

## 27. Consequences of quantifier elimination

## Consequences of quantifier elimination

A theory  $T$  is **model-complete** if for all models  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  of  $T$

$$\mathcal{A} \leq \mathcal{B} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{A} \prec \mathcal{B}$$

$T$  is model complete iff all embeddings are elementary.

### Theorem

If  $T$  has quantifier elimination, then  $T$  is model complete.

### Proof.

Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \models T$  and  $\mathcal{A} \leq \mathcal{B}$ .

Let  $\phi$  be an arbitrary formula, equivalent modulo  $T$  to some quantifier-free  $\psi$ , let  $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{A} \models \phi(\bar{a}) \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{A} \models \psi(\bar{a}) \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{B} \models \psi(\bar{a}) \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{B} \models \phi(\bar{a}).$$

Recall quantifier-free formulas are preserved by substructures, extensions!  
Hence  $\mathcal{A}$  is an elementary substructure of  $\mathcal{B}$ . □

## Algebraically closed fields

We already proved that  $\text{ACF}_p$  are the completions of ACF by Tarski-Vaught Test (slides 12).

### Corollary

ACF is model complete and  $\text{ACF}_p$  is complete for  $p = 0$  or prime.

### Proof.

Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \models \text{ACF}_p$ , let  $\phi$  be a sentence in the language of rings. We have a quantifier-free sentence  $\psi$  such that  $\text{ACF}_p \models \phi \leftrightarrow \psi$ . Since  $\psi$  is preserved by substructures and extensions,

$$\mathcal{A} \models \phi \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{A} \models \psi \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{F}_p \models \psi \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{B} \models \psi \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{B} \models \phi,$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_p$  is the field of size  $p$  if  $p > 0$ ; else  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Thus  $\mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{B}$  and  $\text{ACF}_p$  is complete. □

# Decidability

Recall: Every complete recursively enumerable first-order theory  $T$  is decidable.

[To decide  $T \models \phi$ , search for a proof of  $\phi$  and of  $\neg\phi$  in parallel.]

## Corollary

$\text{ACF}_p$  is decidable for  $p = 0$  or prime.

In particular  $\text{Th}(\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot)$  is decidable.

## Corollary

ACF is decidable.

## Proof.

To decide  $\text{ACF} \models \phi$ , search for a proof of  $\text{ACF} \vdash \phi$  or for a prime  $p$  and a proof of  $\text{ACF}_p \vdash \neg\phi$ . □

## Definable sets

What are the definable sets in an algebraically closed field  $\mathcal{F}$ ?

Boolean combinations of  $p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$  for  $p \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

### Corollary (Strong Minimality)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an algebraically closed field and  $X \subseteq F$  definable.

Then either  $X$  or its complement  $F \setminus X$  is finite.

In particular,  $\mathbb{R}$  is not definable in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

### Proof.

Follows since sets  $\{x \in F \mid p(x) = 0\}$  for  $p \in F[x], p \neq 0$ , are finite. □

## The theory of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$

$(\mathbb{R}, +, -, \cdot, 0, 1)$  in the language of rings  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Ring}} = \{+, -, \cdot, 0, 1\}$  does not have quantifier elimination.

1. Else the field of reals would be strongly minimal as above; every definable subset would be either finite or cofinite.
2. But  $\exists y \ x = y^2$  defines  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < x\}$  which is neither.
3. It turns out the order  $<$  is the only obstruction to quantifier elimination.

# Real closed fields (RCF)

We collect axioms (RCF) in the language of ordered rings

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ORing}} = \{+, -, \cdot, 0, 1, <\}$  that hold for  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$\mathcal{F} = (F, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$  is a **real closed field** if

1.  $\mathcal{F}$  is an **ordered field**, i.e., a field with a linear order  $<$  satisfying
  - ▶  $\forall x, y, z \quad x < y \rightarrow x + z < y + z,$
  - ▶  $\forall x, y \quad (0 < x \wedge 0 < y) \rightarrow 0 < xy;$
2. (sign change) If  $f(x) \in F[x]$  and  $a < b$  in  $F$  are such that  $f(a)f(b) < 0$ , then there exists  $a < c < b$  such that  $f(c) = 0$ .

[Sign change is expressible by first order sentences  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots$  for each degree  $n$ .]

# Quantifier elimination of real closed fields

## Theorem (Tarski)

RCF has quantifier elimination.

## Proof (Marker, Section 3.3.)

Follows closely the proof for algebraically closed fields.

The key algebraic fact needed is that every ordered field has a unique real closure. □

## Corollary

RCF is model complete, complete, decidable, the theory of  $(\mathbb{R}, +, -, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$ .

## Proof.

By quantifier elimination, RCF is model complete.

Every real closed field  $\mathcal{F}$  has characteristic 0, hence embeds  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Then  $\mathcal{F}$  embeds the field of real algebraic numbers  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{alg}}$ , so

$\mathbb{R}_{\text{alg}} \prec \mathcal{F}$ .

In particular  $\mathcal{F} \equiv \mathbb{R}_{\text{alg}} \equiv \mathbb{R}$ .

Thus RCF is complete, etc. □

## Semialgebraic sets

What are the quantifier-free definable sets in a real closed field  $\mathcal{F}$ ?

Boolean combinations of  $p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$  and  $q(x_1, \dots, x_n) > 0$  for  $p, q \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

In real algebraic geometry these are known as **semialgebraic sets**.

definable = quantifier-free definable = semialgebraic

### Corollary

Any definable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is a **finite union of points and intervals**.

In particular,  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not definable in  $\mathbb{R}$ .