# Arithmetical hierarchy and Turing jumps

Peter Mayr

Computability Theory, October 27, 2023

# Question

What is the connection between

- ▶ the arithmetical hierarchy (classification of sets by definability)
- and Turing degrees (classification by computability)?

# Finite approximations

Analyzing oracle machines requires computable approximations: If  $\varphi_e^A(x) \downarrow$ , then only a finite part of A is used in this computation.

▶ For  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , the *s*-tuple

$$\sigma := (\chi_A(0), \chi_A(1), \dots, \chi_A(s-1)) \in \{0, 1\}^s$$

is an **initial segment** (finite approximation) of  $\chi_A$ , denoted  $\sigma \prec A$ .

- For  $\sigma \in \{0,1\}^s$  write  $|\sigma| = s$ ,  $\sigma = (\sigma(0), \ldots, \sigma(s-1))$  and  $\sharp(\sigma) := \prod_{i < |s|} p_i^{\sigma(i)+1}$  (prime power encoding). Note that  $|\sigma|, \sigma(i)$  are computable from  $\sharp(\sigma), i$ .
- For  $\sigma, \tau \in \{0,1\}^*$  write  $\sigma \prec \tau$  and call  $\sigma$  an initial segment of  $\tau$  if  $|\sigma| \leq |\tau|$  and  $\sigma(i) = \tau(i)$  for all  $i \leq |\sigma|$ .

## Definition

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . If  $M_e^A$  halts on input x with output y and if u is the maximum element for which the oracle is used (queried for  $u \in A$ ) during the computation, write

$$\varphi_e^A(x) := y$$
  $\operatorname{use}_e^A(x) := u.$ 

 $use_e^A$  is called the **use function** corresponding to  $\varphi_e^A(x)$ .

- $\varphi_e^{\sigma}(x) := y$  if  $\varphi_e^{A}(x) = y$ ,  $\sigma \in \{0,1\}^*$  with  $\sigma \prec A$  and  $\operatorname{use}_e^{A}(x) < |\sigma|$  (i.e., only  $\sigma$  is queried).
- $\varphi_{e,s}^A(x) := y$  if  $\varphi_e^A(x) = y$  is computed by  $M_e^A$  in < s steps and  $e, x, y, \text{use}_e^A(x) < s$ .
- $\varphi_{e,s}^{\sigma}(x) := y$  if  $\varphi_{e,s}^{A}(x) = y$ ,  $\sigma \in \{0,1\}^*$  with  $\sigma \prec A$  and  $\operatorname{use}_{e}^{A}(x) < |\sigma|$ .
- $V_{e,s}^{\sigma} := \operatorname{domain} \varphi_{e,s}^{\sigma}$ , etc.

# Computable approximations

## Lemma

- 1.  $\varphi_e^A(x) = y$  iff  $\exists s \ \exists \sigma \prec A : \ \varphi_{e,s}^{\sigma}(x) = y$ 2. If  $\varphi_{e,s}^{\sigma}(x) = y$ , then  $\forall t \geq s \ \forall \tau \text{ suce } \sigma : \ \varphi_{e,t}^{\tau}(x) = y$ .
- 3.  $W_{e,s}^{\sigma}$  (i.e.  $\{(e,\sharp(\sigma),x,s): \varphi_{e,s}^{\sigma}(x)\downarrow\}$ ) is computable.

# Proof.

- 1. Any computation that halts, does so after finitely many steps, using a finite part of the oracle.
- 2. If a computation halts after s steps with access to  $\sigma$ , its output will not change when given more time and a larger part of the oracle.
- 3. Run  $M_e$  on x with queries to  $\sigma$  until it halts or s steps are completed.



# Post's Theorem relating $\Sigma_n$ and $\emptyset^{(n)}$

#### Recall

- ▶  $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is  $\Sigma_n$  if there is some computable  $R \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$  such that  $B = \{x : \exists y_1 \ \forall y_2 \dots \exists / \forall y_n \ (x, y_1, \dots, y_n) \in R\}.$
- $A' := \{x : \varphi_x^A(x) \downarrow \}.$

## Post's Theorem

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ .

- 1. B is  $\Sigma_{n+1}$  iff B is c.e. in some  $\Pi_n$ -set iff B is c.e. in some  $\Sigma_n$ -set.
- 2.  $\emptyset^{(n)}$  is  $\Sigma_n$ -complete for n > 0.
- 3. B is  $\Sigma_{n+1}$  iff B is  $\emptyset^{(n)}$ -c.e.
- 4. B is  $\Delta_{n+1}$  iff  $B \leq_T \emptyset^{(n)}$ .

**Note:** Properties of  $\Sigma_1$  relativize to  $\Sigma_{n+1} = \Sigma_1^{\emptyset^{(n)}}$  by 3.

#### Proof 1.

 $\Rightarrow$ : Let  $B \in \Sigma_{n+1}$ . Then we have  $R \in \Pi_n$  such that

$$x \in B$$
 iff  $\exists y \ R(x, y)$ .

Then  $B \in \Sigma_1^R$ , hence R-c.e.

 $\Leftarrow$ : Assume *B* is *A*-c.e. for some  $A \in \Pi_n$ . Then for some *e* 

$$\begin{aligned} x \in B \text{ iff } x \in W_e^A \\ \text{iff } \exists s \, \exists \sigma \in \{0,1\}^*: \ \sigma \prec A \land \underbrace{x \in W_{e,s}^\sigma}_{\text{computable}} \end{aligned}$$

**Claim:**  $\sigma \prec A$  is  $\Sigma_{n+1}$ 

$$\sigma \prec A \text{ iff } \forall y \leq |\sigma|: \underbrace{\sigma(y) = \chi_A(y)}_{\Pi_n} \vee \underbrace{(\sigma(y) = 1, y \in A)}_{\Sigma_{n+1}} \vee \underbrace{(\sigma(y) = 0, y \not\in A)}_{\Sigma_n}$$

Since  $\Sigma_{n+1}$  is closed under bounded  $\forall$ , the claim follows.

Then  $B \in \Sigma_{n+1}$ .

**Note:** A-c.e =  $\bar{A}$ -c.e. yields the second equivalence in 1.

#### Proof

2. Show  $\emptyset^{(n)}$  is  $\Sigma_n$ -complete for n > 0 by induction.

**Base case:** For n = 1,  $\emptyset' = K$  is  $\Sigma_1$ -complete.

**Induction step:** Let  $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$B \in \Sigma_{n+1}$$
 iff  $B$  is c.e. in some  $\Sigma_n$  set by 1. iff  $B$  is c.e. in  $\emptyset^{(n)}$  by induction assumption iff  $B \leq_m \emptyset^{(n+1)}$  by the Jump Theorem 2.

Hence  $\emptyset^{(n+1)}$  is  $\Sigma_{n+1}$ -complete.

- 3. follows from 1. and 2.
- 4.  $B \in \Delta_{n+1}$  iff  $B, \bar{B} \in \Sigma_{n+1}$  iff  $B, \bar{B}$  are  $\emptyset^{(n)}$ -c.e. by 3. iff B is  $\emptyset^{(n)}$ -computable by Complementation Thm.