Union and Intersection

Union

Union

We have already discussed the Axiom of Union, which asserts that unions of sets are sets.

Union

We have already discussed the Axiom of Union, which asserts that unions of sets are sets. Union is an operation used to “accumulate” the elements of many sets.

Union

We have already discussed the Axiom of Union, which asserts that unions of sets are sets. Union is an operation used to “accumulate” the elements of many sets. We use “infix” notation to write down the union of two sets,

Union

We have already discussed the Axiom of Union, which asserts that unions of sets are sets. Union is an operation used to “accumulate” the elements of many sets. We use “infix” notation to write down the union of two sets, and “prefix” notation to write down the union of any number of sets:

Union

We have already discussed the Axiom of Union, which asserts that unions of sets are sets. Union is an operation used to “accumulate” the elements of many sets. We use “infix” notation to write down the union of two sets, and “prefix” notation to write down the union of any number of sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \{A,B\} \cup \{B,C,D\} &= \{A,B,C,D\} \quad \text{(Infix)} \\ \bigcup\{\{A,B\},\{B,C,D\},\{A,D\}\} &= \{A,B,C,D\} \quad \text{(Prefix)} \end{aligned}$$

Union

We have already discussed the Axiom of Union, which asserts that unions of sets are sets. Union is an operation used to “accumulate” the elements of many sets. We use “infix” notation to write down the union of two sets, and “prefix” notation to write down the union of any number of sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \{A,B\} \cup \{B,C,D\} &= \{A,B,C,D\} \quad \text{(Infix)} \\ \bigcup\{\{A,B\},\{B,C,D\},\{A,D\}\} &= \{A,B,C,D\} \quad \text{(Prefix)} \end{aligned}$$

More generally:

Union

We have already discussed the Axiom of Union, which asserts that unions of sets are sets. Union is an operation used to “accumulate” the elements of many sets. We use “infix” notation to write down the union of two sets, and “prefix” notation to write down the union of any number of sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \{A,B\} \cup \{B,C,D\} &= \{A,B,C,D\} \quad \text{(Infix)} \\ \bigcup \{\{A,B\},\{B,C,D\},\{A,D\}\} &= \{A,B,C,D\} \quad \text{(Prefix)} \end{aligned}$$

More generally:

$$X \cup Y = \bigcup \{X, Y\}$$

Union

We have already discussed the Axiom of Union, which asserts that unions of sets are sets. Union is an operation used to “accumulate” the elements of many sets. We use “infix” notation to write down the union of two sets, and “prefix” notation to write down the union of any number of sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \{A, B\} \cup \{B, C, D\} &= \{A, B, C, D\} \quad \text{(Infix)} \\ \bigcup\{\{A, B\}, \{B, C, D\}, \{A, D\}\} &= \{A, B, C, D\} \quad \text{(Prefix)} \end{aligned}$$

More generally:

$$\begin{aligned} X \cup Y &= \bigcup\{X, Y\} \\ X \cup Y \cup Z &= (X \cup Y) \cup Z \end{aligned}$$

Union

We have already discussed the Axiom of Union, which asserts that unions of sets are sets. Union is an operation used to "accumulate" the elements of many sets. We use "infix" notation to write down the union of two sets, and "prefix" notation to write down the union of any number of sets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{A, B\} \cup \{B, C, D\} &= \{A, B, C, D\} \quad \text{(Infix)} \\
\bigcup \{\{A, B\}, \{B, C, D\}, \{A, D\}\} &= \{A, B, C, D\} \quad \text{(Prefix)}
\end{aligned}
$$

More generally:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X \cup Y &= \bigcup \{X, Y\} \\
X \cup Y \cup Z &= (X \cup Y) \cup Z = \bigcup \{X, Y, Z\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Infix versus Prefix

Infix versus Prefix

This choice of notation ($\cup$ and $\bigcup$) is analogous to the use of $+$ and $\sum$ in Calculus:

Infix versus Prefix

This choice of notation ($\cup$ and $\bigcup$) is analogous to the use of $+$ and $\sum$ in Calculus:

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{5}{6} \qquad \text{(Infix)}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1} = \ln(2) \qquad \text{(Prefix)}$$

Infix versus Prefix

This choice of notation ($\cup$ and $\bigcup$) is analogous to the use of $+$ and $\sum$ in Calculus:

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{5}{6} \qquad \text{(Infix)}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1} = \ln(2) \qquad \text{(Prefix)}$$

Worth remembering:

Infix versus Prefix

This choice of notation ($\cup$ and $\bigcup$) is analogous to the use of $+$ and $\sum$ in Calculus:

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{5}{6} \qquad \text{(Infix)}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1} = \ln(2) \qquad \text{(Prefix)}$$

Worth remembering: The union of an empty collection is equal to $\emptyset$.

Infix versus Prefix

This choice of notation ($\cup$ and $\bigcup$) is analogous to the use of $+$ and $\sum$ in Calculus:

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{1}{2} + \tfrac{1}{3} &= \tfrac{5}{6} && \text{(Infix)} \\ \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1} &= \ln(2) && \text{(Prefix)} \end{aligned}$$

Worth remembering: The union of an empty collection is equal to $\emptyset$.
$\bigcup\{\} = \{\}$.

Infix versus Prefix

This choice of notation ($\cup$ and $\bigcup$) is analogous to the use of $+$ and $\sum$ in Calculus:

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{1}{2} + \tfrac{1}{3} &= \tfrac{5}{6} && \text{(Infix)} \\ \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1} &= \ln(2) && \text{(Prefix)} \end{aligned}$$

Worth remembering: The union of an empty collection is equal to $\emptyset$. $\bigcup\{\} = \{\}$. The union of a singleton collection is equal to the singleton:

Infix versus Prefix

This choice of notation ($\cup$ and $\bigcup$) is analogous to the use of $+$ and $\sum$ in Calculus:

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{5}{6} \qquad \text{(Infix)}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1} = \ln(2) \qquad \text{(Prefix)}$$

Worth remembering: The union of an empty collection is equal to $\emptyset$. $\bigcup\{\} = \{\}$. The union of a singleton collection is equal to the singleton: $\bigcup\{A\} = A$.

Intersection

Intersection

There is a “conjugate” or “dual” operation to union, called intersection.

Intersection

There is a “conjugate” or “dual” operation to union, called intersection. Rather than “accumulate” elements from many sets, intersection “isolates” the common elements from many sets.

Intersection

There is a “conjugate” or “dual” operation to union, called intersection. Rather than “accumulate” elements from many sets, intersection “isolates” the common elements from many sets. There is no Axiom of Intersection,

Intersection

There is a “conjugate” or “dual” operation to union, called intersection. Rather than “accumulate” elements from many sets, intersection “isolates” the common elements from many sets. There is no Axiom of Intersection, because we can use the Axiom of Separation to define intersection.

Intersection

There is a "conjugate" or "dual" operation to union, called intersection. Rather than "accumulate" elements from many sets, intersection "isolates" the common elements from many sets. There is no Axiom of Intersection, because we can use the Axiom of Separation to define intersection.

Example.

Intersection

There is a “conjugate” or “dual” operation to union, called intersection. Rather than “accumulate” elements from many sets, intersection “isolates” the common elements from many sets. There is no Axiom of Intersection, because we can use the Axiom of Separation to define intersection.

Example.
Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $Y = \{c, d, e, f\}$.

Intersection

There is a “conjugate” or “dual” operation to union, called intersection. Rather than “accumulate” elements from many sets, intersection “isolates” the common elements from many sets. There is no Axiom of Intersection, because we can use the Axiom of Separation to define intersection.

Example.
Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $Y = \{c, d, e, f\}$.

$$X \cap Y = \bigcap \{X, Y\}$$

Intersection

There is a "conjugate" or "dual" operation to union, called intersection. Rather than "accumulate" elements from many sets, intersection "isolates" the common elements from many sets. There is no Axiom of Intersection, because we can use the Axiom of Separation to define intersection.

Example.
Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $Y = \{c, d, e, f\}$.

$$X \cap Y = \bigcap \{X, Y\} = \{a, b, c\} \cap \{c, d, e, f\}$$

Intersection

There is a "conjugate" or "dual" operation to union, called intersection. Rather than "accumulate" elements from many sets, intersection "isolates" the common elements from many sets. There is no Axiom of Intersection, because we can use the Axiom of Separation to define intersection.

Example.
Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $Y = \{c, d, e, f\}$.

$$X \cap Y = \bigcap \{X, Y\} = \{a, b, c\} \cap \{c, d, e, f\} = \{c\}.$$

Intersection

There is a “conjugate” or “dual” operation to union, called intersection. Rather than “accumulate” elements from many sets, intersection “isolates” the common elements from many sets. There is no Axiom of Intersection, because we can use the Axiom of Separation to define intersection.

Example.
Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $Y = \{c, d, e, f\}$.

$$X \cap Y = \bigcap \{X, Y\} = \{a, b, c\} \cap \{c, d, e, f\} = \{c\}.$$

This can be defined by the Axiom of Separation as $X \cap Y = \{z \in X \mid z \in Y\}$.

Intersection

There is a "conjugate" or "dual" operation to union, called intersection. Rather than "accumulate" elements from many sets, intersection "isolates" the common elements from many sets. There is no Axiom of Intersection, because we can use the Axiom of Separation to define intersection.

Example.
Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $Y = \{c, d, e, f\}$.

$$X \cap Y = \bigcap\{X, Y\} = \{a, b, c\} \cap \{c, d, e, f\} = \{c\}.$$

This can be defined by the Axiom of Separation as $X \cap Y = \{z \in X \mid z \in Y\}$.

More generally,

Intersection

There is a "conjugate" or "dual" operation to union, called intersection. Rather than "accumulate" elements from many sets, intersection "isolates" the common elements from many sets. There is no Axiom of Intersection, because we can use the Axiom of Separation to define intersection.

Example.
Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $Y = \{c, d, e, f\}$.

$$X \cap Y = \bigcap \{X, Y\} = \{a, b, c\} \cap \{c, d, e, f\} = \{c\}.$$

This can be defined by the Axiom of Separation as $X \cap Y = \{z \in X \mid z \in Y\}$.

More generally,

Definition.

Intersection

There is a “conjugate” or “dual” operation to union, called intersection. Rather than “accumulate” elements from many sets, intersection “isolates” the common elements from many sets. There is no Axiom of Intersection, because we can use the Axiom of Separation to define intersection.

Example.
Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $Y = \{c, d, e, f\}$.

$$X \cap Y = \bigcap\{X, Y\} = \{a, b, c\} \cap \{c, d, e, f\} = \{c\}.$$

This can be defined by the Axiom of Separation as $X \cap Y = \{z \in X \mid z \in Y\}$.

More generally,

Definition.
Intersection of two sets:

Intersection

There is a “conjugate” or “dual” operation to union, called intersection. Rather than “accumulate” elements from many sets, intersection “isolates” the common elements from many sets. There is no Axiom of Intersection, because we can use the Axiom of Separation to define intersection.

Example.
Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $Y = \{c, d, e, f\}$.

$$X \cap Y = \bigcap\{X, Y\} = \{a, b, c\} \cap \{c, d, e, f\} = \{c\}.$$

This can be defined by the Axiom of Separation as $X \cap Y = \{z \in X \mid z \in Y\}$.

More generally,

Definition.
Intersection of two sets: $A \cap B = \{x \in A \mid x \in B\}$.

Intersection

There is a “conjugate” or “dual” operation to union, called intersection. Rather than “accumulate” elements from many sets, intersection “isolates” the common elements from many sets. There is no Axiom of Intersection, because we can use the Axiom of Separation to define intersection.

Example.
Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $Y = \{c, d, e, f\}$.

$$X \cap Y = \bigcap \{X, Y\} = \{a, b, c\} \cap \{c, d, e, f\} = \{c\}.$$

This can be defined by the Axiom of Separation as $X \cap Y = \{z \in X \mid z \in Y\}$.

More generally,

Definition.
Intersection of two sets: $A \cap B = \{x \in A \mid x \in B\}$. (Infix notation.)

Intersection

There is a “conjugate” or “dual” operation to union, called intersection. Rather than “accumulate” elements from many sets, intersection “isolates” the common elements from many sets. There is no Axiom of Intersection, because we can use the Axiom of Separation to define intersection.

Example.
Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $Y = \{c, d, e, f\}$.

$$X \cap Y = \bigcap\{X, Y\} = \{a, b, c\} \cap \{c, d, e, f\} = \{c\}.$$

This can be defined by the Axiom of Separation as $X \cap Y = \{z \in X \mid z \in Y\}$.

More generally,

Definition.
Intersection of two sets: $A \cap B = \{x \in A \mid x \in B\}$. (Infix notation.)
Intersection of a NONEMPTY collection:

Intersection

There is a "conjugate" or "dual" operation to union, called intersection. Rather than "accumulate" elements from many sets, intersection "isolates" the common elements from many sets. There is no Axiom of Intersection, because we can use the Axiom of Separation to define intersection.

Example.
Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $Y = \{c, d, e, f\}$.

$$X \cap Y = \bigcap \{X, Y\} = \{a, b, c\} \cap \{c, d, e, f\} = \{c\}.$$

This can be defined by the Axiom of Separation as $X \cap Y = \{z \in X \mid z \in Y\}$.

More generally,

Definition.
Intersection of two sets: $A \cap B = \{x \in A \mid x \in B\}$. (Infix notation.)
Intersection of a NONEMPTY collection: If $\mathcal{X} = \{A, B, C, \dots\}$,

Intersection

There is a "conjugate" or "dual" operation to union, called intersection. Rather than "accumulate" elements from many sets, intersection "isolates" the common elements from many sets. There is no Axiom of Intersection, because we can use the Axiom of Separation to define intersection.

Example.
Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $Y = \{c, d, e, f\}$.

$$X \cap Y = \bigcap\{X, Y\} = \{a, b, c\} \cap \{c, d, e, f\} = \{c\}.$$

This can be defined by the Axiom of Separation as $X \cap Y = \{z \in X \mid z \in Y\}$.

More generally,

Definition.
Intersection of two sets: $A \cap B = \{x \in A \mid x \in B\}$. (Infix notation.)
Intersection of a NONEMPTY collection: If $\mathcal{X} = \{A, B, C, \ldots\}$, then $\bigcap \mathcal{X} = \{x \in A \mid x \in U \text{ for all } U \in \mathcal{X}\}$.

Intersection

There is a “conjugate” or “dual” operation to union, called intersection. Rather than “accumulate” elements from many sets, intersection “isolates” the common elements from many sets. There is no Axiom of Intersection, because we can use the Axiom of Separation to define intersection.

Example.
Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $Y = \{c, d, e, f\}$.

$$X \cap Y = \bigcap \{X, Y\} = \{a, b, c\} \cap \{c, d, e, f\} = \{c\}.$$

This can be defined by the Axiom of Separation as $X \cap Y = \{z \in X \mid z \in Y\}$.

More generally,

Definition.
Intersection of two sets: $A \cap B = \{x \in A \mid x \in B\}$. (Infix notation.)
Intersection of a NONEMPTY collection: If $\mathcal{X} = \{A, B, C, \ldots\}$, then
$\bigcap \mathcal{X} = \{x \in A \mid x \in U \text{ for all } U \in \mathcal{X}\}$. (Prefix notation.)

Intersection

There is a “conjugate” or “dual” operation to union, called intersection. Rather than “accumulate” elements from many sets, intersection “isolates” the common elements from many sets. There is no Axiom of Intersection, because we can use the Axiom of Separation to define intersection.

Example.
Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $Y = \{c, d, e, f\}$.

$$X \cap Y = \bigcap \{X, Y\} = \{a, b, c\} \cap \{c, d, e, f\} = \{c\}.$$

This can be defined by the Axiom of Separation as $X \cap Y = \{z \in X \mid z \in Y\}$.

More generally,

Definition.
Intersection of two sets: $A \cap B = \{x \in A \mid x \in B\}$. (Infix notation.)
Intersection of a NONEMPTY collection: If $\mathcal{X} = \{A, B, C, \ldots\}$, then
$\bigcap \mathcal{X} = \{x \in A \mid x \in U \text{ for all } U \in \mathcal{X}\}$. (Prefix notation.)

Worth remembering:

Intersection

There is a "conjugate" or "dual" operation to union, called intersection. Rather than "accumulate" elements from many sets, intersection "isolates" the common elements from many sets. There is no Axiom of Intersection, because we can use the Axiom of Separation to define intersection.

Example.
Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $Y = \{c, d, e, f\}$.

$$X \cap Y = \bigcap\{X, Y\} = \{a, b, c\} \cap \{c, d, e, f\} = \{c\}.$$

This can be defined by the Axiom of Separation as $X \cap Y = \{z \in X \mid z \in Y\}$.

More generally,

Definition.
Intersection of two sets: $A \cap B = \{x \in A \mid x \in B\}$. (Infix notation.)
Intersection of a NONEMPTY collection: If $\mathcal{X} = \{A, B, C, \ldots\}$, then
$\bigcap \mathcal{X} = \{x \in A \mid x \in U \text{ for all } U \in \mathcal{X}\}$. (Prefix notation.)

Worth remembering: The Axiom of Separation only allows us to take the intersection of a nonempty collection.