# Non-deterministic Turing machines

Peter Mayr

Computability Theory, September 11, 2023

## Generalizations of the DTM

The concept of a Turing machine can be generalized by

- 1. a tape that is infinite in both directions,
- 2. a finite number of tapes,
- 3. non-determinism

Notions of configurations, acceptance, language . . . generalize in a straightforward way.

All these generalizations have the same <u>expressive power</u>, i.e., accept the same languages as the DTM defined previously. (HW)

#### Definition

A non-deterministic Turing machine (NTM) is a 7-tuple  $N = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, s, t, r, \Delta)$  like a DTM except for

$$\Delta: Q \setminus \{t,r\} \times \Gamma \rightarrow P(Q \times \Gamma \times \{-1,+1\}).$$

- ▶  $(q', \alpha', k')$  is a successor configuration of  $(q, \alpha, k)$  in  $Q \setminus \{t, r\} \times \Gamma^{\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{N}$  if there is  $\underline{(q', a, d)} \in \Delta(q, \alpha(k))$  such that  $\alpha' = \alpha[k \to a]$  and  $k' = \max(k + d, 0)$ . Then write  $(q, \alpha, k) \vdash_N (q', \alpha', k')$ . Let  $\vdash_N^*$  denote the transitive closure of  $\vdash_N$ .
- ▶ *N* accepts  $w \in \Sigma^*$  if  $(s, w_{-}, ..., 0) \vdash_N^* (t, ..., ...)$  for some (t, ..., ...).
- ▶ *N* halts on *w* if there exists  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each configuration *c* and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ :  $(s, w_{-}..., 0) \vdash_{N}^{k} c$  implies  $k \leq K$ .
- ▶  $L(N) := \{w \in \Sigma^* : N \text{ accepts } w\}$  is the **language** of N.
- \* i.e. it is not possible to take a successor more than K times ( no computation on injurt or takes more than K steps).

#### Theorem

For every NTM N there exists a DTM M such that

- 1. L(N) = L(M);
- 2. if N halts on all inputs, then M halts on all inputs.

#### Proof.

Let  $N=(Q,\Sigma,\Gamma,s,t,r,\Delta)$  be a NTM. The computation of N on input w can be viewed as tree with vertices labelled by configurations where every vertex has at most

$$\max\{|\Delta(q,a)| : q \in Q \setminus \{t,r\}, a \in \Gamma\}$$

successors.

 $w \in L(N)$  iff  $\exists$  finite path from root  $(s, w_{-}, 0)$  to some leaf (t, ., .).



**Idea:** Construct DTM M that tries all branches of N's computation tree breadth first by determining all configurations  $C_k$  that can be reached from the starting configuration in k steps.

# High-level description of M:

- ▶ Let  $C_0 := \{(s, w_{-}..., 0)\}$  and k := 0.
- lterate the following:
  - ▶ If  $\exists (t,.,.) \in C_k$ , accept.
  - ▶ If  $C_k = \emptyset$ , reject.
  - ▶ Let  $C_{k+1} := \bigcup_{c \in C_k} \{c' : c \vdash c'\}$  and k := k+1.

### Analysis of M:

- ▶ If N accepts w in k steps, then  $C_k$  contains an accepting configuration and M will accept (converse is clear).
- ▶ If N halts on w in  $\leq K$  steps, then either M accepts before reaching  $C_{K+1}$  or rejects with  $C_{K+1} = \emptyset$ .

#### Note

If a configuration of the NTM has  $\leq r$  successors, then the simulating DTM may consider  $|C_k| \leq r^k$  configurations. It is not known whether an exponential increase in the runtime of the DTM can be avoided (see P vs NP).