Deterministic and nondeterministic automata

Peter Mayr

Computability Theory, August 30, 2023

Recall

Definition

A deterministic finite automaton (DFA) is a 5-tuple

 $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, F)$ with

- Q a finite set (states),
- \triangleright Σ a finite set (**input alphabet**),
- ▶ $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$ the transition function,
- $ightharpoonup s \in Q$ the start state,
- ▶ $F \subseteq Q$ the set of **final/accepting states**.

M accepts $w \in \Sigma^*$ if $\delta^*(s, w) \in F$ for the extension δ^* of δ to Σ^* .

$$L(M) := \{ w \in \Sigma^* : M \text{ accepts } w \}$$

is the **language of** M.



Example

Is there a DFA M_3 such that $L(M_3) = \{w \in \{0,1\}^* : 001 \text{ is a substring of } w\}$?

I dea: scan w for 00!

Shobes shows ... no part of ∞ (see yel) shakes require $\alpha_0 = 1$ (who saw of $\alpha_0 = 1$) shakes require $\alpha_0 = 1$ (who saw of $\alpha_0 = 1$) we not $\alpha_0 = 1$ ($\alpha_0 = 1$) $\alpha_0 = 1$

Nondeterministic finite automata

- ▶ **Deterministic:** current state and input symbol uniquely determine next state
- ▶ Nondeterministic: several choices for next state
 - Interpretation: all possible transitions are done in parallel/the 'right' one is guessed.
 - Not a realistic model of computation but a useful theoretical device for its analysis.

Definition

A nondeterministic finite automaton with ϵ -transitions (ϵ -NFA) is a 5-tuple ($Q, \Sigma, \underline{\Delta}, s, F$) like a DFA except that

$$\Delta: Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}) \rightarrow P(Q)$$
 $(P(Q) \dots \text{power set of } Q)$

- ▶ Recall ϵ is the empty word, not an element in Σ .
- ▶ ϵ -transitions allow the NFA to change from a state q to any state in $\Delta(q, \epsilon)$ without input.
- ▶ Wlog, the sets $T := \Delta(q, a)$ for any $a \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ are ϵ -closed (i.e. if $\underline{t} \in T$, then also $\Delta(t, \epsilon) \subseteq T$).

$$\Delta(o,t) = \phi$$

$$\Delta(a,e) = \{a,b,c\}$$

branch processes flushoh input headres final state c accepting Grand

Definition

For an ϵ -NFA $N = (Q, \Sigma, \Delta, s, F)$ the **extended transition** function

$$\Delta^*\colon Q\times \Sigma^*\to P(Q)$$

is defined inductively for $q \in Q, w \in \Sigma^*, a \in \Sigma$ by

$$egin{array}{ll} \Delta^*(q,\epsilon) &:= \Delta(q,\epsilon) \ \Delta^*(q,\mathit{wa}) &:= igcup_{r \in \Delta^*(q,\mathit{w})} \Delta(r,\mathit{a}) \end{array}$$

assuming all $\Delta(q,\epsilon)$ and $\Delta(r,a)$ are ϵ -closed.

- ▶ *N* accepts w if $\Delta^*(s, w) \cap F \neq \emptyset$ (i.e. *N* accepts w iff \exists some path from s to a state in F that is labelled by w).
- N rejects w otherwise.

The **language** of N is

$$L(N) := \{ w \in \Sigma^* : M \text{ accepts } w \}.$$



Note

- Every DFA can be considered as ϵ -NFA with $\Delta(q, a) := \{\delta(q, a)\}$ (singleton) and $\Delta(q, \epsilon) := \emptyset$.
- Every language accepted by a DFA is also accepted by some ϵ -NFA. What about the converse?

Theorem (Subset construction (Rabin, Scott 1959))

Let $N = (Q, \Sigma, \Delta, s, F)$ be an ϵ -NFA with all $\Delta(q, a)$ ϵ -closed. Let $M = (Q', \Sigma, \delta, s', F')$ be the DFA with

- ightharpoonup Q' := P(Q),
- δ(R, a) := $\bigcup_{a \in R} \Delta(q, a)$ for $R \subseteq Q$, $a \in \Sigma$,
- $ightharpoonup s' := \Delta(s, \epsilon),$

Then L(N) = L(M).

Proof

First show for all $w \in \Sigma^*$ that

$$\delta^*(s',w) = \Delta^*(s,w) \tag{\dagger}$$

by induction on |w|.

Base case: For $w = \epsilon$,

$$\delta^*(s',\epsilon) = s' = \Delta(s,\epsilon) = \Delta^*(s,\epsilon).$$

Induction hypothesis: (†) holds for $w \in \Sigma^*$ of length n. Let $a \in \Sigma$. Then

$$\delta^{*}(s', wa) = \delta(\delta^{*}(s', w), a)$$

$$= \delta(\Delta^{*}(s, w), a)$$

$$= \bigcup_{q \in \Delta^{*}(s, w)} \Delta(q, a)$$

$$= \Delta^{*}(s, wa)$$

by definition of δ^* by induction hypothesis by definition of δ by definition of Δ^*

Hence (†) is proved.

Proof, continued

Note: N accepts w iff $\Delta^*(s,w) \cap F \neq \emptyset$ iff $\delta^*(s',w) \in F'$ by (\dagger) and the definition of F'iff M accepts w.

Note

The subset construction translates an NFA with |Q| states into a DFA with $2^{|Q|}$ states. Often fewer suffice.

Example, continued

Recall t ϵ -NFA N with 3 states, $L(N) = \{0^{\ell}1^{m}2^{n} : \ell, m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. There is a DFA M with L(M) = L(N) and

δ	0	1	2
$\{a,b,c\}$	$\{a,b,c\}$	{ <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> }	{ <i>c</i> }
$\{b,c\}$	Ø	$\{b,c\}$	{ <i>c</i> }
{ <i>c</i> }	Ø	Ø	{ <i>c</i> }
Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø

Since the other subsets cannot be reached from the starting state $\{a, b, c\}$, they can be omitted.