Computable and computably enumerable languages

Peter Mayr

Computability Theory, February 1, 2021

Definition

- ▶ A DTM M with input alphabet Σ is **halting** if M halts on every $w ∈ Σ^*$.
- ▶ If M is halting, it **decides** its language L(M).
- ▶ *L* is **computable** (also decidable, recursive) if there exists a halting DTM M such that L = L(M).
- ▶ L is **computably enumerable (c.e.)** (also semi-decidable, recursively enumerable) if there exists a DTM M such that L = L(M).

Note

Even if M is not halting, L(M) may still be computable by a different DTM.

regular

computable

c.e.

4 (alex



Theorem

L is computable iff L and its complement \bar{L} is c.e.

Proof.

 \Rightarrow : Let L = L(M) for a halting DTM M.

- ▶ Then *L* is c.e. by definition.
- Also $\bar{L} = L(M')$ is c.e. with M' like M but with accept and reject state flipped.

$$\Leftarrow$$
: Let $M_1=(Q_1,\ldots,\delta_1), M_2=(Q_2,\ldots,\delta_2)$ be DTMs with $L=L(M_1), \bar{L}=L(M_2).$

Construct M to run M_1, M_2 in parallel on input w:

- ightharpoonup states $Q_1 imes Q_2$
- ▶ tape alphabet $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$
- ▶ transition function $\delta_1 \times \delta_2$
- ▶ accept states $\{t_1\} \times Q_2$ $(M_1$ accepts)
- ▶ reject states $Q_1 \times \{t_2\}$ (M_2 accepts)

Then M is halting and L(M) = L.



Closure properties of computable languages

Theorem

The class of computable languages is closed under complements, union, intersection, concatenation, *.

Proof.

Construct the corresponding DTMs.

Question

Which operations preserve c.e. languages?

Why "enumerable"?

Definition

An **enumerator** is a DTM M with $\sharp \in \Gamma$,

- a working tape and
- ▶ an **output tape** on which M moves only right (or stays) and writes only symbols from $\Gamma \setminus \{\bot\}$.

The **generated language** Gen(M) of M is the set of all words that M writes on the output tape when starting with empty tapes. Consecutive words are separated by \sharp .

Example

If M writes $\sharp 1 \sharp 11 \sharp 111 \sharp \ldots$, then $Gen(M) = L(\epsilon, 1, 11, \ldots)$.

Theorem

L is c.e. iff there exists an enumerator with L = Gen(M).

Proof.

 \Rightarrow : Let L = L(N) for a DTM N.

Idea: Construct an enumerator M that runs through all $w \in \Sigma^*$ and prints w if N accepts it.

M loops through all pairs $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ (countable!):



- ► For (m, n), M construct the m-th word w_m over Σ in length-lex order.
- ▶ Then N runs $\leq n$ steps with input w_m . If N accepts, then M prints w_m .

Then Gen(M) = L(N).



Proof.

 \Leftarrow : Let L = Gen(M) for an enumerator M.

The following DTM N accepts L:

- \triangleright On input w, N starts M to enumerate L.
- \triangleright If w appears in output of M, N accepts w.
- ► Else, *N* loops.

Note

- ▶ Being able to generate a language *L* is equivalent to being able to accept *L* (but not necessarily to reject its non-elements).
- ightharpoonup Generating L is "easier" than deciding L.

Why "computable"?

For sets $X \subseteq A$ and B we call $f: X \to B$ a partial function from A to B with domain(f) = X, denoted $f: A \to_p B$.

Example

 \sqrt{x} can be viewed as partial function $\mathbb{R} \to_p \mathbb{R}$ with domain \mathbb{R}_0^+ .

Definition

A partial function $\underline{f}: \underline{\Sigma^*} \to_p \underline{\Sigma^*}$ is **computable** if there exists a DTM M such that $\forall x, y \in \underline{\Sigma^*}: (\underline{s, x_{-}, 0}) \vdash_M^* (\underline{t, y_{-}, 0})$ iff $x \in \text{domain}(f)$ and f(x) = y.

Theorem

 $f: \Sigma^* \to_p \Sigma^*$ is computable iff its graph

$$L_f := \{(x, y) \in (\Sigma^*)^2 : x \in \text{domain}(f), f(x) = y\}$$

is c.e.



Proof.

⇒: HW

 \Leftarrow : Assume $L_f = \text{Gen}(N)$ for some enumerator N.

Construct M that computes f(x) as follows:

- ightharpoonup M starts N to enumerate all pairs $(a,b) \in L_f$.
- If (x, y) appears for some y, then M returns y = (x)

Note

Computing a function is the same as accepting its graph.