

Solutions for assignment 5

E3.2 Prove that (L6) is universally valid, in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Assume that $\bar{A} \models (\sigma = \tau)[a]$ and $\bar{A} \models (\rho = \sigma)[a]$. Then $\sigma^{\bar{A}}(a) = \tau^{\bar{A}}(a)$ and $\rho^{\bar{A}}(a) = \sigma^{\bar{A}}(a)$, so $\rho^{\bar{A}}(a) = \tau^{\bar{A}}(a)$, hence $\bar{A} \models (\rho = \tau)[a]$.

E3.3 Prove that (L8) is universally valid, in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Assume that $\bar{A} \models (\sigma = \tau)[a]$. Then $\sigma^{\bar{A}}(a) = \tau^{\bar{A}}(a)$. Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A} &\models (\mathbf{R}\xi_0 \dots \xi_{i-1} \sigma \xi_{i+1} \dots \xi_{m-1})[a]; \text{ hence} \\ \langle \xi_0^{\bar{A}}(a), \dots, \xi_{i-1}^{\bar{A}}(a), \sigma^{\bar{A}}(a), \xi_{i+1}^{\bar{A}}(a), \dots, \xi_{m-1}^{\bar{A}}(a) \rangle &\in \mathbf{R}^{\bar{A}}; \text{ hence} \\ \langle \xi_0^{\bar{A}}(a), \dots, \xi_{i-1}^{\bar{A}}(a), \tau^{\bar{A}}(a), \xi_{i+1}^{\bar{A}}(a), \dots, \xi_{m-1}^{\bar{A}}(a) \rangle &\in \mathbf{R}^{\bar{A}}; \text{ hence} \\ \bar{A} &\models (\mathbf{R}\xi_0 \dots \xi_{i-1} \tau \xi_{i+1} \dots \xi_{m-1})[a]; \end{aligned}$$

hence (L8) is universally valid.

E3.5 Indicate which occurrences of the variables are bound and which ones free for the following formulas.

$$\begin{aligned} \exists v_0(v_0 < v_1) \wedge \forall v_1(v_0 = v_1). \\ v_4 + v_2 = v_0 \wedge \forall v_3(v_0 = v_1). \\ \exists v_2(v_4 + v_2 = v_0). \end{aligned}$$

First formula: the first and second occurrences of v_0 are bound, and the third one is free. The first occurrence of v_1 is free, and the other two are bound.

Second formula: the occurrence of v_3 is bound. All other occurrences of variables are free.

Third formula: the two occurrences of v_2 are bound. The other occurrences of variables are free.

E3.6 Finish the proof of Proposition 3.13.

Suppose that φ is an atomic non-equality formula; so there is a relation symbol \mathbf{R} and terms $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}$ such that φ is $\langle \mathbf{R} \rangle \frown \sigma_0 \frown \dots \frown \sigma_{n-1}$. Hence $i > 0$, and it is inside some term σ_j . By Proposition 3.12 there is a term which is a segment of σ_j beginning at i ; it is also a segment of φ , and it is unique by Proposition 2.2(iii).

Suppose inductively that φ is $\neg\psi$, i.e., it is $\langle 0 \rangle \frown \psi$. Then $i > 0$, so that it is inside ψ . Hence the inductive hypothesis gives the desired result.

Suppose inductively that φ is $\psi \rightarrow \chi$, i.e., it is $\langle 1 \rangle \frown \psi \frown \chi$. Then $i > 0$ and i is inside ψ or χ ; the inductive hypothesis gives the desired result.

Suppose inductively that φ is $\forall v_k \psi$, i.e., it is $\langle 4, 5(k+1) \rangle \frown \psi$. So $i > 0$. If $i = 1$, then φ_i is $5(k+1)$, so that $\langle 5(k+1) \rangle$ is a term which is a segment of φ , unique by Proposition 2.2(iii). If $i > 1$, then it is inside ψ , and the inductive hypothesis gives the desired result.

E3.7 Indicate all free and bound occurrences of terms in the formula $v_0 = v_1 + v_1 \rightarrow \exists v_2(v_0 + v_2 = v_1)$.

v_0 is free in both of its occurrences.
 v_1 is free in all three of its occurrences.
 v_2 is bound in both of its occurrences.
 $v_1 + v_1$ is free in its occurrence.
 $v_0 + v_2$ is bound in its occurrence.