

Many-one completeness for arithmetical hierarchy

Peter Mayr

Computability Theory, October 9, 2023

What are the hardest Σ_n^0 -problems?

To simplify notation we only consider subsets of \mathbb{N} .

Recall

- ▶ For $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, A is **many-one reducible** to B (short $A \leq_m B$) if there exists a total computable function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{N} : x \in A \text{ iff } f(x) \in B.$$

- ▶ A is c.e. iff $A \leq_m AP$ (HW). Hence the acceptance problem is “hardest” among Σ_1^0 -sets.

Question

Can this be generalized to higher levels of the arithmetical hierarchy?

Closure under many-one reductions

Lemma

If $A \leq_m B$ and B is Σ_n^0 , then A is Σ_n^0
(dually for Π_n^0).

Proof.

Assume $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a many-one reduction and $B(z)$ is Σ_n^0 . Then

$$A(x) \equiv B(f(x))$$

is Σ_n^0 since Σ_n^0 is closed under substitution by total computable functions. □

Σ_n^0 -complete sets

Definition

$C \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is Σ_n^0 -**complete** if

1. C is Σ_n^0 and
2. for every Σ_n^0 -set A : $A \leq_m C$.

Theorem

For each $n \geq 1$

1. Σ_n^0 -complete sets exist;
2. no Σ_n^0 -complete set is Π_n^0 .

Universal \Rightarrow complete

Proof.

1. A universal Σ_n^0 -predicate $U_n(e, x)$ is Σ_n^0 -complete since for each A in Σ_n^0 , we have $e \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$A(x) \text{ iff } U_n(\underbrace{e, x}_{\text{for total computable } W \rightarrow W^2}).$$

(for total computable $W \rightarrow W^2$)

2. Recall: $K_n(x) = U_n(x, x)$ is Σ_n^0 , not Π_n^0 .

Let C be Σ_n^0 -complete.

Then $K_n \leq_m C$ and C cannot be Π_n^0 either.



Further complete examples 1

$T = \{e : \varphi_e \text{ is total}\}$ is Π_2^0 -complete.

Proof.

Recall T is Π_2^0 . Let R be computable and

ecf if $\forall x \exists n \varphi_{e,n}(x) \downarrow$

$$A(x) \equiv \forall y \exists z R(x, y, z) \quad (\Pi_2^0)$$

- ▶ Define $\psi(x, y) := \mu z R(x, y, z)$.
- ▶ By the S_n^m -Theorem for $m = n = 1$, we have a computable $h := S_1^1$ such that

$$\psi(x, y) = \varphi_{h(x)}(y) \text{ for all } x, y.$$

- ▶ Then $x \in A$ iff $\forall y \varphi_{h(x)}(y) \downarrow$
iff $\varphi_{h(x)}$ is total
iff $h(x) \in T$.
- ▶ Hence the S_n^m -Theorem yields a many-one reduction h from A to T .

Further complete examples 2, 3

The **diagonal halting problem** $K = \{x : \varphi_x(x) \downarrow\}$ is Σ_1^0 -complete.

Proof

Let R be computable and

$$A(x) \equiv \exists y R(x, y) \quad (\Sigma_1^0)$$

- ▶ Define $\psi(x, z) := \mu y R(x, y)$ (independent of z !).
- ▶ By the S_n^m -Theorem, we have a computable h such that

$$\psi(x, z) = \varphi_{h(x)}(z) \text{ for all } x, z.$$

- ▶ Then $x \in A$ iff $\psi(x, z) \downarrow$
iff $\varphi_{h(x)}(h(x)) \downarrow$
iff $h(x) \in K$.

□

$K_n := \{x : U_n(x, x)\}$ is Σ_n^0 -complete for any $n \geq 1$.