

Goal: Structure theory for modules over PID, e.g. \mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{R}[x]$

12.1 Noetherian rings and modules.

Let R be a ring with 1.

Definition. An R -module is Noetherian if it satisfies the ascending chain condition (ACC) on submodules: every strictly increasing chain of submodules is finite.

A ring R is (left) Noetherian if R is Noetherian as left R -module (ACC on left ideals).

Typical Example. \mathbb{Z} $(16) \subseteq (8) \subseteq \dots$
 $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ (Hilbert's Basis Thm)

not Noetherian $\mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ since $(x_1) \subsetneq (x_1, x_2) \subsetneq \dots$

Theorem. TFAE:

- (1) M is Noetherian.
- (2) Every nonempty set S of submodules of M has a maximal member (with respect to \subseteq).
- (3) Every $N \leq M$ is finitely generated.

Proof. $1) \Rightarrow 2)$ Let $\pi_1 \in S \neq \emptyset$.

$1) \Rightarrow 2)$ π_1 is not maximal, $\exists \pi_2 \in S: \pi_1 \subsetneq \pi_2$

Repeat. Since M is Noetherian, $\pi_1 \subsetneq \pi_2 \subsetneq \dots$ stabilizes in finitely many steps with a maximal $\pi_n \in S$.

$2) \Rightarrow 3)$ Let $S := \{N' \leq N : N' \text{ is fin. generated}\}$ for $N \leq M$.

By 2) S has a max element $N'' \leq N$.

Suppose $N'' \neq N$. Then $\exists x \in N \setminus N''$ and $N'' + \langle x \rangle$ is fin. generated contradicting the maximality of N'' . Hence $N = N''$ is fin. generated.

$3) \Rightarrow 1)$ Let $\pi_1 \subsetneq \pi_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \pi_n$.

$$N := \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \pi_i \leq M$$

By 3) N is fin. generated, say $N = R\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$

Then $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}: a_1, \dots, a_m \in \pi_n$.

$$\text{So } \pi_n = N = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \pi_i = \pi_{n+1} = \pi_{n+2} = \dots$$

□

Cor. Every PID is Noetherian

Proof by 3) of the Thm above for the regular R -module.

Submodules of the regular R -mod are ideals of R , hence of the form Ra for some $a \in R$. □

Recall. For any $n \geq 0$ there exists a free R -module $F_R(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ over the free generators x_1, \dots, x_n satisfying

- (1) the Universal Property for Maps: for any R -module M and $m_1, \dots, m_n \in M$ there exists a unique R -module homomorphism $\Phi: F_R(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow M$ with $\Phi(x_i) = m_i$ for all $i \leq n$;
- (2) every n -generated module M is a homomorphic image of $F_R(x_1, \dots, x_n)$;
- (3) $F_R(x_1, \dots, x_n) = Rx_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Rx_n \cong R^n$.

Lemma. If the ring R is Noetherian, then the R -module R^n is Noetherian for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. Show that every $\pi \in R^n$ is fin-generated by induction on n .

$n = 0, 1$ by assumption.

Consider $\pi: R^n \rightarrow R, (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_n$

For $\pi \in R^n$, then $\pi|_\pi: \pi \rightarrow R$ satisfies

- $\ker \pi|_\pi \cong$ submodule of R^{n-1} , fin-generated by induction assumption
- $\pi/\ker \pi|_\pi \cong \pi(\pi) \leq R$, also fin-generated

Combining these yields that π is fin-generated.

Let $K = R \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$

$\pi/K = R \{b_1 + K, \dots, b_r + K\}$

(claim: $\pi = R \{a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_r\}$) □

Theorem. For a ring R TFAE:

- (1) R is a Noetherian ring.
- (2) Every finitely generated R -module is Noetherian.

Proof. $2) \Rightarrow 1)$ since R is generated by 1.

$1) \Rightarrow 2)$ Let π be a fin-generated R -mod.

Then $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that π is a hom image of R^n , $\pi \cong R^n/K$.

By the previous Lemma R^n is Noetherian

So π is Noetherian by the Correspondence Thm □

Presentations.

Definition. Let M be an R -module. If $M \cong F/K$ for some finitely generated free module $F := F_R(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and a finitely generated submodule $K := \langle w_1, \dots, w_m \rangle$, we say M has the *finite presentation*

$$M = \langle \underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_k}_{\text{generators}} \mid \underbrace{w_1 = 0, \dots, w_m = 0}_{\text{relations}} \rangle$$

(M is finitely presented for short).

For each $i \leq m$ we have $a_{ij} \in R$ such that

$$w_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j.$$

Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{m \times n}(R)$. Then we can rewrite the presentation of $M \cong F/K$ as

$$M = \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \mid A \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T = 0 \rangle.$$

Corollary. Every finitely generated module M over a Noetherian ring R is finitely presented.

Running example: $R = \mathbb{Z}$

$$F = \mathbb{Z}x_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}x_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$$

$$K = \langle \underbrace{36x_2}_{w_1}, \underbrace{6x_1 + 6x_2}_{w_2}, \underbrace{4x_1 + 10x_2}_{w_3} \rangle$$

$$\pi = F/K = \langle x_1, x_2 \mid \begin{array}{l} 36x_2 = 0 \\ 6x_1 + 6x_2 = 0 \\ 4x_1 + 10x_2 = 0 \end{array} \rangle$$

relations

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 36 \\ 6 & 6 \\ 4 & 10 \end{pmatrix}}_{=A} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Lemma (Changing presentations). *Let $A \in M_{m \times n}(R)$ and*

$$M = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \mid A \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T = 0 \rangle$$

be a finitely presented R -module. Let $P \in M_{m \times m}(R)$ and $Q \in M_{n \times n}(R)$ be invertible. Then

$$M = \langle y_1, \dots, y_n \mid PAQ \cdot (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T = 0 \rangle$$

is another presentation of M .

Proof. Note $M \cong F/K$ for

$$F := F_R(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$$(w_1, \dots, w_m)^T := A \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$$

$$K := \langle w_1, \dots, w_m \rangle$$

$$(y_1, \dots, y_n)^T := Q^{-1} \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$$

Claim 1. F is free over y_1, \dots, y_n .

- y_1, \dots, y_n generates F since $Q(y_1, \dots, y_n)^T = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$.
- To show the universal property, let N be an R -module and $v_1, \dots, v_n \in N$. Since F is free over x_1, \dots, x_n , there exists a homomorphism

$$\varphi: F \rightarrow N \text{ with } (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \mapsto Q(v_1, \dots, v_n)^T \quad (\text{componentwise}).$$

Then

$$(y_1, \dots, y_n) = Q^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \xrightarrow{\varphi} Q^{-1}Q(v_1, \dots, v_n)^T = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^T.$$

We proved Claim 1 and that $(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \rightarrow (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T$ extends to an automorphism of F .

Let

$$(v_1, \dots, v_m)^T := P(w_1, \dots, w_m)^T$$

Claim 2. $K = \langle v_1, \dots, v_m \rangle$.

- \supseteq is clear.
- \subseteq follows since $P^{-1}(v_1, \dots, v_m)^T = (w_1, \dots, w_m)^T$.

This proves Claim 2. Thus

$$M \cong F_R(y_1, \dots, y_n) / \langle v_1, \dots, v_m \rangle$$

and moreover

$$(v_1, \dots, v_m)^T = P(w_1, \dots, w_m)^T = PA(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T = PAQ(y_1, \dots, y_n)^T$$

□

Theorem. (Row reduction in PIDs) Let R be a PID. For every $A \in M_{m \times n}(R)$ there exist invertible $P \in M_{m \times m}(R)$ and $Q \in M_{n \times n}(R)$ such that

$$D = PAQ \text{ is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries } a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_l, \text{ i.e. } (a_1) \supseteq (a_2) \supseteq \dots$$

for $l := \min(m, n)$.

Proof. We find D using the following row operations on A that can be obtained by multiplication with invertible $m \times m$ matrices on the left.

(R1) *Switching rows i and j of A .* For a permutation matrix $S := E_{ij} + E_{ji} + \sum_{k \neq i, j} E_{kk}$ compute SA .

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} - & a_i & - \\ & & \\ - & a_j & - \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} - & a_j & - \\ & & \\ - & a_i & - \end{pmatrix}$$

(R2) *Add c times row i to row j of A .* For $T := I_m + cE_{ji}$ compute TA .

$$j \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & c & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} - & a_i & - \\ & & \\ - & a_j & - \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} - & a_i & - \\ & & \\ - & ca_i + a_j & - \end{pmatrix}$$

(R3) *Scale row i and add a multiple of row j to replace a_{ik} by $d := \gcd(a_{ik}, a_{jk})$ if $d \neq 0$.*

Let $\begin{pmatrix} u_{ii} & u_{ij} \\ u_{ji} & u_{jj} \end{pmatrix}$ such that (a) $d = u_{ii}a_{ik} + u_{ij}a_{jk}$ and (b) $u_{ii}u_{jj} - u_{ij}u_{ji} = 1$.

Since R is a PID, we have $u_{ii}, u_{ij} \in R$ satisfying (a); moreover $\gcd(u_{ii}, u_{ij}) = 1$ since $d \neq 0$. Hence we find u_{jj}, u_{ji} satisfying (b).

For $U := u_{ii}E_{ii} + u_{ij}E_{ij} + u_{ji}E_{ji} + u_{jj}E_{jj} + \sum_{k \neq i, j} E_{kk}$ compute UA .

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & u_{ii} & u_{ij} \\ & & u_{ji} & u_{jj} \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} - & a_i & - \\ & & \\ - & a_j & - \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} - & u_{ii}a_i + u_{ij}a_j & - \\ & & \\ - & & - \end{pmatrix}$$

Claim. Applying row operations (R1)-(R3) and corresponding column operations (C1)-(C3) (via multiplications with invertible $n \times n$ matrices on the right) A can be transformed into a diagonal matrix D with diagonal entries $a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_l$.

Switching rows and columns if necessary we may assume that $a_{11} \neq 0$. First transform A until a_{11} has as few prime factors as possible:

- (1) For $k \leq m$, if a_{11} does not divide a_{k1} , let $d := \gcd(a_{11}, a_{k1}) \neq 0$ and use (R3) to get a new matrix B with $b_{11} = d$. Note that b_{11} has fewer prime factors than a_{11} .
- (2) Similar for a_{1k} .

After finitely many steps we have a new matrix A such that $a_{11} | a_{i1}, a_{1j}$ for all i, j .

Ex. continued: $A = \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{0} & 36 \\ \boxed{6} & 6 \\ \boxed{4} & 10 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1} \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{4} & 10 \\ \boxed{6} & 6 \\ 0 & 36 \end{pmatrix} \quad \gcd(4, 6) = 2$
 $\xrightarrow{R_3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 6 & 6 \\ 0 & 36 \end{pmatrix} \quad = (-1)4 + 1 \cdot 6$

$$E_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow i$$

\uparrow
 j

(3) Using (R2) and (C2) we can now transform A into a block diagonal matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & A' \end{pmatrix}.$$

(4) If a_{11} does not divide a_{ij} for some i, j , add row i to row 1 by (R2) and go to step (2) to further reduce the number of prime factors of a_{11} .

Since a_{11} only has finitely many factors, after finitely many steps we have a matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & A' \end{pmatrix}$ such that $a_{11} | a_{ij}$ for all i, j .

Repeat the process for the $(m-1) \times (n-1)$ -matrix A' to get the Claim. Since the row and column operations were obtained by multiplication with invertible matrices, we have $D = PAQ$ as required. \square

Corollary. *Let R be a PID. Every submodule of the free module R^n of rank n is a free module of rank $\leq n$.*

Proof. Let $K \leq R^n$. Then K is finitely generated, say $K = \langle w_1, \dots, w_m \rangle$ where

$$(w_1, \dots, w_m)^T = A \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$$

for some $A \in M_{m \times n}(R)$.

By the previous Theorem (row reduction) and Lemma (changing presentation) we obtain free generators y_1, \dots, y_n for R^n and generators v_1, \dots, v_m for K such that

$$(v_1, \dots, v_m)^T = PAQ(y_1, \dots, y_n)^T = D(y_1, \dots, y_n)^T = (a_1 y_1, \dots, a_l y_l, 0, \dots, 0)^T$$

Then $K = Ra_1 y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Ra_l y_l \cong Ra_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Ra_l$ since y_1, \dots, y_n is a basis. Since $Ra_i \cong R$ if $a_i \neq 0$ the result follows. \square

The Structure Theorem for finitely generated modules over PIDs.

Let R be a PID.

Structure Theorem (Invariant Factor Form). *Let M be a finitely generated R -module for a PID R . Then*

$$M \cong R/(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus R/(a_k) \oplus R^r$$

where $k, r \geq 0$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in R$ are neither 0 nor a unit and $a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_k$.

a_1, \dots, a_k are the invariant factors of M .

r is the free rank of M .

Note. This decomposition of M is unique up to isomorphism (see below), i.e., if also

$$M \cong R/(b_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus R/(b_l) \oplus R^s$$

for $l, s \geq 0$, $b_1, \dots, b_l \in R$ neither 0 nor a unit and $b_1 | b_2 | \dots | b_l$, then $r = s$, $k = l$ and $(a_i) = (b_i)$ for all $i \leq k$.

Hence the invariant factors are unique up to multiplication with units.

Proof. Since R is Noetherian, M has a finite presentation. Apply a change of presentation with invertible matrices P, Q and diagonal D as in the previous Theorem to get

$$M = \langle y_1, \dots, y_n \mid a_1 y_1 = 0, \dots, a_l y_l = 0 \rangle$$

with $a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_l$.

Set $a_{l+1} := \dots a_n := 0$ if $n > l$ to get

$$M = \langle y_1, \dots, y_n \mid a_1 y_1 = 0, \dots, a_n y_n = 0 \rangle = Ry_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Ry_n \cong R/(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus R/(a_n).$$

If a_i is a unit, then $Ry_i \cong R/(a_i) \cong 0$ can be omitted.

If $a_i = 0$, then $Ry_i \cong R/(a_i) \cong R$. □

Primary Decomposition Theorem. Let M be a torsion R -module for a PID R with annihilator (a) where

$$a = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$$

for distinct primes $p_1, \dots, p_n \in R$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$M \cong M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n$$

where $M_i := \{m \in M \mid p_i^{\alpha_i} m = 0\}$ is the p_i -primary component of M .

Proof. Exercise 10.3.18. □

Decomposing $R/(a_i)$ into its primary components yields

Structure Theorem (Elementary Divisor Form). Let M be a finitely generated R -module for a PID R . Then

$$M \cong R/(p_1^{\alpha_1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus R/(p_n^{\alpha_n}) \oplus R^r$$

where $p_1, \dots, p_n \in R$ are (not necessarily distinct) primes, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, r \in \mathbb{N}$. $p_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, p_n^{\alpha_n}$ are the elementary divisors of M .

Structure Theorem (Uniqueness). Let M, N be a finitely generated R -modules for a PID R . TFAE:

- (1) $M \cong N$
- (2) M, N have the same free rank and invariant factors.
- (3) M, N have the same free rank and elementary divisors.

Proof Sketch.

For (1) \Rightarrow (3) we need

Lemma. For a prime $p \in R$, let $F := R/(p)$. Then

- (1) $R^n/(p)R^n \cong F^n$
- (2) $R/(p^\alpha) / pR/(p^\alpha) \cong F$

Assume $M \cong N$. Then

- Their torsion parts and their complements are isomorphic. Hence their free ranks are equal by (1) of the Lemma.
- Their p -primary components are isomorphic for every prime p , say with annihilator (p^α) . Induct on α and use (2) of the Lemma to obtain that their elementary divisors are the same.