

Algebraic Number Theory Spring 2023

Homework List

April 28, 2023

<http://math.colorado.edu/~kstange/> click “Teaching;” also on canvas.

Advice: Please focus on the problems most appropriate to you (the ones you will learn something from). Aim to do at least 6 problems for a given due date. Many of these problems have solutions you can find in your texts or online. Give them a fair shake, but it’s ok to learn solutions from elsewhere if you *own* them (learn them so you can authentically recreate them). (You are your own best guide to what helps you learn best.) When called upon, you can present anything that hasn’t already been presented.

1 For Friday, Feb 3.

1. (Recommended). In class, we only sketched the steps to find all integer solutions to $x^3 - y^2 = 1$. Fill out the details. Note: the solution to this is in Baker’s notes, page 3.
2. Solve the Diophantine equation $x^3 - y^2 = 2$. (Hint: do the previous problem first.)
3. Show that $x^4 + y^4 = z^4$ has no nontrivial solutions. Hint: instead, show $x^4 + y^4 = z^2$ has no nontrivial solutions by writing it as $(x^2)^2 + (y^2)^2 = z^2$ and using the well-known parametrization of pythagorean triples. More specifically, show that if there’s one solution, then there’s another with smaller z (see the problem? this idea is called “infinite descent”). This exercise is elementary (it requires messing around with equations and parities), but not totally trivial. This case of FLT is originally due to Fermat himself.
4. What is the appropriate “norm” function for $\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2} \right]$? What makes it appropriate?
5. Show that $\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2} \right]$ is a Euclidean domain, hence a UFD.
6. Classify the splitting possibilities for rational primes p as elements of $\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2} \right]$. (Hint: this is a sixth root of unity.)
7. Prove that over an infinite field, no finite collection of proper subspaces can cover a vector space. What about finite fields?
8. Prove that $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{10}]$ is not a UFD (Hint: try factoring 6).
9. Try to complete as much as you can of the Kummer/Lamé “proof” of FLT in the prime case, under the strong (and false!) assumptions that $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ is a UFD and the only units are exactly $\pm\zeta_p^k$. The solution can be found on page 6 of Baker’s notes. You can read about the history of Lamé/Kummer’s proofs here: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41133432>.

10. Find all the algebraic integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. (Verify: in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ you should get $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ and in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ you should get $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right]$.)
11. Show that a UFD is integrally closed (solution on page 693 of Dummit and Foote). Hint: use the fact that an element of the field of fractions can be written in ‘lowest terms’.
12. Show that $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is integrally closed directly; show that any proper subring of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is not integrally closed (these all contain 1, mind).
13. If α is an algebraic integer, then its minimal polynomial is in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ (solution is Lemma 1.12 in Baker).
14. Finish the argument to determine the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$.

2 For Friday, February 17th.

1. Use the proof that integrality of an element is equivalent to finite generation of a certain module (from class notes or Baker’s notes) to find the minimal polynomial of $\sqrt[3]{2} + 1$. Verify it.
2. Prove that α is an algebraic integer if and only if its minimal polynomial has coefficients in \mathbb{Z} . (Note: This is Lemma 1.12 in Baker’s Notes).
3. We showed that if L/K is an extension of perfect fields, then the norm, trace, and characteristic polynomial of $\alpha \in L$ are given in terms of the embeddings of L into \bar{K} . In this proof, we first assumed $L = K(\alpha)$. We left the general case as an exercise. Complete the proof. Hint: show the matrix for m_α on L is block diagonal, where the blocks are the corresponding matrix for $K(\alpha)$.
4. There are two definitions of the ring of algebraic integers of a number field. One is relative, as follows. Let \mathcal{O}_K be the ring of integers of the number field K . Then the ring of integers \mathcal{O}_L of an extension L/K is the integral closure of \mathcal{O}_K in L . The other definition is global: the ring of integers \mathcal{O}_L of L is the intersection of the ring of all algebraic integers (i.e. elements integral over \mathbb{Z}) with the field L . Prove that these define the same \mathcal{O}_L .
5. Suppose α and β are quadratic (i.e. degree 2 minimal polynomials). Determine the minimal polynomial of $\alpha + \beta$ in terms of the of α and β .
6. Show that $\sqrt{3}$ is not an element of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ where α is a fourth root of 2 (i.e. $\alpha^4 = 2$). Hint: start by using the minimal polynomial to compute trace. (Note: This is from Marcus, Chapter 2; more of a hint there.)
7. Find a cubic field $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ where $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \subsetneq \mathcal{O}_K$. Possible method: modify the example we did in class computing the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$.

8. Consider the field K generated by a root α of minimal polynomial $x^3 - x^2 - 4x - 1$. Let $\beta = 1/(\alpha + 1)$. Find the matrix representing multiplication by β , and its trace, norm and characteristic polynomial. Conclude that $\alpha + 1$ is invertible in \mathcal{O}_K .
9. We have defined the trace and norm maps for field extensions. Suppose we have a stack of field extensions $K \subset M \subset L$. What is the relationship between the maps $tr_{L/M}$ and $tr_{M/K}$ and $tr_{L/K}$? What about the relationship between $N_{L/M}$, $N_{L/K}$ and $N_{M/K}$?
10. Show that any commutative ring with identity has at least one maximal ideal.
11. Show that in a Noetherian ring R , a subset is a fractional ideal if and only if it is a finitely generated R -submodule.
12. Show that if I and J are fraction ideals, so are IJ , $I \cap J$, and $I + J$.
13. Let $I = (1 + i)\mathbb{Z}[i]$, an ideal of the Gaussian integers. Find I^{-1} explicitly.
14. Do the same as above, but with $I = (3, 1 + \sqrt{-5})$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$.
15. Find the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$.
16. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$, and let I be the ideal of R generated by 2 and $1 + \sqrt{-3}$.
 - (a) Show that $I^2 = (2)I$ but $I \neq (2)$. Conclude that proper ideals in R do not factor uniquely into products of prime ideals.
 - (b) Show that I is the unique prime ideal of R containing (2) . Conclude that the ideal (2) cannot be written as a product of prime ideals of R .
 - (c) Why do parts (a) and (b) above not contradict the theorem which says that every Dedekind domain admits unique factorization of proper ideals into products of prime ideals?
17. (a) Prove that a PID that is not a field is a Dedekind ring.
 (b) Prove that a Dedekind ring is a UFD if and only if it is a PID.
18. Compute the discriminant of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[3]{2}]$ in as many different ways as you can.
19. Which of the following are Dedekind rings?
 - (a) $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]/(Y^2 - X^3)$
 - (b) $R = \{\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, 3 \nmid b\}$
20. Compute the discriminant of α , i.e. $\text{disc}(1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)$, where α has minimal polynomial $x^4 + ax + b$.

3 For Friday, March 3th.

1. In class, we proved that a Dedekind domain R has unique factorization of ideals. One step was to show that for a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} , $\mathfrak{p}^{-1} \neq R$. The proof was constructive; work through the proof to demonstrate it with $\mathfrak{p} = (2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})$ in the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$.
2. Learn what a Bhargava cube is and give a ten minute presentation on this topic. The best reference is the original reference: read up to the end of Section 2.3 in <https://annals.math.princeton.edu/2004/159-1/p03>.
3. Show that the class number of the Gaussian integers is 1, by finding the complete list of reduced primitive integral binary quadratic forms of discriminant -4 .
4. Let R be a Dedekind domain. Suppose \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{q} are distinct non-zero primes.
 - (a) Show that \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{q} are coprime, i.e. $\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q} = R$.
 - (b) Show that for any positive exponents $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, \mathfrak{p}^s and \mathfrak{q}^t are coprime.
 - (c) What aspect of this fails for a non Dedekind domain?
5. This example is due to Dedekind. Consider the field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ where α has minimal polynomial $x^3 + x^2 - 2x + 8$. In the ring of integers, one can verify that

$$2 = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2\right) (-3 + 2\alpha - \alpha^2) \left(-4 + \frac{5}{2}\alpha - \frac{3}{2}\alpha^2\right)$$

Furthermore, each of these three elements has norm 2. From these facts, prove that K is non-monogenic (not just that $\mathcal{O}_K \neq \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$). Hint: Assume it is and use Kummer's Theorem. Can one generalize this strategy?

6. Consider the extension $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ where α has minimal polynomial $\alpha^3 = \alpha + 1$. You may use the fact that $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$.
 - (a) Split the prime 23. By splitting, I mean break it into prime ideals, given explicitly, and determine which of these are equal and which are coprime.
 - (b) Verify the e_i, f_i and their expected relationship to n .
 - (c) Give the explicit maps from \mathcal{O}_K to the finite fields corresponding to each prime.
7. (This is Marcus, Chapter 3, Exercise 9 among other places (it's very standard)). Let $K \subset L$ be number fields. Let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ be ideals. One can naturally extend these ideals to ideals $\mathfrak{a}\mathcal{O}_L$ and $\mathfrak{b}\mathcal{O}_L$ of \mathcal{O}_L , by taking the ideal generated by their elements in the larger ring.
 - (a) Show that if $\mathfrak{a}\mathcal{O}_L \mid \mathfrak{b}\mathcal{O}_L$, then $\mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{b}$.

- (b) Show that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}\mathcal{O}_L \cap \mathcal{O}_K$.
- (c) Which ideals \mathfrak{C} of \mathcal{O}_L satisfy $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C} \cap \mathcal{O}_K)\mathcal{O}_L$?
8. Show that any ideal in a Dedekind domain can be generated by at most 2 elements (There's a hint in Baker, Chapter 2 Exercise 4).
 9. In class, we proved that when we split a prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ in a number field K , we have $\sum e_i f_i = n$ (see the details from the notes). State and prove a more general version of this for a relative extension $K \subset L$ of number fields. This will require generalizing definitions. (For reference, Marcus does this in Chapter 3, Theorem 21; you can approach this as an exercise or an expositional task depending how much you decide to depend on him.)
 10. If you've done or understand the previous exercise, then explain and verify that e_i 's and f_i 's "multiply in towers".
 11. Determine the full class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-14})$, including the class group structure. Find a corresponding quadratic form and ideal representative of each class. (You may be able to do this with quadratic forms - not sure how messy it gets - or you may wish to use the Minkowski bound we'll see in class.)
 12. I left some details out of the quadratic forms and ideal classes correspondence. Fill in those details.

4 For Friday, March 17th.

1. Find a congruence condition on primes p that determined whether they are represented by $x^2 - xy + 2y^2$. Hint: $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$.
2. On March 8, I gave a sketch of a proof that the primes dividing the discriminant are exactly those which ramify. Fill in any details that are bothering you.
3. In class I claimed the dual of the dual of a vector space is canonically isomorphic to the original vector space. Verify this.
4. Suppose the ring of integers of K is of the form $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ for some α with minimal polynomial f of degree n . Prove that the different ideal is generated by $f'(\alpha)$.
5. Verify cancellation of fractional ideals: $\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{\mathfrak{b}} \cong \frac{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}}{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}}$ for fractional ideals $\mathfrak{a} \supseteq \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}$.
6. In class we mentioned a variety of properties of dual lattices without proof (dual of dual is original lattice; how dual interacts with intersection and sum, etc.). Prove some or all of these.

7. Consider the field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$. Pretend we don't already know that the ring of integers is $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[3]{2}]$, but we do know $\text{disc}(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[3]{2}]) = -108$.
 - (a) Show that $(2) = (\sqrt[3]{2})^3$ and $(3) = (\sqrt[3]{2} + 1)^3$ in \mathcal{O}_K . (Hint: unlikely things can be units in a number ring, watch out.)
 - (b) Explain why this determines the ring of integers.
 - (c) What is the different ideal in \mathcal{O}_K .
8. Prove part 3 of Dedekind's Theorem on the prime ideals dividing the different (March 13).
9. Prove the following generalization of Minkowski's Convex Body Theorem: Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a rank n lattice. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded, convex, symmetric, and compact set. If $\text{vol}(S) \geq 2^n \text{vol}(\mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda)$ then there exists some $0 \neq v \in S \cap \Lambda$.
10. Prove the following generalization of Minkowski's Convex Body Theorem: Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a rank n lattice. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded, convex, symmetric set. Then, $|\Lambda \cap S| \geq \frac{\text{vol}(S)}{2^n \text{vol}(\mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda)}$. Can this statement be improved?
11. Suppose you plant a tree at every nonzero lattice point of \mathbb{Z}^2 within a radius of 13 from the origin. The trees are of diameter 0.16. You stand at the origin. Prove that you cannot see out of this forest. (Problem stolen from somewhere but I'm not sure where.)

5 For Friday, April 14th.

Rings are always commutative.

1. Baker, Exercise 4.16, page 92. Read Section 1.2 up to that exercise for the relevant definitions.
2. Let p be an odd prime. Let $U_0 := \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. Let $\mathfrak{m} := p\mathbb{Z}_p$. Define $U_n := 1 + \mathfrak{m}^n$, which is a subset of U_0 . Show the following isomorphisms of groups:

$$U_0/U_n \cong (\mathbb{Z}_p/\mathfrak{m}^n)^*; \quad U_n/U_{n+1} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p/\mathfrak{m} \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, n \geq 1.$$

Note, U_1 are termed the *principal units*.

3. (Universal property for localization). Let R be an integral domain and S a multiplicatively closed subset. Let $h : R \rightarrow S^{-1}R$ be the map to the associated localization. The exercise is to show that $S^{-1}R$ satisfies the following universal property. For any other ring R' with a homomorphism $f : R \rightarrow R'$ for which $f(S) \subset (R')^*$, there is a unique homomorphism $g : S^{-1}R \rightarrow R'$ so that $f = g \circ h$.

4. Let R be an integral domain, and S be a multiplicatively closed subset. Define

$$\bar{S} := \{r \in R : ar \in S \text{ for some } a \in R\}.$$

This is called the *saturation of S* .

- (a) Show that \bar{S} is a multiplicatively closed subset containing S , and $\bar{S}^{-1}R \cong S^{-1}R$.
- (b) Find all multiplicative sets S such that $S^{-1}\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Q}$.
5. Let R be a ring, not necessarily an integral domain. In the absence of a fraction field, we can define localization more generally as follows. Let S be a multiplicatively closed subset (i.e. closed under multiplication and containing 1). Define a relation on $R \times S$ by

$$(a, b) \sim (c, d) \text{ if and only if } s(ad - bc) = 0 \text{ for some } s \in S.$$

Then $S^{-1}R$ is the set of equivalence classes. Write a/b for (a, b) , and define addition and multiplication for equivalence classes as for fractions.

- (a) Check this is an equivalence relation and $S^{-1}R$ is a ring, and the $x \mapsto x/1$ is a homomorphism from R to $S^{-1}R$ (in class, only present the interesting aspects of all these details).
- (b) Explain what you get for $S^{-1}R$ if you let S contain 0.
- (c) Localize $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ at $S = \{1, 2, 4\}$. Is the map $R \rightarrow S^{-1}R$ given above injective?
- (d) Check that the correspondence between primes in $S^{-1}R$ and those of R not intersecting S (proved in class) is still valid.
6. Let R be a ring, not necessarily an integral domain. An element $x \in R$ is called *nilpotent* if some power $x^n = 0$. Show that the intersection of all prime ideals of R is the ideal consisting of all nilpotent elements. (Hints: This can be proven using localization as defined above. In particular, given a non-nilpotent x , we seek to find a prime not containing it. Localize at $S = \{x^k : k \geq 0\}$. What do you learn?)
7. Let R be a ring with a multiplicatively closed set S . Let M be an R -module. The purpose of this exercise is to define localization of M , and show that it is actually a type of extension of scalars. To define the localization of M at S , denoted $S^{-1}M$, we use as underlying set (m, s) , denoted m/s where $m \in M$ and $s \in S$, under the equivalence relation $m'/s' \sim m/s$ if and only if $(m's - ms')u = 0$ for some $u \in S$. This is based on the general definition of localization given in the last batch of exercises. If R is an integral domain you can eliminate u from the definition.
- (a) Show that if M is a ring extension of R , then this definition of $S^{-1}M$ coincides with the usual ring definition. (This is more of an observation than anything; not real work.)

- (b) Show that $S^{-1}M \cong S^{-1}R \otimes_R M$. (Hint: Use the universal property of tensor product, i.e. start by giving a bilinear map $S^{-1}R \times M \rightarrow S^{-1}M$.)
8. Let p be a prime. Find the limit of the sequence $1/(1 + p^n)$ in \mathbb{R} and in \mathbb{Q}_p . Are the limits rational? The same?
9. Let p be a prime. Prove that addition and multiplication are continuous as maps $\mathbb{Q}_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$.
10. Baker, Exercise 5.33 (page 128).
11. Baker, Exercise 5.36 (page 129).

6 For Friday, April 28th.

1. (Hensel's Lemma Practice)
- (a) Solve $x^3 - x - 2$ in \mathbb{Q}_2 .
- (b) Let p be a prime. Let n not be divisible by p . Show that there is a unique n -th root of unity ζ in \mathbb{Z}_p such that $\zeta \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.
- (c) Let p be a prime. Find all solutions of $x^p - x = 0$ in \mathbb{Z}_p and in \mathbb{Q}_p .
2. (Some details of the $\sum fe = n$ proof from class.) Suppose B is a finite ring extension of A , both Dedekind domains. Let $S = A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ for some prime ideal \mathfrak{p} . Let \mathfrak{q} be a prime of B above \mathfrak{p} . Suppose that $\mathfrak{p}B = \prod \mathfrak{q}_i^{e_i}$.
- (a) Show that $S^{-1}\mathfrak{q}$ is prime and lies above $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$.
- (b) Show that $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}B = \prod S^{-1}\mathfrak{q}_i^{e_i}$.
- (c) Show that $B/\mathfrak{q}_i \cong S^{-1}B/S^{-1}\mathfrak{q}_i$.
- (d) Show that $[B : A] = [L : K] = [B/\mathfrak{p}B : A/\mathfrak{p}]$ (Exercise 4.28 in Baker; hint there.)
3. Baker, exercise 4.31, page 100.
4. The following we stated but did not prove in class, and the answer can be found in Baker's notes, Proposition 4.36. It's a nice thing to work out yourself though, to solidify all those definitions:
- (a) Prove that the decomposition field is the largest intermediate field for which $e = f = 1$.
- (b) Prove that the inertia field is the largest intermediate field for which $e = 1$.
5. Work out the decomposition group and inertia group for all primes in quadratic extensions.

6. Work out the decomposition and inertia groups for the prime 2 in the splitting field K of $x^3 - 2$ over \mathbb{Q} . Work out the decomposition and inertia fields. Check how 2 splits as you go up through the tower. Can you work it out for other primes?
7. Find the Dirichlet density of primes with legendre symbol $\left(\frac{D}{p}\right) = 1$ for any integer D . (You may use big theorems.)
8. If a natural number n is a square mod p for a set of primes p having Dirichlet density 1, then n must be a square. (You may use big theorems.)
9. More maybe?