Space complexity

Peter Mayr

Computability Theory, November 13, 2023

Computation may use less space than the actual input

Example

Multi-tape DTM deciding $L = \{0^k 1^k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$:

- ▶ **Input tape:** holds input $x \in \{0,1\}^*$
- ► Work tape: Count leading 0s in binary, say *k*. Check that the last 0 is followed by *k* 1s and then □.

```
Required space on work tape: O(\log |x|) (not counting the input)
```

Space complexity without input and output

The following applies to deterministic and non-deterministic machines.

Definition

A TM M with input and output is a 3-tape TM such that

- the input tape holds the input and is read-only,
- ▶ the work tape has no restrictions,
- on the **output tape** the head moves only right (write-only).

Definition

Let M be a TM with input and output that halts on any input. M runs in space (has (worst case) space complexity) s(n) if s(n) is the maximum number of cells on the work tape used by M on any computational branch on any input x with |x| = n.

Note

For ease of comparing time and space complexity, we update our definition of run time to TMs with input & output as well.

Common classes of space complexity

Definition

```
\begin{aligned} \mathsf{SPACE}(s(n)) := \{ L \text{ can be decided by a DTM} \\ & \text{with input \& output in space complexity } O(s(n)) \} \\ \mathsf{NSPACE}(s(n)) := \{ L \text{ can be decided by a non-deterministic TM} \\ & \text{with input \& output in space complexity } O(s(n)) \} \end{aligned}
```

Definition

```
\begin{split} \mathsf{L} &:= \mathsf{SPACE}(\log n) \\ \mathsf{NL} &:= \mathsf{NSPACE}(\log n) \\ \mathsf{PSPACE} &:= \mathsf{SPACE}(n^{O(1)}) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathsf{SPACE}(n^k) \\ \mathsf{EXPSPACE} &:= \mathsf{SPACE}(2^{n^{O(1)}}) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathsf{SPACE}(2^{n^k}) \end{split}
```

Question

Which languages can be decided in constant space?



Basic inclusions

Theorem

- 1. $\mathsf{DTIME}(t(n)) \subseteq \mathsf{NTIME}(t(n)),$ $\mathsf{SPACE}(s(n)) \subseteq \mathsf{NSPACE}(s(n))$
- 2. $\mathsf{DTIME}(t(n)) \subseteq \mathsf{SPACE}(t(n))$, $\mathsf{NTIME}(t(n)) \subseteq \mathsf{NSPACE}(t(n))$
- 3. $\mathsf{NTIME}(t(n)) \subseteq \mathsf{SPACE}(t(n))$
- 4. $\mathsf{NSPACE}(s(n)) \subseteq \mathsf{DTIME}(2^{O(s(n))})$ if $s(n) \ge \log n$.

Proof.

- 1. holds since every DTM can be viewed as non-deterministic TM.
- 2. follows since a TM can visit only 1 tape cell per step.

Proof 3.

Recall: A non-deterministic TM N that runs in time t(n) can be simulated by a DTM M doing a breadth first search on N's computation tree.

- The input x remains unchanged on the input tape of M.
- ► On M's work tape we
- 1. first fix the current computation branch (a_1, \ldots, a_s) with $a_i \leq \max(\Delta(q, a))$ and $s \leq t(n)$,
- 2. then simulate N's computation for that fixed choice (a_1, \ldots, a_s) .

Each task only requires space O(t(n)) on the DTM M.

Reachability in the configuration graph

Proof 4.

Let N be a non-deterministic TM with input (no output) and one work tape that runs in space s(n).

Idea: Consider the configurations of N as vertices of a digraph with an edge $i \rightarrow j$ if j is a successor of i.

Check whether there is a path from the start configuration start for input x to some accepting configuration.

Algorithm (Enumerate configurations reachable from start)

- 1. R := {start} ... vertices reachable from start
 B := {start} ... boundary of the currently reachable set
- 2. For $i \in B$ do
- 3. $B := B \setminus \{i\}$
- 4. For every successor configuration j of i do
- 5. If j is an accepting configuration, return true.
- 6. If $j \notin R$, then $R := R \cup \{j\}, B := B \cup \{j\}$.
- 7. Return false



Run time:

Assume N has q states and a tape alphabet of size d. For an input of length n, there are

$$\leq qn s(n)d^{s(n)} = 2^{O(s(n))}$$

configurations in N's computation tree.

- ▶ Hence the loop in 2. is executed at most $2^{O(s(n))}$ times.
- ▶ The loop in 4. is executed a constant number of times.
- ▶ Updating R, B in 3., 6. is polynomial in $2^{O(s(n))}$.
- ► Hence the total run time is polynomial in $2^{O(s(n))}$, i.e. $2^{O(s(n))}$.



Corollary

 $\mathsf{L}\subseteq\mathsf{NL}\subseteq\mathsf{P}\subseteq\mathsf{NP}\subseteq\mathsf{PSPACE}\subseteq\mathsf{EXPTIME}$

Open whether these inclusions are proper.