau(\bar{\chi}, z) dz}{\tau(\bar{\chi}) z^s (1 - e^{-2\pi iz})} + \int_{\Gamma_\epsilon} F(z) dz,$$

and the two infinite integrals converge uniformly on compacta. For $s < 0$, $F(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow 0$ since $\tau(\bar{\chi}, z) \rightarrow 0$ and $\sin(\pi z)$ has a simple zero. Hence the integral over Γ_ϵ goes to zero and we get, letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $z \mapsto iy, -iy$ in the first line, $y \mapsto qy/2\pi$ in the second line,

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \chi) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{i\tau(\bar{\chi}, iy) dy}{\tau(\bar{\chi})(e^{\pi i/2} y)^s (1 - e^{-2\pi y})} + \int_0^\infty \frac{-ie^{-2\pi y} \tau(\bar{\chi}, -iy) dy}{\tau(\bar{\chi})(e^{\pi i/2} y)^s (1 - e^{-2\pi y})} \\ &= ie^{-\pi is/2} (q/2\pi)^{1-s} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau(\bar{\chi}, iqy/2\pi) dy}{\tau(\bar{\chi}) y^s (1 - e^{-qy})} \\ &\quad - ie^{\pi is/2} (q/2\pi)^{1-s} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-qy} \tau(\bar{\chi}, -iqy/2\pi) dy}{\tau(\bar{\chi}) y^s (1 - e^{-qy})}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that under $j \mapsto q - j$ in the Gauss sum we have

$$\tau(\chi, z) = \sum_j \chi(j) e^{2\pi i j z / q} = \chi(-1) e^{2\pi iz} \sum_j \chi(j) e^{-2\pi i j z / q} = \chi(-1) e^{2\pi iz} \tau(\chi, -z).$$

Using this above we get

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \chi) &= \frac{i}{\tau(\bar{\chi})} (q/2\pi)^{1-s} (e^{-\pi is/2} - \chi(-1) e^{\pi is/2}) \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau(\bar{\chi}, iqy/2\pi) dy}{y^s (1 - e^{-qy})} \\ &= \frac{i}{\tau(\bar{\chi})} (q/2\pi)^{1-s} (e^{-\pi is/2} - \chi(-1) e^{\pi is/2}) \Gamma(1-s) L(1-s, \bar{\chi}) \end{aligned}$$

upon inspection of our earlier integral representation for $\Gamma(s)L(s, \chi)$. Upon $s \rightarrow 1-s$ and using $\tau(\chi)\tau(\bar{\chi}) = \chi(-1)q$, we get the stated functional equation. \square

4 Product Formula for $\xi(s), \xi(s, \chi)$

We would like to establish the product representation

$$\xi(s) = e^{A+Bs} \prod_\rho \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right) e^{s/\rho}, \quad e^A = \xi(0) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad B = \frac{\xi'(0)}{\xi(0)} = -\frac{\gamma}{2} - 1 + \frac{1}{2} \log 4\pi$$

where the product is over all the zeros of ξ , i.e. the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function, and a similar product

$$\xi(s, \chi) = e^{A+Bs} \prod_{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right) e^{s/\rho},$$

the product over all zeros of $\xi(s, \chi)$, the non-trivial zeros of $L(s, \chi)$.

We have the following theorems of complex analysis.

Theorem (Weierstrass Factorization). *For any entire function with non-zero zeros a_n (repeated with multiplicity) and a zero of order m at zero there exists an entire function g and a sequence of integers p_n such that*

$$f(z) = e^{g(z)} z^m \prod_n E_{p_n}(z/a_n)$$

where

$$E_0(z) = 1 - z, \quad E_p(z) = (1 - z)e^{1+z+z^2/2+\dots+z^p/p}$$

Conversely, if $|a_n| \rightarrow \infty$ is a sequence of non-zero complex numbers and p_n are integers such that

$$\sum_n \left(\frac{r}{|a_n|}\right)^{p_n+1} < \infty \text{ for all } r > 0,$$

then

$$\prod_n E_{p_n}(z/a_n)$$

is entire with zeros only at a_n (with prescribed multiplicity).

For example

$$\sin(\pi z) = \pi z \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} (1 - z/n)e^{z/n} = \pi z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - z^2/n^2),$$

and

$$1/\Gamma(z) = e^{\gamma z} z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + z/n)e^{-z/n}$$

We say that an entire function f is of *order* $\lambda < \infty$ if λ is greatest lower bound among λ such that

$$|f(z)| = O\left(e^{|z|^\lambda}\right) \text{ as } |z| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Assume that an entire function f has a Weierstrass factorization with $p_n = p$ constant (i.e. there is an integer p such that $\sum_n |a_n|^{-(p+1)} < \infty$) and $g(z)$ a polynomial of degree q . Taking p minimal makes p and $g + 2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ unique. The *genus* of f is the maximum of p and q . We have the following proposition.

Proposition. *If f is entire of genus μ , then for all $\alpha > 0$ and for $|z|$ large enough, we have*

$$|f(z)| \leq e^{\alpha|z|^{\mu+1}},$$

i.e. an entire function f of genus μ has order less or equal $\mu + 1$.

The proof of the proposition depends on the following.

Theorem (Jensen's Formula). *If f is entire with zeros z_i inside $|z| < R$, $f(0) \neq 0$, and $f(z) \neq 0$ on $|z| = R$, then*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(Re^{i\theta})| d\theta = \log |f(0)| + \log \frac{R^n}{|z_1| \cdots |z_n|} = \int_0^R \frac{n(r)}{r} dr$$

where $n(r)$ is the number of zeros of f of absolute value less than r .

One consequence of Jensen's formula is that if f is of order λ and $\alpha > \lambda$, then $\sum_i |z_i|^{-\alpha} < \infty$. To see this, note that $n(R) = O(R^\alpha)$ since

$$\int_0^R \frac{n(r)}{r} dr = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(Re^{i\theta})| d\theta - \log |f(0)| \leq R^\alpha - \log |f(0)|$$

and

$$\int_R^{2R} \frac{n(r)}{r} dr \geq n(R) \log 2$$

so that

$$n(R) \log 2 \leq \int_0^{2R} \frac{n(r)}{r} dr \leq (2R)^\alpha - \log |f(0)| = O(R^\alpha).$$

From this we see that for $\beta > \alpha > \lambda$

$$\sum_i |z_i|^{-\beta} = \int_0^\infty r^{-\beta} dn(r) = \beta \int_0^\infty r^{-\beta-1} n(r) dr < \infty.$$

The converse of the above proposition holds, showing that entire functions of finite order have nice factorizations.

Theorem (Hadamard Factorization). *An entire function f of order λ has finite genus $\mu \leq \lambda$.*

We apply the above to the entire functions $\xi(s), \xi(s, \chi)$. We will need the following to estimate their rates of growth.

Theorem (Stirling's Formula). *For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ we have*

$$\Gamma(z) = \sqrt{2\pi z} \left(\frac{z}{e}\right)^z e^{\mu(z)}$$

where

$$\mu(z) = - \int_0^\infty \frac{\{t\} - 1/2}{z+t} dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2} \frac{\{t\} - \{t\}^2}{(z+t)^2} dt$$

with $\{t\} = t - [t]$ the fractional part of t , and bounds on μ given by

$$|\mu(z)| \leq \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{\cos^2(\theta/2)} \frac{1}{|z|}, \quad z = |z|e^{i\theta}.$$

Lemma. *The entire functions $\xi(s), \xi(s, \chi)$, have order 1.*

Proof. Since $\xi(s) = \xi(1 - s)$ we consider $\sigma \geq 1/2$, where we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \pi^{-s/2} \right| &\leq e^{C|s|}, \\ |\Gamma(s/2)| &\leq e^{C|s| \log |s|}, \\ |\zeta(s)| &= \left| \frac{s}{s-1} + s \int_1^\infty \frac{\{x\}}{x^{s+1}} dx \right| \leq C|s|, \end{aligned}$$

using Stirling's formula and an integral representation of $\zeta(s)$ applicable for $\sigma > 0$. Hence $\xi(s)$ has order at most 1, actually equal to 1 since for real $s \rightarrow \infty$ we have $\zeta(s) \rightarrow 1$ and $\log \Gamma(s) \sim s \log s$.

Similarly if χ is a primitive character modulo q , then for $\xi(s, \chi) = (q/\pi)^{(s+a)/2} \Gamma((s+a)/2) L(s, \chi)$ with functional equation $\xi(1-s, \bar{\chi}) = \frac{i^a \sqrt{q}}{\tau(\chi)} \xi(s, \chi)$, and for $\sigma \geq 1/2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(q/\pi)^{(s+a)/2}| &\leq e^{C|s|}, \\ |\Gamma((s+a)/2)| &\leq e^{C|s| \log |s|}, \\ |L(s, \chi)| &= \left| s \int_1^\infty \frac{\sum_{n \leq x} \chi(n)}{x^{s+1}} dx \right| \leq C|s|, \end{aligned}$$

so that $|\xi(s, \chi)| \leq q^{(\sigma+1)/2} e^{C|s| \log |s|}$ (for $\sigma > 1/2$, similar results for $\sigma < 1/2$ by the functional equation). Hence $\xi(s, \chi)$ is of order 1 as well. \square

From the general theory above, we have the desired product formulae for $\xi(s), \xi(s, \chi)$.

Although the constants A, B are not of much importance, we calculate them for ξ anyway. For the constant A we have

$$e^A = \xi(0) = \xi(1) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma(1/2) \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} (s-1)\zeta(s) = 1/2.$$

For the constant B we have

$$B = \frac{\xi'(0)}{\xi(0)} = -\frac{\xi'(1)}{\xi(1)}$$

so we consider

$$\frac{\xi'(s)}{\xi(s)} = B + \sum_{\rho} \frac{1}{s-\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} = \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} + \frac{1}{s-1} - \log \sqrt{\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'(1+s/2)}{\Gamma(1+s/2)},$$

and

$$\frac{\Gamma'(s)}{\Gamma(s)} = -\gamma - \frac{1}{s} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{s+n} \right).$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'(3/2)}{\Gamma(3/2)} = -\frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{1}{3} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{3+2n} \right) = -\frac{\gamma}{2} - 1 + \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} = -\frac{\gamma}{2} + 1 - \log 2$$

and

$$B = -\frac{\gamma}{2} - 1 + \log \sqrt{4\pi} - \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \left(\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} + \frac{1}{s-1} \right).$$

With $I(s) = \int_1^\infty \{x\}/x^{s+1} dx$ we have

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{s}{s-1} (1 - (s-1)I(s))$$

so that

$$\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} + \frac{1}{s-1} = \frac{1}{s} - \frac{(s-1)I'(s) + I(s)}{1 - (s-1)I(s)}$$

and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} + \frac{1}{s-1} = 1 - I(1).$$

Finally note that

$$\begin{aligned} I(1) &= \int_1^\infty \frac{x - \lfloor x \rfloor}{x^2} dx = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^N \frac{dx}{x} - \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} n \int_n^{n+1} \frac{1}{x^2} \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \log N - \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right) = 1 + \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \log N - \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \\ &= 1 - \gamma, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$B = -\frac{\gamma}{2} - 1 + \log \sqrt{4\pi}.$$

Another expression for B in terms of the zeros of ξ is

$$B = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\rho} \frac{1}{\rho(1-\rho)}$$

which can be seen from the equation (using $s \leftrightarrow 1-s$ and $\rho \leftrightarrow 1-\rho$)

$$B + \sum_{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{s-\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \right) = -B - \sum_{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{1-s-\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \right)$$

from ξ'/ξ or from the product itself (say at $s=0$)

$$e^{A+Bs} \prod_{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho} \right) e^{s/\rho} = e^{A+B(1-s)} \prod_{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{1-s}{1-\rho} \right) e^{(1-s)/(1-\rho)}.$$

Using this we can write the product formula (similar to that of $\sin(\pi z)$ combining the roots $\pm n$) as

$$\xi(s) = \xi(0) \prod_{\Im \rho > 0} \left(1 - \frac{s(1-s)}{\rho(1-\rho)} \right).$$

5 A Zero-Free Region and the Density of Zeros for $\zeta(s)$

We would like to extend the zero-free region of the zeta function to an open set containing $\sigma \geq 1$. Specifically we have the following.

Theorem. *There is a $c > 0$ such that $\Re \rho < 1 - c/\log(|t| + 2)$ for any zero ρ of $\zeta(s)$.*

Proof. Once again we make use of

$$3 + 4 \cos \theta + \cos 2\theta = 2(1 + \cos \theta)^2 \geq 0$$

applied to

$$-\Re \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_n \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^\sigma} \cos(t \log n).$$

Considering the logarithmic derivative via the product formula for ξ we have

$$\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = B + \sum_\rho \left(\frac{1}{s - \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \right) - \frac{1}{s - 1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'(1 + s/2)}{\Gamma(1 + s/2)} + \frac{1}{2} \log \pi.$$

We obtain the following estimates (say for $1 \leq \sigma \leq 2$, $|t| \geq 2$, using A to represent a positive constant, not the same at each instance)

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\zeta(\sigma)}{\zeta(\sigma)} &< \frac{1}{\sigma - 1} + A, \\ -\Re \frac{\zeta(\sigma + it)}{\zeta(\sigma + it)} &< A \log |t| - \frac{1}{\sigma - \beta}, \\ -\Re \frac{\zeta(\sigma + 2it)}{\zeta(\sigma + 2it)} &< A \log |t|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ is a zero of zeta with $\gamma = t$, using the facts that $\Gamma'(s)/\Gamma(s) \leq A \log |t|$ (PROOF???) and that the sum over the roots is positive

$$\Re \left(\frac{1}{s - \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \right) = \frac{\sigma - \beta}{|s - \rho|^2} + \frac{\beta}{|\rho|^2}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 3 \left(-\frac{\zeta(\sigma)}{\zeta(\sigma)} \right) + 4 \left(-\Re \frac{\zeta(\sigma + it)}{\zeta(\sigma + it)} \right) + \left(-\Re \frac{\zeta(\sigma + 2it)}{\zeta(\sigma + 2it)} \right) &\geq 0, \\ 3 \left(\frac{1}{\sigma - 1} + A \right) + 4 \left(A \log |t| - \frac{1}{\sigma - \beta} \right) + (A \log |t|) &\geq 0, \\ \frac{3}{\sigma - 1} - \frac{4}{\sigma - \beta} + A \log |t| &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\sigma = 1 + \delta/\log |t|$ for some positive δ . Then

$$\beta \leq 1 - \frac{\delta - A\delta^2}{\log |t|}$$

and choosing δ so that $\delta - A\delta^2 > 0$ we have $\beta \leq 1 - c/\log |t|$ for some $c > 0$. Combining this with the fact that ζ has no zeros in the region $1 \leq \sigma \leq 2, |t| < 2$ gives the result. \square

We also have the following estimate stated by Riemann about the density of zeros in the critical strip $0 < \sigma < 1$.

Theorem. *Let $N(T)$ be the number of zeros of $\zeta(s)$ in the region $0 < \sigma < 1, 0 < t < T$. Then*

$$N(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2\pi} + O(\log T)$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let R be the rectangle with vertices $\{-1, 2, 2 + iT, -1 + iT\}$. Then if T doesn't coincide with the ordinate of a zero, we have

$$2\pi N(T) = \Delta_R \arg \xi (\text{change in the argument}).$$

Since $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s) = \overline{\xi(1-\bar{s})}$ and xi is real on the real axis, we have $\pi N(T) = \Delta_L \arg \xi$ where L is the segment running between $2, 2 + iT, 1/2 + iT$. With

$$\xi(s) = \pi^{-s/2} (s-1) \Gamma(1+s/2) \zeta(s)$$

and

$$\log \Gamma(s) = (s-1/2) \log s - s + \log(\sqrt{2\pi}) + O(1/s)$$

we have

$$\Delta_L \arg(s-1) = \arg(iT - 1/2) = \frac{\pi}{2} + \arctan\left(\frac{1}{2T}\right) = \frac{\pi}{2} + O(1/T),$$

$$\Delta_L \arg \pi^{-s/2} = \arg(e^{-(1/2+iT)\log(\pi)/2}) = -\frac{T}{2} \log(\pi),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_L \arg \Gamma(1+s/2) &= \Im \log \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4} + \frac{T}{2}i\right) \\ &= \Im \left[\left(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{T}{2}i\right) \log\left(\frac{5}{4} + \frac{T}{2}i\right) - \left(\frac{5}{4} + \frac{T}{2}i\right) + \log(\sqrt{2\pi}) + O(1/T) \right] \\ &= \frac{T}{2} \log\left(\frac{T}{2}\right) - \frac{T}{2} + \frac{3\pi}{8} + O(1/T), \end{aligned}$$

(using

$$\log\left(\frac{5}{4} + \frac{T}{2}i\right) = \log\left(\frac{T}{2}\right) + O(1/T^2) + \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + O(1/T)\right)i.$$

in the last step). So we have

$$N(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2\pi} + \frac{7}{8} + \Delta_L \arg \zeta + O(1/T).$$

We now show that $\Delta_L \arg \zeta = \arg \zeta(1/2 + iT) = O(\log T)$. \square

6 A Zero-Free Region and the Density of Zeros for $L(s, \chi)$

7 Explicit Formula Relating the Primes to Zeros of $\zeta(s)$

We first want to establish a formula explicitly relating the primes to the zeros of the Riemann zeta function, namely

$$\psi_0(x) = x - \sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \log(1 - x^{-2}) - \frac{\zeta'(0)}{\zeta(0)}$$

where ψ_0 is the Chebychev function $\psi(x)$, but taking its average value at discontinuities

$$\psi_0(x) = \begin{cases} \psi(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) & x \text{ not a prime power} \\ \psi(x) - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda(x) & x \text{ a prime power} \end{cases}$$

(here $\Lambda(n) = \log p$ if $n = p^k$, $k \geq 1$ is a prime power, zero otherwise, is the von Mangoldt function).

The sum over the non-trivial zeros of the zeta function is conditionally convergent, so we pair $\rho, \bar{\rho}$. Also note that the log term is the sum over the trivial zeros of zeta,

$$- \sum_n \frac{x^{-2n}}{n} = \log(1 - x^{-2})$$

and that $\zeta'(0)/\zeta(0) = \log(2\pi)$: using ξ'/ξ , the derivation of the constant B and the fact that $\Gamma'(1)/\Gamma(1) = -\gamma$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\zeta'(0)}{\zeta(0)} &= B + 1 + \log \sqrt{\pi} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'(1)}{\Gamma(1)} \\ &= \left(-\frac{\gamma}{2} - 1 + \log \sqrt{4\pi} \right) + 1 + \log \sqrt{\pi} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \\ &= \log(2\pi). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain this formula by evaluating the integral

$$\frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} x^s \frac{ds}{s}$$

in two different ways. From the Euler product, the logarithmic derivative of ζ is intimately related to the von Mangoldt function

$$\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = \frac{d}{ds} \log \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1} = - \sum_{p, n > 0} p^{-ns} \log p = - \sum_n \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s}.$$

From the product expression for ξ , we can express ζ'/ζ in terms of the zeros of zeta,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{ds} \log \xi(s) &= B + \sum_{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{s-\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{ds} \log \left((s-1)\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(1+s/2)\zeta(s) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'(1+s/2)}{\Gamma(1+s/2)} - \frac{1}{2} \log \pi,\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = B + \sum_{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{s-\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \right) - \frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'(1+s/2)}{\Gamma(1+s/2)} + \frac{1}{2} \log \pi.$$

We need the following lemma.

Lemma. *Let $c, y > 0$. Then*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} y^s \frac{ds}{s} = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 < y < 1 \\ 1/2 & y = 1 \\ 1 & y > 1 \end{cases}.$$

More specifically, if

$$\delta(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 < y < 1 \\ 1/2 & y = 1 \\ 1 & y > 1 \end{cases}, \quad I(y, T) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} y^s \frac{ds}{s},$$

then

$$|I(y, T) - \delta(y)| \leq \begin{cases} y^c \min\{1, (T|\log y|)^{-1}\} & y \neq 1 \\ cT^{-1} & y = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Proof. For one of the inequalities, we consider the integral $(2\pi i)^{-1} \int_R y^s ds/s$ around a large rectangle R with corners $\{c \pm iT, C \pm iT\}$ and let $C \rightarrow \pm\infty$ depending on whether $0 < y < 1$ or $y > 1$, the integral along the vertical edge at infinity being zero in each case respectively.

For $0 < y < 1$, we have $(2\pi i)^{-1} \int_R y^s ds/s = 0$ so that

$$I(y, T) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-iT}^{\infty-iT} \frac{y^s}{s} ds - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c+iT}^{\infty+iT} \frac{y^s}{s} ds$$

and

$$|I(y, T) - \delta(y)| < \frac{1}{T} \int_c^{\infty} y^{\sigma} d\sigma = \frac{-\pi y^c}{\log y}.$$

For $y > 1$, we have $(2\pi i)^{-1} \int_R y^s ds/s = 1$ so that

$$I(y, T) = 1 - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty-iT}^{c-iT} \frac{y^s}{s} ds + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty+iT}^{c+iT} \frac{y^s}{s} ds$$

and

$$|I(y, T) - \delta(y)| < \frac{1}{T} \int_{-\infty}^c y^\sigma d\sigma = \frac{\pi y^c}{\log y}.$$

For the other inequality, we use a circular contour of radius $R = (c^2 + T^2)^{1/2}$ centered at the origin where

$$\left| \frac{y^s}{s} \right| = \frac{y^{R \cos \theta}}{R} \leq \frac{y^c}{R} \text{ for either of } 0 < y < 1, 1 < y$$

to see that

$$|I(y, T) - \delta(y)| \leq 2\pi R \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{y^c}{R} = y^c.$$

Finally, for the case $y = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(1, T) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-T}^T \frac{d(c+it)}{c+it} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^T \left(\frac{1}{c+it} - \frac{1}{c-it} \right) dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^T \frac{c}{c^2 + t^2} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{T/c} \frac{du}{1+u^2} = \frac{1}{2} - \int_{T/c}^\infty \frac{du}{1+u^2}, \\ \left| I(1, T) - \frac{1}{2} \right| &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{T/c}^\infty \frac{du}{1+u^2} \leq \int_{T/c}^\infty \frac{du}{u^2} = \frac{c}{T}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Using the lemma we have

$$\frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} x^s \frac{ds}{s} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} \sum_n \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s} x^s \frac{ds}{s} = \psi_0(x),$$

while the evaluation of the integral using the other expression for ζ'/ζ gives, for $x > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} x^s \frac{ds}{s} \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \left(B + \sum_\rho \left(\frac{1}{s-\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \right) - \frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'(1+s/2)}{\Gamma(1+s/2)} + \frac{1}{2} \log \pi \right) x^s \frac{ds}{s} \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \left(\log(2\pi) - \frac{s}{s-1} + \sum_\rho \frac{s}{\rho(s-\rho)} - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{s}{2n(s+2n)} \right) x^s \frac{ds}{s} \\ &= -\frac{\zeta'(0)}{\zeta(0)} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{x^s ds}{s-1} - \sum_\rho \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{x^s ds}{s-\rho} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{2n} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{x^s ds}{s+2n} \\ &= -\frac{\zeta'(0)}{\zeta(0)} + x \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{x^{s-1} ds}{s-1} - \sum_\rho \frac{x^\rho}{\rho} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{x^{s-\rho} ds}{s-\rho} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{x^{-2n}}{2n} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{x^{s+2n} ds}{s+2n} \\ &= -\frac{\zeta'(0)}{\zeta(0)} + x - \sum_\rho \frac{x^\rho}{\rho} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{x^{-2n}}{2n}, \end{aligned}$$

(modulo a whole bunch of convergence).

8 Chebyshev Estimates and the Prime Number Theorem

We start with some elementary estimates, bounding $\pi(x)$, the number of primes less or equal x .

Theorem (Chebyshev Estimates). *There are constants $0 < c_1 \leq 1 \leq c_2$ such that*

$$\frac{c_1 x}{\log x} \leq \pi(x) \leq \frac{c_2 x}{\log x}.$$

Proof. For an upper bound, we start with

$$\prod_{n < p < 2n} p < \binom{2n}{n} < 2^{2n}$$

so that, for $\vartheta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p$ we have

$$\vartheta(2n) - \vartheta(n) = \sum_{n < p < 2n} \log p \leq 2n \log 2.$$

Summing over $n = 2^k, 0 \leq k \leq 2^{m-1}$ gives

$$\vartheta(2^m) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \vartheta(2 \cdot 2^k) - \vartheta(2^k) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} 2^{k+1} \log 2 \leq 2^{m+1} \log 2.$$

For $2^{m-1} < x \leq 2^m$ we have

$$\vartheta(x) \leq \vartheta(2^m) \leq 2^{m+1} \log 2 = (4 \log 2) 2^{m-1} \leq (4 \log 2)x.$$

[A similar/equivalent estimate for ψ is obtained by considering

$$S(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \log n = \sum_{n \leq x} \psi(x/n) = x \log x - x + O(\log x)$$

since

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \log n = \int_1^x \left(\log t + \frac{W(t)}{t} \right) dt + \frac{1}{2} \log x \leq x \log x - x + \log x$$

by Euler-Maclurin summation. We have

$$\begin{aligned} S(x) - 2S(x/2) &= - \sum_n (-1)^n \psi(x/n) \\ &= x \log x - x + O(\log x) - 2 \left(\frac{x}{2} \log(x/2) - \frac{x}{2} + O(\log(x/2)) \right) \\ &= x \log 2 + O(\log x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\psi(x) > x \log 2 + O(\log x)$ and $\psi(x) - \psi(x/2) < x \log 2 + O(\log x)$. If r is maximal such that $x/2^r \geq 2$ then

$$\psi(x) - \psi(x/2^r) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \psi(x/2^i) - \psi(x/2^{i+1}) \leq x \log 2 + rO(\log x) = x \log 4 + O((\log x)^2)$$

since $r = O(\log x)$. Hence

$$x \log 2 + O(\log x) < \psi(x) < x \log 4 + O((\log x)^2)$$

showing $\psi(x) \asymp x$.]

We relate this to $\pi(x)$ by noting that for $0 < \alpha < 1$ we have

$$[\pi(x) - \pi(x^\alpha)] \log x^\alpha \leq \vartheta(x) - \vartheta(x^\alpha) \leq \vartheta(x) < (4 \log 2)x$$

so that

$$\pi(x) \leq \frac{(4 \log 2)x}{\alpha \log x} + \pi(x^\alpha) \leq \frac{(4 \log 2)x}{\alpha \log x} + x^\alpha = \frac{x}{\log x} \left(\frac{4 \log 2}{\alpha} + x^{\alpha-1} \log x \right) \leq \frac{6x}{\log x}$$

(for instance, choosing α wisely).

For a lower bound, we start with

$$2^n < \left(\frac{n+1}{1} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{n+2}{2} \right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(\frac{n+n}{n} \right) = \binom{2n}{n}.$$

We need the fact (easy to prove) that the largest power of p dividing $n!$, $\text{ord}_p(n!)$, is given by $\sum_{k \geq 1} \lfloor n/p^k \rfloor$. This gives

$$\text{ord}_p \binom{2n}{n} = \sum_{k \geq 1} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{2n}{p^k} \right\rfloor - 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^k} \right\rfloor \right) \leq \frac{\log(2n)}{\log p}$$

since each term in the sum is 0 or 1 and for $p^k > 2n$ we have $\lfloor 2n/p^k \rfloor, \lfloor n/p^k \rfloor = 0$. Hence

$$2^n < \binom{2n}{n} \leq \prod_{p \leq 2n} p^{\log 2n / \log p} = (2n)^{\pi(2n)},$$

and taking logarithms gives

$$\pi(2n) \geq \frac{\log 2}{2} \frac{2n}{\log(2n)}.$$

For odd integers we have

$$\pi(2n+1) \geq \pi(2n) \geq \frac{\log 2}{2} \frac{2n}{2n+1} \frac{2n+1}{\log(2n+1)}$$

so that

$$\pi(x) \geq \frac{x/6}{\log x}$$

(for instance). □

We can relate the asymptotics of $\pi(x)$ to those of $\psi(x), \vartheta(x)$ as follows.

Proposition. $\psi(x), \vartheta(x) \sim x$ if and only if $\pi(x) \sim x/\log x$.

Proof. In one direction we have

$$\vartheta(x) \leq \psi(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \left\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \right\rfloor \log p \leq \pi(x) \log x,$$

while in the other we have, for $0 < \delta < 1$,

$$\psi(x) \geq \vartheta(x) \geq \sum_{x^{1-\delta} \leq p \leq x} \log p \geq (1-\delta)[\pi(x) - \pi(x^{1-\delta})] \log x = (1-\delta) \log x [\pi(x) + O(x^{1-\delta})].$$

Hence for all $0 < \delta < 1$ we have

$$(1-\delta) \frac{\pi(x)}{x/\log x} + O(x^{-\delta} \log x) \leq \frac{\psi(x)}{x}, \quad \frac{\vartheta(x)}{x} \leq \frac{\pi(x)}{x/\log x}.$$

□

A ‘quick’ proof of the prime number theorem comes from the fact that $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ on $\sigma \geq 1$ and the following Tauberian theorem.

Theorem (Newman’s Tauberian Theorem). *Suppose $f(t)$ is bounded and locally integrable for $t \geq 0$ and that*

$$g(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} f(t) dt, \quad \Re z > 0$$

extends to a holomorphic function on $\Re z \geq 0$. Then

$$\int_0^\infty f(t) dt$$

exists.

Proof. Let C be the boundary of

$$\{|z| \leq R\} \cap \{\Re z \geq -\delta\}$$

where R is large positive and $\delta > 0$ small enough so that $g(z)$ is analytic on C . For $g_T(z) = \int_0^T f(t) e^{-zt} dt$ we have

$$g(0) - g_T(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C (g(z) - g_T(z)) e^{zT} \left(1 + \frac{z^2}{R^2}\right) \frac{dz}{z}.$$

On the right semi-circle C_+ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |g(z) - g_T(z)| &= \left| \int_T^\infty f(t) e^{-zt} dt \right| \leq M \int_T^\infty |e^{-zt}| dt = \frac{M e^{T\Re z}}{\Re z}, \\ &\left| e^{zT} \left(1 + \frac{z^2}{R^2}\right) \frac{1}{z} \right| = \frac{2\Re z}{R^2} e^{T\Re z}, \\ \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_+} (g(z) - g_T(z)) e^{zT} \left(1 + \frac{z^2}{R^2}\right) \frac{dz}{z} \right| &\leq \frac{M}{R} \end{aligned}$$

where $M = \max_{t \geq 0} \{|f(t)|\}$.

On $C_- = C \cap \{\Re z \leq 0\}$ we estimate integrals involving g, g_T separately. For C'_- the left semi-circle of radius R , we have (since g_T entire)

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C'_-} g_T(z) e^{zT} \left(1 + \frac{z^2}{R^2} \frac{dz}{z}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C'_-} g_T(z) e^{zT} \left(1 + \frac{z^2}{R^2} \frac{dz}{z}\right)$$

and estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |g_T(z)| &= \left| \int_0^T f(t) e^{-zt} dt \right| \leq M \int_{-\infty}^T |e^{-zt}| dt = M \frac{e^{-T\Re z}}{-\Re z}, \\ &\left| e^{zT} \left(1 + \frac{z^2}{R^2}\right) \frac{1}{z} \right| = \frac{-2\Re z}{R^2} e^{T\Re z} \text{ (as before),} \\ &\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C'_-} g_T(z) e^{zT} \left(1 + \frac{z^2}{R^2}\right) \frac{dz}{z} \right| \leq \frac{M}{R}. \end{aligned}$$

For the integral involving g we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C'_-} g(z) e^{zT} \left(1 + \frac{z^2}{R^2}\right) \frac{dz}{z} \right| \rightarrow 0, \quad T \rightarrow \infty$$

since the only dependence on T in the integrand is e^{zT} , which quickly approaches zero as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} |g(0) - g_T(0)| \leq \frac{2M}{R}$$

for arbitrary R , so that $g_T(0) \rightarrow g(0)$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$ as desired. \square

Theorem (Prime Number Theorem). $\vartheta(x) \sim x$.

Proof. The function $\Phi(s) := \sum_p \log p / p^s$ extends meromorphically to $\sigma > 1/2$ with a simple pole at 1 with residue 1 and poles at zeros of $\zeta(s)$ since

$$-\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_n \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s} = \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^s - 1} = \Phi(s) + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^s(p^s - 1)}$$

and the last sum converges for $\sigma > 1/2$. Because ζ has no zeros in $\sigma \geq 1$, $\Phi(s) - (s-1)^{-1}$ is holomorphic on $\sigma \geq 1$. This along with $\vartheta(x) = O(x)$ allows us to apply the Tauberian theorem to conclude that

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{\vartheta(x) - x}{x^2} dx$$

converges as follows. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(s) &= \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^s} = \int_1^\infty \frac{d\vartheta(x)}{x^s} = s \int_1^\infty \frac{\vartheta(x)}{x^{s+1}} dx = s \int_0^\infty e^{-st} \vartheta(e^t) dt, \\ &\int_0^\infty (\vartheta(e^t) e^{-t} - 1) e^{-zt} dt = \frac{\Phi(z+1)}{z+1} - \frac{1}{z} =: g(z), \end{aligned}$$

so that with $f(t) := \vartheta(e^t)e^{-t} - 1$ (remember $\vartheta(x) = O(x)$ so that f is bounded) we have the existence of

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{\vartheta(x) - x}{x^2} dx = \int_0^\infty (\vartheta(e^t)e^{-t} - 1) dt = \int_0^\infty f(t) dt.$$

Finally we show that $\vartheta(x) \sim x$. If not, say $\vartheta(x) \geq \lambda x$ for arbitrarily large x and some $\lambda > 1$ then

$$\int_x^{\lambda x} \frac{\vartheta(t) - t}{t^2} dt \geq \int_x^{\lambda x} \frac{\vartheta(x) - t}{t^2} dt \geq \int_x^{\lambda x} \frac{\lambda x - t}{t^2} dt = \int_1^\lambda \frac{\lambda - t}{t^2} dt > 0$$

contradicting convergence of the integral for large x and fixed λ .

Similarly, if $\vartheta(x) \leq \lambda x$ for arbitrarily large x and some $\lambda < 1$ then

$$\int_{\lambda x}^x \frac{\vartheta(t) - t}{t^2} dt \leq \int_x^{\lambda x} \frac{\vartheta(x) - t}{t^2} dt \leq \int_{\lambda x}^x \frac{\lambda x - t}{t^2} dt = \int_\lambda^1 \frac{\lambda - t}{t^2} dt < 0$$

contradicting convergence of the integral as well. □

Another approach is to show $\psi(x) \sim x$ starting with von Mangoldt's explicit formula

$$\psi_0(x) = x - \sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \log(1 - x^{-2}) - \frac{\zeta'(0)}{\zeta(0)}$$

and using the zero-free region near $\sigma = 1$ to control the sum over the non-trivial zeros of zeta.

Theorem (Prime Number Theorem). $\psi(x) \sim x$.

Proof. Let the zeros be denoted by $\rho = \beta + \gamma i$. We know that there is a constant c such that $\beta \leq 1 - c/\log T$ for $|\gamma| \leq T$, so that

$$|x^{\rho}| = x^{\beta} \leq x e^{-c \log(x)/\log T}.$$

We also have

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} \frac{1}{|\rho|} \leq \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} \frac{1}{\gamma} = \int_0^T \frac{dN(t)}{t} = \frac{N(t)}{T} + \int_0^T \frac{N(t)}{t^2} dt \ll \log T + \int_0^T \frac{t \log t}{t^2} dt \ll (\log T)^2$$

where $N(t) \ll t \log t$ is the number of zeros in the critical strip with $0 < \gamma \leq t$. Hence

$$\left| \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho} \right| \ll x (\log T)^2 e^{-c \log x / \log T}.$$

Dividing by x and letting $T \rightarrow \infty, x \rightarrow \infty$ gives $\psi(x) \sim x$. □

9 Prime Number Theorem in Arithmetic Progressions

We know that for $(a, q) = 1$, there are infinitely many primes congruent to a modulo q and in fact we have the following.

Theorem (PNT in Arithmetic Progressions). *We have*

$$\vartheta(x; a, q) \sim x$$

where

$$\vartheta(x; a, q) = \phi(q) \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv a(q)}} \log p.$$

From this it follows that

$$\pi(x; a, q) \sim \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \frac{x}{\log x},$$

where $\pi(x; a, q) = |\{p \leq x, p \equiv a(q)\}|$.

Proof. We proceed using Newman's Tauberian theorem as above. We have $\vartheta(x; a, q) = O(x)$ since

$$\vartheta(x; a, q) \leq \phi(q) \vartheta(x) = O(x).$$

We also know that $L(s, \chi) \neq 0$ on $\sigma \geq 1$. □

References

- [1] Brenti, Bruce C., *A New Proof of the Functional Equation of Dirichlet L-Functions*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 37, No. 2 (Feb., 1973), pp. 355-357
- [2] Conway, John B., *Functions of One Complex Variable* (Second Edition), GTM Vol. 11, Springer-Verlag
- [3] Edwards, H.M., *Riemann's Zeta Function*, Dover
- [4] Davenport, Harold, *Multiplicative Number Theory* (Third Edition), GTM Vol. 74, Springer-Verlag
- [5] Ireland, Kenneth and Rosen, Michael, *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory* (Second Edition), GTM Vol. 84, Springer-Verlag
- [6] Iwaniec, H., *Lectures on the Riemann Zeta Function*, University Lecture Series Vol. 62, AMS
- [7] Paul Monsky, *Simplifying the Proof of Dirichlet's Theorem*, The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 100, No. 9 (Nov., 1993), pp. 861-862

- [8] Serre, J.P. *A Course in Arithmetic*, GTM Vol. 7, Springer-Verlag
- [9] Zagier, D., *Newman's Short Proof of the Prime Number Theorem*, The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 104, No. 8 (Oct., 1997), pp. 705-708