

1

- (1) $\{\neg(v_0 = v_1)\} \vdash \neg(v_0 = v_1)$
- (2) $\{\neg(v_0 = v_1)\} \vdash \forall v_1(\neg(v_0 = v_1))$ (generalization)
- (3) $\{\neg(v_0 = v_1)\} \vdash \forall v_1(\neg(v_0 = v_1)) \rightarrow \neg(v_0 = v_0)$ (Theorem 3.27)
- $\{\neg(v_0 = v_1)\} \vdash \neg(v_0 = v_0)$ (2), (3), MP

Thus $\{\neg(v_0 = v_1)\}$ is inconsistent, by Lemma 4.1.

Alternate proof: suppose that $\{\neg(v_0 = v_1)\}$ is consistent. Since $\{\neg(v_0 = v_1)\} \vdash \forall v_0 \forall v_1(\neg(v_0 = v_1))$ by generalization twice, also $\{\forall v_0 \forall v_1(\neg(v_0 = v_1))\}$ is consistent. By the compactness theorem, let \bar{A} be a model of $\{\forall v_0 \forall v_1(\neg(v_0 = v_1))\}$. Then for all $a, b \in A$ we have $a \neq b$. This is a contradiction, since $a = a$ for any $a \in A$.

2 Let φ be $\exists v_0(\neg(v_0 = v_1))$ and let v_j be v_1 . Then $\text{Subb}_{v_j}^{v_0} \varphi$ is $\exists v_1(\neg(v_1 = v_1))$. Now in a structure \bar{A} which has at least two elements, for any assignment $a : \omega \rightarrow A$ we have $\bar{A} \models \varphi[a]$ but $\bar{A} \not\models \text{Subb}_{v_j}^{v_0} \varphi[a]$. Hence $\bar{A} \not\models (\varphi \leftrightarrow \text{Subb}_{v_j}^{v_0} \varphi)[a]$. By Theorem 3.2, $\bar{A} \not\models (\varphi \leftrightarrow \text{Subb}_{v_1}^{v_0} \varphi)$.

3

- (1) $\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow \exists v_i \psi) \leftrightarrow (\neg \varphi \vee \exists v_i \psi)$
- (2) $\vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \forall v_i \varphi$ 3.29
- (3) $\vdash \varphi \wedge \forall v_i \neg \psi \leftrightarrow \forall v_i \varphi \wedge \forall v_i \neg \psi$ (2), 3.20
- (4) $\vdash (\forall v_i \varphi \wedge \forall v_i \neg \psi) \leftrightarrow \forall v_i (\varphi \wedge \neg \psi)$ 3.41
- (5) $\vdash \varphi \wedge \neg \psi \leftrightarrow \neg(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$ taut.
- (6) $\vdash \forall v_i (\varphi \wedge \neg \psi) \leftrightarrow \forall v_i (\neg(\varphi \rightarrow \psi))$ (5), 3.43
- (7) $\vdash \varphi \wedge \forall v_i \neg \psi \leftrightarrow \forall v_i (\neg(\varphi \rightarrow \psi))$ (3), (4), (6), taut.
- (8) $\vdash \neg \varphi \vee \exists v_i \psi \leftrightarrow \exists v_i (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$ (7), taut.
- $\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow \exists v_i \psi) \leftrightarrow \exists v_i (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$ (1), (8), taut.

4 By a change of bound variables the indicated formula is equivalent to $\forall v_0(v_0 < v_1 \rightarrow \exists v_2(v_2 < v_0))$. Hence a formula in prenex normal form equivalent to the formula is $\forall v_0 \exists v_2(v_0 < v_1 \rightarrow v_2 < v_0)$,

In more detail:

- $\vdash \exists v_1(v_1 < v_0) \leftrightarrow \exists v_2(v_2 < v_0)$ 3.25
- $\vdash [v_0 < v_1 \rightarrow \exists v_1(v_1 < v_0)] \leftrightarrow [v_0 < v_1 \rightarrow \exists v_2(v_2 < v_0)]$ 3.20
- $\vdash [v_0 < v_1 \rightarrow \exists v_2(v_2 < v_0)] \leftrightarrow \exists v_2[v_0 < v_1 \rightarrow v_2 < v_0]$ number 3
- $\vdash \forall v_0[v_0 < v_1 \rightarrow \exists v_2(v_2 < v_0)] \leftrightarrow \forall v_0 \exists v_2[v_0 < v_1 \rightarrow v_2 < v_0]$ 3.43

5 (a): both occurrences of v_0 are free. Both occurrences of v_1 are free. Both occurrences of v_2 are bound. The occurrence of v_3 is free.

(b): $\text{Subf}_{v_1+v_2}^{v_0}\varphi$ is $v_1 + v_2 = v_1 \wedge \forall v_2(v_2 = v_1 + v_2 \rightarrow v_1 = v_3)$.

(c): $\text{Subb}_{v_1}^{v_2}\varphi$ is $v_0 = v_1 \wedge \forall v_1(v_1 = v_0 \rightarrow v_1 = v_3)$.

(d): $\text{Subb}_{v_1}^{v_2}\text{Subf}_{v_1+v_2}^{v_0}\varphi$ is $v_1 + v_2 = v_1 \wedge \forall v_1(v_1 = v_1 + v_2 \rightarrow v_1 = v_3)$.

(e): $\text{Subf}_{v_1+v_2}^{v_0}\text{Subb}_{v_1}^{v_2}\varphi$ is $v_1 + v_2 = v_1 \wedge \forall v_1(v_1 = v_1 + v_2 \rightarrow v_1 = v_3)$.

6 $v_0 < v_1 \wedge v_1 < v_2 \wedge \exists v_3(v_0 < v_3 \wedge v_3 < v_1) \wedge \neg \exists v_3(v_1 < v_3 \wedge v_3 < v_2)$.

7 Let $\bar{A} = (A, R)$ be any structure for the indicated language, and let $a : \omega \rightarrow A$ be any assignment. Assume that $\bar{A} \models \exists v_0[v_0 = v_1 \wedge \exists v_1(v_0 < v_1)][a]$. Choose $x \in A$ so that $\bar{A} \models [v_0 = v_1 \wedge \exists v_1(v_0 < v_1)][a_x^0]$. Thus $x = a_1$. Choose $y \in A$ so that $\bar{A} \models (v_0 < v_1)[a_x^0 \frac{1}{y}]$. Thus $(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{\bar{A}}$.

Now to show that $\bar{A} \models \forall v_0[v_0 = v_1 \rightarrow \exists v_1(v_0 < v_1)][a]$, let $z \in A$ be arbitrary and assume that $\bar{A} \models (v_0 = v_1)[a_z^0]$. Thus $z = a_1 = x$. So $(z, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{\bar{A}}$, and it follows that $\bar{A} \models \exists v_1(v_0 < v_1)[a_z^0]$, as desired.