

HW 2: solution sketches

- (1) Give a geometric proof that $\sqrt{3}$ is irrational. (Hint: It might be easier to show that $1 + \sqrt{3}$ is irrational, then deduce that $\sqrt{3}$ is also irrational.)

Start with a rectangle of height 1 and base $1 + \sqrt{3}$. Delete two maximal subsquares (which are 1×1 squares) to obtain a remainder rectangle of base $(1 + \sqrt{3}) - 2$ (which is less than 1) and height 1. Now delete one maximal subsquare of base $(1 + \sqrt{3}) - 2 = \sqrt{3} - 1$ to obtain a remainder rectangle of base $\sqrt{3} - 1$ and height $1 - (\sqrt{3} - 1) = 2 - \sqrt{3}$. The current rectangle is similar to the starting rectangle, since

$$(1 + \sqrt{3})/1 = (\sqrt{3} - 1)/(2 - \sqrt{3}).$$

This implies that if you keep deleting maximal subsquares, the process will not terminate, so the starting values 1 and $1 + \sqrt{3}$ are not commensurable. Equivalently, $1 + \sqrt{3}$ is not rational.

Temporarily assume that $\sqrt{3}$ IS rational. Since 1 is rational, and the sum of rationals is rational, it follows from our temporary assumption that $1 + \sqrt{3}$ is rational. Since we proved the irrationality of $1 + \sqrt{3}$ in the previous paragraph, our assumption that $\sqrt{3}$ is rational must be false. We conclude that $\sqrt{3}$ is irrational.

- (2) Use the Euclidean algorithm to find an integral solution to $270x + 168y = 6$.

First use the Euclidean algorithm:

$$270 = 168 \cdot 1 + 102$$

$$168 = 102 \cdot 1 + 66$$

$$102 = 66 \cdot 1 + 36$$

$$66 = 36 \cdot 1 + 30$$

$$36 = 30 \cdot 1 + 6$$

$$30 = 6 \cdot 5 + 0$$

Rewriting this data yields $6 = (36 - 30 \cdot 1) = (36 - (66 - 36 \cdot 1) \cdot 1) = (36 \cdot 2 - 66 \cdot 1) = \dots = \underline{5} \cdot 270 - \underline{8} \cdot 168$. Our integral solution is $(x, y) = (5, -8)$.

(3) What is the height of a regular tetrahedron of side length 1?

There are various ways to do this, but the simplest might be to find a nice regular tetrahedron in space (not necessarily of side length 1), and then compute the ratio of the height to the side length.

There is a nice regular tetrahedron in 4-space, namely the one with vertices at $e_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$, $e_2 = (0, 1, 0, 0)$, $e_3 = (0, 0, 1, 0)$, $e_4 = (0, 0, 0, 1)$. If the first three define the bottom face, then its center is at $(e_1 + e_2 + e_3)/3 = (1/3, 1/3, 1/3, 0)$. The distance from the top, e_4 , to this point is $\|e_4 - (e_1 + e_2 + e_3)/3\|$, or

$$\sqrt{(1/3)^2 + (1/3)^2 + (1/3)^2 + 1} = 2\sqrt{3}/3.$$

The side length of this tetrahedron is the length from e_1 to e_2 , which is $\|e_1 - e_2\| = \sqrt{2}$. The desired ratio is now $(2\sqrt{3}/3)/\sqrt{2} = \sqrt{6}/3 = \sqrt{2/3}$.