

9. Ultraproducts

One more proof of the Compactness Theorem

Goal.

Build a model \mathcal{M} for a finitely satisfiable theory T from the models \mathcal{M}_Δ for finite $\Delta \subseteq T$.

Filters

A **filter** \mathcal{F} on a set X is a subset of the power set $P(X)$ such that

1. $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$ and $X \in \mathcal{F}$;
2. if $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$, then $B \in \mathcal{F}$; (closed under supersets)
3. if $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$, then $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$. (closed under intersections)

Example

For every non-empty $A \subseteq X$, the set of supersets of A

$$\mathcal{F}_A := \{B \subseteq X \mid A \subseteq B\}$$

is a filter (the **principal** filter generated by A).

A filter \mathcal{F} is principal iff \mathcal{F} contains a smallest element, i.e. $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Example

For X infinite, the set of cofinite subsets

$$\mathcal{F} := \{A \subseteq X \mid X \setminus A \text{ is finite}\}$$

is a filter (the **Fréchet filter** on X).

Maximal filters

A filter \mathcal{F} on a set X is an **ultrafilter** if for every $A \subseteq X$ either $A \in \mathcal{F}$ or $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{F}$.

Facts.

1. \mathcal{F} is an ultrafilter iff \mathcal{F} is a maximal filter under inclusion.
2. (**Ultrafilter Lemma**) Every filter is contained in an ultrafilter.
[Follows from Zorn's Lemma.]

Example

1. An ultrafilter is principal iff it contains a singleton.
2. The Fréchet filter is not contained in any principal ultrafilter.

Intuition: Elements of an ultrafilter are the “big” subsets of X ; the others are “small”.

Ultraproducts

Let \mathcal{M}_x be an \mathcal{L} -structure for any $x \in X$, let \mathcal{F} be an ultrafilter on X .

1. On $\prod_{x \in X} M_x := \{f: X \rightarrow \bigcup M_x \mid f(x) \in M_x \text{ for all } x \in X\}$ define an equivalence relation \sim by

$$f \sim g \text{ if } \{x \in X \mid f(x) = g(x)\} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

2. On the set of equivalence classes $[g]$ define an \mathcal{L} -structure $\mathcal{M} = \prod_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_x / \mathcal{F}$ (ultraproduct of the \mathcal{M}_x) as follows:
3. For a constant $c \in \mathcal{L}$,

$$c^{\mathcal{M}} := [x \mapsto c^{\mathcal{M}_x}].$$

4. For a relation $R \in \mathcal{L}$ of arity n ,

$$R^{\mathcal{M}}([g_1], \dots, [g_n]) \text{ if } \{x \in X \mid R^{\mathcal{M}_x}(g_1(x), \dots, g_n(x))\} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

5. For a function $f \in \mathcal{L}$ of arity n ,

$$f^{\mathcal{M}}([g_1], \dots, [g_n]) = [h] \text{ if } \{x \in X \mid f^{\mathcal{M}_x}(g_1(x), \dots, g_n(x)) = h(x)\} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Note.

1. $f^{\mathcal{M}}$ reduces to 4. by viewing the graph of a function as relation.
2. The interpretations above are well-defined (HW).
3. If \mathcal{F} is just a filter, the construction still works.

Intuition: The ultraproduct $\prod_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_x / \mathcal{F}$ “averages” over the \mathcal{M}_x .

Łoś's Theorem

Theorem (Łoś)

For any \mathcal{L} -formula $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$,

$$\prod_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_x / \mathcal{F} \models \phi([g_1], \dots, [g_n])$$

iff $\{x \in X \mid \mathcal{M}_x \models \phi(g_1(x), \dots, g_n(x))\} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof.

By induction on ϕ . Let $\mathcal{M} := \prod_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_x / \mathcal{F}$.

Consider the induction step for ϕ of the form $\exists y \psi(\bar{x}, y)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &\models \exists y \psi(\overline{[g]}, y) \\ \text{iff } \mathcal{M} &\models \psi(\overline{[g]}, [f]) \text{ for some } [f] \in M \\ \text{iff } \{x \in X \mid \mathcal{M}_x &\models \psi(\overline{g(x)}, f(x))\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for some } f \in \prod M_x \\ \text{iff } \{x \in X \mid \mathcal{M}_x &\models \exists y \psi(\overline{g(x)}, y)\} \in \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

For the last equivalence note that for every $f \in \prod M_x$,

$$\{x \in X \mid \mathcal{M}_x \models \psi(\overline{g(x)}, f(x))\} \subseteq \{x \in X \mid \mathcal{M}_x \models \exists y \psi(\overline{g(x)}, y)\}.$$

For \supseteq , pick for each $x \in X$ with $\mathcal{M}_x \models \exists y \psi(\overline{g(x)}, y)$ a witness $c_x \in M_x$ such that $\mathcal{M}_x \models \psi(\overline{g(x)}, c_x)$.

Define

$$f: X \rightarrow \bigcup_{x \in X} M_x, \quad x \mapsto \begin{cases} c_x & \text{if } \mathcal{M}_x \models \exists y \psi(\overline{g(x)}, y), \\ \text{arbitrary} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Equality of the above index sets follows as well as the last equivalence on the previous slide. □

Ultrapowers of \mathcal{M} are elementary equivalent to \mathcal{M}

An **ultrapower** $\mathcal{M}^X/\mathcal{F}$ is an ultraproduct $\prod_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_x/\mathcal{F}$ with $\mathcal{M}_x = \mathcal{M}$ for all $x \in X$.

Corollary

$\text{Th}(\mathcal{M}^X/\mathcal{F}) = \text{Th}(\mathcal{M})$.

Proof.

Immediate from Łoś's Theorem.



Existence of non-standard models, 2

Example

Let $\mathbb{N} := (\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$ with the usual interpretation of symbols. Let \mathcal{F} be a non-principal ultrafilter on ω (containing the Fréchet filter).

Then there exists $a := [(0, 1, 2, \dots)]$ in the ultrapower $\mathbb{N}^\omega / \mathcal{F}$ such that for every $n \geq 1$

$$\mathbb{N}^\omega / \mathcal{F} \models \underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{n \text{ times}} < a.$$

Proof.

1. For fixed n , we have $\mathbb{N} \models n < a(x)$ for all but finitely many $x < \omega$.
2. Since every cofinite set is an element of \mathcal{F} , the claim follows by Łoś's Theorem. □

The hyperreals ${}^*\mathbb{R}$

Let $\mathbb{R} := (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$ with the usual interpretation of symbols denote the ordered field of the reals.

For a non-principal ultrafilter \mathcal{F} on ω ,

$${}^*\mathbb{R} := \mathbb{R}/\mathcal{F}$$

is the **field of hyperreals**.

- ▶ $\text{Th}({}^*\mathbb{R}) = \text{Th}(\mathbb{R})$.
- ▶ $|{}^*\mathbb{R}| = 2^{\aleph_0}$.
- ▶ ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ has **infinitesimal** elements a such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$${}^*\mathbb{R} \models 0 < a \wedge \underbrace{(1 + \cdots + 1)}_n \cdot a < 1$$

e.g. $a = [(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots)]$.

- ▶ Abraham Robinson used this to develop **non-standard analysis** rigorously formalizing the use of infinitesimals and infinite numbers in calculus as proposed by Leibniz.

Compactness via ultraproducts

Compactness Theorem

Every finitely satisfiable theory T is satisfiable.

Proof.

1. Let $X := P_{\text{fin}}(T)$ be the set of finite subsets of T .
2. Assume every $\Delta \in X$ has a model \mathcal{M}_Δ .
3. For $\phi \in T$, let $X_\phi := \{\Delta \in X \mid \mathcal{M}_\Delta \models \phi\}$.
4. Let \mathcal{F} be the set of all intersections of X_ϕ for finitely many $\phi \in T$ and their supersets.
5. Since any finite intersection $X_{\phi_1} \cap \dots \cap X_{\phi_n}$ contains $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$, it is non-empty. Hence \mathcal{F} is a filter.
6. By the Ultrafilter Lemma, \mathcal{F} is contained in some ultrafilter \mathcal{U} .
7. For $\phi \in T$, we have $X_\phi \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\prod_{\Delta \in X} \mathcal{M}_\Delta / \mathcal{U} \models \phi$ by Łoś's Theorem.
8. Thus $\prod_{\Delta \in X} \mathcal{M}_\Delta / \mathcal{U} \models T$. □