

# Eigenvectors, eigenvalues, characteristic equation, diagonalization



**Definition.**

**Definition.** Let  $T: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  be a linear transformation from an  $\mathbb{F}$ -space to itself.

**Definition.** Let  $T: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  be a linear transformation from an  $\mathbb{F}$ -space to itself. (We call  $T$  an **endomorphism** of  $\mathbb{V}$ .)

**Definition.** Let  $T: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  be a linear transformation from an  $\mathbb{F}$ -space to itself. (We call  $T$  an **endomorphism** of  $\mathbb{V}$ .) A vector  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$  is an **eigenvector** for  $T$  if  $T(\mathbf{v}) = \lambda \cdot \mathbf{v}$ .

**Definition.** Let  $T: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  be a linear transformation from an  $\mathbb{F}$ -space to itself. (We call  $T$  an **endomorphism** of  $\mathbb{V}$ .) A vector  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$  is an **eigenvector** for  $T$  if  $T(\mathbf{v}) = \lambda \cdot \mathbf{v}$ .

We think of an eigenvector as a vector that points in the direction of some “axis” for  $T$ .

**Definition.** Let  $T: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  be a linear transformation from an  $\mathbb{F}$ -space to itself. (We call  $T$  an **endomorphism** of  $\mathbb{V}$ .) A vector  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$  is an **eigenvector** for  $T$  if  $T(\mathbf{v}) = \lambda \cdot \mathbf{v}$ .

We think of an eigenvector as a vector that points in the direction of some “axis” for  $T$ .

We call  $\mathbf{v}$  a  **$\lambda$ -eigenvector** for  $T$ .



**Definition.** Let  $T: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  be a linear transformation from an  $\mathbb{F}$ -space to itself. (We call  $T$  an **endomorphism** of  $\mathbb{V}$ .) A vector  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$  is an **eigenvector** for  $T$  if  $T(\mathbf{v}) = \lambda \cdot \mathbf{v}$ .

We think of an eigenvector as a vector that points in the direction of some “axis” for  $T$ .

We call  $\mathbf{v}$  a  **$\lambda$ -eigenvector** for  $T$ . Since  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\lambda$  is uniquely determined by  $\mathbf{v}$ .

**Definition.** Let  $T: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  be a linear transformation from an  $\mathbb{F}$ -space to itself. (We call  $T$  an **endomorphism** of  $\mathbb{V}$ .) A vector  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$  is an **eigenvector** for  $T$  if  $T(\mathbf{v}) = \lambda \cdot \mathbf{v}$ .

We think of an eigenvector as a vector that points in the direction of some “axis” for  $T$ .

We call  $\mathbf{v}$  a  **$\lambda$ -eigenvector** for  $T$ . Since  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\lambda$  is uniquely determined by  $\mathbf{v}$ .

If  $\mathbb{V}$  is finite dimensional, with ordered basis  $(\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n)$ , then it is possible to write  $T$  in matrix form so that  $[T(\mathbf{x})]_{\mathcal{B}} = A \cdot [\mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  for  $A = {}_{\mathcal{B}}[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$ .

**Definition.** Let  $T: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  be a linear transformation from an  $\mathbb{F}$ -space to itself. (We call  $T$  an **endomorphism** of  $\mathbb{V}$ .) A vector  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$  is an **eigenvector** for  $T$  if  $T(\mathbf{v}) = \lambda \cdot \mathbf{v}$ .

We think of an eigenvector as a vector that points in the direction of some “axis” for  $T$ .

We call  $\mathbf{v}$  a  **$\lambda$ -eigenvector** for  $T$ . Since  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\lambda$  is uniquely determined by  $\mathbf{v}$ .

If  $\mathbb{V}$  is finite dimensional, with ordered basis  $(\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n)$ , then it is possible to write  $T$  in matrix form so that  $[T(\mathbf{x})]_{\mathcal{B}} = A \cdot [\mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  for  $A = {}_{\mathcal{B}}[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$ . In this case we may refer to eigenvectors for the matrix  $A$ .

# Diagonalization

**Theorem.**

# Diagonalization

**Theorem.** Let  $A$  be the matrix for an endomorphism  $T$ , written in a basis  $\mathcal{B} = (\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n)$ .

# Diagonalization

**Theorem.** Let  $A$  be the matrix for an endomorphism  $T$ , written in a basis  $\mathcal{B} = (\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n)$ .  $A$  is a diagonal matrix iff  $\mathcal{B}$  consists of e-vectors for  $A$ .

# Diagonalization

**Theorem.** Let  $A$  be the matrix for an endomorphism  $T$ , written in a basis  $\mathcal{B} = (\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n)$ .  $A$  is a diagonal matrix iff  $\mathcal{B}$  consists of e-vectors for  $A$ . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} A = {}_{\mathcal{B}}[T]_{\mathcal{B}} &= [[T(\mathbf{b}_1)]_{\mathcal{B}} \cdots [T(\mathbf{b}_n)]_{\mathcal{B}}] \\ &= [[\lambda_1 \cdot \mathbf{b}_1]_{\mathcal{B}} \cdots [\lambda_n \cdot \mathbf{b}_n]_{\mathcal{B}}] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} = \Lambda \end{aligned}$$



# Finding the $\lambda$ -eigenvectors

# Finding the $\lambda$ -eigenvectors

Suppose we are given  $\lambda$ .

# Finding the $\lambda$ -eigenvectors

Suppose we are given  $\lambda$ .

$$A\mathbf{v} = \lambda \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad \text{iff} \quad (A - \lambda I)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$$

# Finding the $\lambda$ -eigenvectors

Suppose we are given  $\lambda$ .

$$A\mathbf{v} = \lambda \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad \text{iff} \quad (A - \lambda I)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\text{iff } \mathbf{v} \in \text{null}(A - \lambda I).$$

# Finding the $\lambda$ -eigenvectors

Suppose we are given  $\lambda$ .

$$A\mathbf{v} = \lambda \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad \text{iff} \quad (A - \lambda I)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\text{iff} \quad \mathbf{v} \in \text{null}(A - \lambda I).$$

The space  $V_\lambda := \text{null}(A - \lambda I)$  is called the  $\lambda$ -**eigenspace** for  $A$ .

# Finding the $\lambda$ -eigenvectors

Suppose we are given  $\lambda$ .

$$A\mathbf{v} = \lambda \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad \text{iff} \quad (A - \lambda I)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\text{iff } \mathbf{v} \in \text{null}(A - \lambda I).$$

The space  $V_\lambda := \text{null}(A - \lambda I)$  is called the  $\lambda$ -**eigenspace** for  $A$ . Its nonzero vectors are the  $\lambda$ -eigenvectors.

# Finding the $\lambda$ -eigenvectors

Suppose we are given  $\lambda$ .

$$A\mathbf{v} = \lambda \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad \text{iff} \quad (A - \lambda I)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\text{iff } \mathbf{v} \in \text{null}(A - \lambda I).$$

The space  $V_\lambda := \text{null}(A - \lambda I)$  is called the  $\lambda$ -**eigenspace** for  $A$ . Its nonzero vectors are the  $\lambda$ -eigenvectors.

**Note.**

# Finding the $\lambda$ -eigenvectors

Suppose we are given  $\lambda$ .

$$A\mathbf{v} = \lambda \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad \text{iff} \quad (A - \lambda I)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\text{iff } \mathbf{v} \in \text{null}(A - \lambda I).$$

The space  $V_\lambda := \text{null}(A - \lambda I)$  is called the  $\lambda$ -**eigenspace** for  $A$ . Its nonzero vectors are the  $\lambda$ -eigenvectors.

**Note.** If  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , then  $V_\lambda \cap V_\mu = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .



# Finding the $\lambda$ -eigenvectors

Suppose we are given  $\lambda$ .

$$A\mathbf{v} = \lambda \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad \text{iff} \quad (A - \lambda I)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\text{iff } \mathbf{v} \in \text{null}(A - \lambda I).$$

The space  $V_\lambda := \text{null}(A - \lambda I)$  is called the  $\lambda$ -**eigenspace** for  $A$ . Its nonzero vectors are the  $\lambda$ -eigenvectors.

**Note.** If  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , then  $V_\lambda \cap V_\mu = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . ( $\lambda = \text{lambda}$ ,  $\mu = \text{mu}$ )

# Finding the $\lambda$ -eigenvectors

Suppose we are given  $\lambda$ .

$$A\mathbf{v} = \lambda \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad \text{iff} \quad (A - \lambda I)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\text{iff } \mathbf{v} \in \text{null}(A - \lambda I).$$

The space  $V_\lambda := \text{null}(A - \lambda I)$  is called the  $\lambda$ -**eigenspace** for  $A$ . Its nonzero vectors are the  $\lambda$ -eigenvectors.

**Note.** If  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , then  $V_\lambda \cap V_\mu = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . ( $\lambda = \text{lambda}$ ,  $\mu = \text{mu}$ )

To see this, choose  $\mathbf{v} \in V_\lambda \cap V_\mu$ .

# Finding the $\lambda$ -eigenvectors

Suppose we are given  $\lambda$ .

$$A\mathbf{v} = \lambda \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad \text{iff} \quad (A - \lambda I)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\text{iff } \mathbf{v} \in \text{null}(A - \lambda I).$$

The space  $V_\lambda := \text{null}(A - \lambda I)$  is called the  $\lambda$ -**eigenspace** for  $A$ . Its nonzero vectors are the  $\lambda$ -eigenvectors.

**Note.** If  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , then  $V_\lambda \cap V_\mu = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . ( $\lambda = \text{lambda}$ ,  $\mu = \text{mu}$ )

To see this, choose  $\mathbf{v} \in V_\lambda \cap V_\mu$ .

$$A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v} = \mu\mathbf{v},$$

# Finding the $\lambda$ -eigenvectors

Suppose we are given  $\lambda$ .

$$A\mathbf{v} = \lambda \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad \text{iff} \quad (A - \lambda I)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\text{iff } \mathbf{v} \in \text{null}(A - \lambda I).$$

The space  $V_\lambda := \text{null}(A - \lambda I)$  is called the  $\lambda$ -**eigenspace** for  $A$ . Its nonzero vectors are the  $\lambda$ -eigenvectors.

**Note.** If  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , then  $V_\lambda \cap V_\mu = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . ( $\lambda = \text{lambda}$ ,  $\mu = \text{mu}$ )

To see this, choose  $\mathbf{v} \in V_\lambda \cap V_\mu$ .

$A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v} = \mu\mathbf{v}$ , so  $\lambda\mathbf{v} = \mu\mathbf{v}$ ,

# Finding the $\lambda$ -eigenvectors

Suppose we are given  $\lambda$ .

$$A\mathbf{v} = \lambda \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad \text{iff} \quad (A - \lambda I)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\text{iff } \mathbf{v} \in \text{null}(A - \lambda I).$$

The space  $V_\lambda := \text{null}(A - \lambda I)$  is called the  $\lambda$ -**eigenspace** for  $A$ . Its nonzero vectors are the  $\lambda$ -eigenvectors.

**Note.** If  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , then  $V_\lambda \cap V_\mu = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . ( $\lambda = \text{lambda}$ ,  $\mu = \text{mu}$ )

To see this, choose  $\mathbf{v} \in V_\lambda \cap V_\mu$ .

$$A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v} = \mu\mathbf{v}, \text{ so } \lambda\mathbf{v} = \mu\mathbf{v}, \text{ so } (\lambda - \mu)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0},$$

# Finding the $\lambda$ -eigenvectors

Suppose we are given  $\lambda$ .

$$A\mathbf{v} = \lambda \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad \text{iff} \quad (A - \lambda I)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\text{iff } \mathbf{v} \in \text{null}(A - \lambda I).$$

The space  $V_\lambda := \text{null}(A - \lambda I)$  is called the  $\lambda$ -**eigenspace** for  $A$ . Its nonzero vectors are the  $\lambda$ -eigenvectors.

**Note.** If  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , then  $V_\lambda \cap V_\mu = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . ( $\lambda = \text{lambda}$ ,  $\mu = \text{mu}$ )

To see this, choose  $\mathbf{v} \in V_\lambda \cap V_\mu$ .

$A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v} = \mu\mathbf{v}$ , so  $\lambda\mathbf{v} = \mu\mathbf{v}$ , so  $(\lambda - \mu)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ , so  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ .

# Finding the candidates for $\lambda$

## Finding the candidates for $\lambda$

$\lambda$  is an eigenvalue for  $A$  iff  $\dim(V_\lambda) > 0$



## Finding the candidates for $\lambda$

$\lambda$  is an eigenvalue for  $A$  iff  $\dim(V_\lambda) > 0$

iff  $A - \lambda I$  has nontrivial null space

## Finding the candidates for $\lambda$

$\lambda$  is an eigenvalue for  $A$  iff  $\dim(V_\lambda) > 0$

iff  $A - \lambda I$  has nontrivial null space

iff  $A - \lambda I$  is singular

## Finding the candidates for $\lambda$

$\lambda$  is an eigenvalue for  $A$  iff  $\dim(V_\lambda) > 0$

iff  $A - \lambda I$  has nontrivial null space

iff  $A - \lambda I$  is singular

iff  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$

iff  $\det(\lambda I - A) = 0$ .

# Finding the candidates for $\lambda$

$\lambda$  is an eigenvalue for  $A$  iff  $\dim(V_\lambda) > 0$

iff  $A - \lambda I$  has nontrivial null space

iff  $A - \lambda I$  is singular

iff  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$

iff  $\det(\lambda I - A) = 0$ .

**Definition.**

# Finding the candidates for $\lambda$

$\lambda$  is an eigenvalue for  $A$  iff  $\dim(V_\lambda) > 0$

iff  $A - \lambda I$  has nontrivial null space

iff  $A - \lambda I$  is singular

iff  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$

iff  $\det(\lambda I - A) = 0$ .

## **Definition.**

$\chi_A(\lambda) := \det(\lambda I - A)$  is the **characteristic polynomial** of  $A$ .

# Finding the candidates for $\lambda$

$\lambda$  is an eigenvalue for  $A$  iff  $\dim(V_\lambda) > 0$

iff  $A - \lambda I$  has nontrivial null space

iff  $A - \lambda I$  is singular

iff  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$

iff  $\det(\lambda I - A) = 0$ .

## **Definition.**

$\chi_A(\lambda) := \det(\lambda I - A)$  is the **characteristic polynomial** of  $A$ .

# An example

## An example

Find the characteristic polynomial, e-values, e-spaces of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , and then diagonalize  $A$  (if possible).



## An example

Find the characteristic polynomial, e-values, e-spaces of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , and then diagonalize  $A$  (if possible).

**Stage 1.**

## An example

Find the characteristic polynomial, e-values, e-spaces of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , and then diagonalize  $A$  (if possible).

**Stage 1.** (Find the characteristic polynomial)

## An example

Find the characteristic polynomial, e-values, e-spaces of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , and then diagonalize  $A$  (if possible).

**Stage 1.** (Find the characteristic polynomial)

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A)$$

## An example

Find the characteristic polynomial, e-values, e-spaces of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , and then diagonalize  $A$  (if possible).

**Stage 1.** (Find the characteristic polynomial)

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \det \left( \lambda I - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

## An example

Find the characteristic polynomial, e-values, e-spaces of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , and then diagonalize  $A$  (if possible).

**Stage 1.** (Find the characteristic polynomial)

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\lambda I - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -2 \\ -3 & \lambda - 4 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

## An example

Find the characteristic polynomial, e-values, e-spaces of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , and then diagonalize  $A$  (if possible).

**Stage 1.** (Find the characteristic polynomial)

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\lambda I - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -2 \\ -3 & \lambda - 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2 - 5\lambda - 2.$$

## An example

Find the characteristic polynomial, e-values, e-spaces of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , and then diagonalize  $A$  (if possible).

**Stage 1.** (Find the characteristic polynomial)

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\lambda I - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -2 \\ -3 & \lambda - 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2 - 5\lambda - 2.$$

**Stage 2.**

## An example

Find the characteristic polynomial, e-values, e-spaces of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , and then diagonalize  $A$  (if possible).

**Stage 1.** (Find the characteristic polynomial)

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\lambda I - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -2 \\ -3 & \lambda - 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2 - 5\lambda - 2.$$

**Stage 2.** (Find the e-values)



# An example

Find the characteristic polynomial, e-values, e-spaces of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , and then diagonalize  $A$  (if possible).

**Stage 1.** (Find the characteristic polynomial)

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\lambda I - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -2 \\ -3 & \lambda - 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2 - 5\lambda - 2.$$

**Stage 2.** (Find the e-values)

$$\lambda = \frac{5 \pm \sqrt{33}}{2}.$$

# An example

Find the characteristic polynomial, e-values, e-spaces of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , and then diagonalize  $A$  (if possible).

**Stage 1.** (Find the characteristic polynomial)

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\lambda I - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -2 \\ -3 & \lambda - 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2 - 5\lambda - 2.$$

**Stage 2.** (Find the e-values)

$$\lambda = \frac{5 \pm \sqrt{33}}{2}.$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{5 + \sqrt{33}}{2}, \lambda_2 = \frac{5 - \sqrt{33}}{2}.$$

# An example

Find the characteristic polynomial, e-values, e-spaces of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , and then diagonalize  $A$  (if possible).

**Stage 1.** (Find the characteristic polynomial)

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\lambda I - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -2 \\ -3 & \lambda - 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2 - 5\lambda - 2.$$

**Stage 2.** (Find the e-values)

$$\lambda = \frac{5 \pm \sqrt{33}}{2}.$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{5 + \sqrt{33}}{2}, \lambda_2 = \frac{5 - \sqrt{33}}{2}.$$

**Stage 3.**

# An example

Find the characteristic polynomial, e-values, e-spaces of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , and then diagonalize  $A$  (if possible).

**Stage 1.** (Find the characteristic polynomial)

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\lambda I - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -2 \\ -3 & \lambda - 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2 - 5\lambda - 2.$$

**Stage 2.** (Find the e-values)

$$\lambda = \frac{5 \pm \sqrt{33}}{2}.$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{5 + \sqrt{33}}{2}, \lambda_2 = \frac{5 - \sqrt{33}}{2}.$$

**Stage 3.** (Find the e-spaces)

# An example

Find the characteristic polynomial, e-values, e-spaces of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , and then diagonalize  $A$  (if possible).

**Stage 1.** (Find the characteristic polynomial)

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\lambda I - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -2 \\ -3 & \lambda - 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2 - 5\lambda - 2.$$

**Stage 2.** (Find the e-values)

$$\lambda = \frac{5 \pm \sqrt{33}}{2}.$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{5 + \sqrt{33}}{2}, \lambda_2 = \frac{5 - \sqrt{33}}{2}.$$

**Stage 3.** (Find the e-spaces)

$$V_{\lambda_1} = \text{null}(\lambda_1 I - A).$$

# An example

Find the characteristic polynomial, e-values, e-spaces of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , and then diagonalize  $A$  (if possible).

**Stage 1.** (Find the characteristic polynomial)

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \det \left( \lambda I - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \det \left( \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -2 \\ -3 & \lambda - 4 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \lambda^2 - 5\lambda - 2.$$

**Stage 2.** (Find the e-values)

$$\lambda = \frac{5 \pm \sqrt{33}}{2}.$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{5 + \sqrt{33}}{2}, \lambda_2 = \frac{5 - \sqrt{33}}{2}.$$

**Stage 3.** (Find the e-spaces)

$$V_{\lambda_1} = \text{null}(\lambda_1 I - A).$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 - 1 & -2 \\ -3 & \lambda_1 - 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3 + \sqrt{33}}{2} & -2 \\ -3 & \frac{-3 + \sqrt{33}}{2} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\begin{smallmatrix} 3R_1 + R_2 \end{smallmatrix}]{\begin{smallmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - 1} R_1 \end{smallmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{-4}{3 + \sqrt{33}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

# An example

Find the characteristic polynomial, e-values, e-spaces of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , and then diagonalize  $A$  (if possible).

**Stage 1.** (Find the characteristic polynomial)

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\lambda I - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -2 \\ -3 & \lambda - 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2 - 5\lambda - 2.$$

**Stage 2.** (Find the e-values)

$$\lambda = \frac{5 \pm \sqrt{33}}{2}.$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{5 + \sqrt{33}}{2}, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{5 - \sqrt{33}}{2}.$$

**Stage 3.** (Find the e-spaces)

$$V_{\lambda_1} = \text{null}(\lambda_1 I - A).$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 - 1 & -2 \\ -3 & \lambda_1 - 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3 + \sqrt{33}}{2} & -2 \\ -3 & \frac{-3 + \sqrt{33}}{2} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[3R_1 + R_2]{\frac{1}{\lambda_1 - 1}R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{-4}{3 + \sqrt{33}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{3 + \sqrt{33}} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{\lambda_1 - 1} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

# An example

Find the characteristic polynomial, e-values, e-spaces of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , and then diagonalize  $A$  (if possible).

**Stage 1.** (Find the characteristic polynomial)

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\lambda I - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -2 \\ -3 & \lambda - 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2 - 5\lambda - 2.$$

**Stage 2.** (Find the e-values)

$$\lambda = \frac{5 \pm \sqrt{33}}{2}.$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{5 + \sqrt{33}}{2}, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{5 - \sqrt{33}}{2}.$$

**Stage 3.** (Find the e-spaces)

$$V_{\lambda_1} = \text{null}(\lambda_1 I - A).$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 - 1 & -2 \\ -3 & \lambda_1 - 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3 + \sqrt{33}}{2} & -2 \\ -3 & \frac{-3 + \sqrt{33}}{2} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\substack{\frac{1}{\lambda_1 - 1} R_1 \\ 3R_1 + R_2}]{\substack{\frac{1}{\lambda_1 - 1} R_1 \\ 3R_1 + R_2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{-4}{3 + \sqrt{33}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{3 + \sqrt{33}} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{\lambda_1 - 1} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{\lambda_2 - 1} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{3 - \sqrt{33}} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad V_{\lambda_i} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_i\}.$$



## An example, 2

## An example, 2

**Stage 4.**

## An example, 2

**Stage 4.** (Change basis from  $\mathcal{B} = (\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)$ )

## An example, 2

**Stage 4.** (Change basis from  $\mathcal{B} = (\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)$  to  $\mathcal{E} = (\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2)$ )

## An example, 2

**Stage 4.** (Change basis from  $\mathcal{B} = (\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)$  to  $\mathcal{E} = (\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2)$ )

$$C = {}_{\mathcal{E}}[\text{id}]_{\mathcal{B}} = [\mathcal{B}] = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{3+\sqrt{33}} & \frac{4}{3-\sqrt{33}} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

## An example, 2

**Stage 4.** (Change basis from  $\mathcal{B} = (\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)$  to  $\mathcal{E} = (\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2)$ )

$$C = {}_{\mathcal{E}}[\text{id}]_{\mathcal{B}} = [\mathcal{B}] = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{3+\sqrt{33}} & \frac{4}{3-\sqrt{33}} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Stage 5.**

## An example, 2

**Stage 4.** (Change basis from  $\mathcal{B} = (\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)$  to  $\mathcal{E} = (\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2)$ )

$$C = {}_{\mathcal{E}}[\text{id}]_{\mathcal{B}} = [\mathcal{B}] = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{3+\sqrt{33}} & \frac{4}{3-\sqrt{33}} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Stage 5.** (Diagonalization)

## An example, 2

**Stage 4.** (Change basis from  $\mathcal{B} = (\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)$  to  $\mathcal{E} = (\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2)$ )

$$C = {}_{\mathcal{E}}[\text{id}]_{\mathcal{B}} = [\mathcal{B}] = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{3+\sqrt{33}} & \frac{4}{3-\sqrt{33}} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Stage 5.** (Diagonalization)

$$AC = A[\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2] = [A\mathbf{v}_1 \ A\mathbf{v}_2] = [\lambda_1\mathbf{v}_1 \ \lambda_2\mathbf{v}_2] = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2] \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} = C\Lambda.$$



## An example, 2

**Stage 4.** (Change basis from  $\mathcal{B} = (\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)$  to  $\mathcal{E} = (\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2)$ )

$$C = {}_{\mathcal{E}}[\text{id}]_{\mathcal{B}} = [\mathcal{B}] = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{3+\sqrt{33}} & \frac{4}{3-\sqrt{33}} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Stage 5.** (Diagonalization)

$$AC = A[\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2] = [A\mathbf{v}_1 \ A\mathbf{v}_2] = [\lambda_1\mathbf{v}_1 \ \lambda_2\mathbf{v}_2] = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2] \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} = C\Lambda.$$

$${}_{\mathcal{B}}[A]_{\mathcal{B}} = {}_{\mathcal{B}}[\text{id}]_{\mathcal{E}} \cdot A \cdot {}_{\mathcal{E}}[\text{id}]_{\mathcal{B}} = C^{-1}AC = \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

## An example, 2

**Stage 4.** (Change basis from  $\mathcal{B} = (\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)$  to  $\mathcal{E} = (\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2)$ )

$$C = {}_{\mathcal{E}}[\text{id}]_{\mathcal{B}} = [\mathcal{B}] = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{3+\sqrt{33}} & \frac{4}{3-\sqrt{33}} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Stage 5.** (Diagonalization)

$$AC = A[\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2] = [A\mathbf{v}_1 \ A\mathbf{v}_2] = [\lambda_1\mathbf{v}_1 \ \lambda_2\mathbf{v}_2] = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2] \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} = C\Lambda.$$

$${}_{\mathcal{B}}[A]_{\mathcal{B}} = {}_{\mathcal{B}}[\text{id}]_{\mathcal{E}} \cdot A \cdot {}_{\mathcal{E}}[\text{id}]_{\mathcal{B}} = C^{-1}AC = \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{3}{11}} & \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{3}{11}}\right) \\ -\sqrt{\frac{3}{11}} & \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{3}{11}}\right) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{3+\sqrt{33}} & \frac{4}{3-\sqrt{33}} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{5+\sqrt{33}}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{5-\sqrt{33}}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

# An application

# An application

Find  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^{100}$ .

# An application

Find  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^{100}$ .

If  $C^{-1}AC = \Lambda$ ,

# An application

Find  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^{100}$ .

If  $C^{-1}AC = \Lambda$ , then  $A = C\Lambda C^{-1}$ ,

# An application

Find  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^{100}$ .

If  $C^{-1}AC = \Lambda$ , then  $A = C\Lambda C^{-1}$ , so  $A^{100} = (C\Lambda C^{-1})^{100} =$

# An application

Find  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^{100}$ .

If  $C^{-1}AC = \Lambda$ , then  $A = C\Lambda C^{-1}$ , so  $A^{100} = (C\Lambda C^{-1})^{100} =$   
 $= (C\Lambda C^{-1})(C\Lambda C^{-1})(C\Lambda C^{-1}) \cdots (C\Lambda C^{-1}) = C(\Lambda^{100})C^{-1},$



# An application

Find  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^{100}$ .

If  $C^{-1}AC = \Lambda$ , then  $A = C\Lambda C^{-1}$ , so  $A^{100} = (C\Lambda C^{-1})^{100} =$   
 $= (C\Lambda C^{-1})(C\Lambda C^{-1})(C\Lambda C^{-1}) \cdots (C\Lambda C^{-1}) = C(\Lambda^{100})C^{-1}$ , and

$$\Lambda^{100} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}^{100} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^{100} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^{100} \end{bmatrix}.$$

# An application

Find  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^{100}$ .

If  $C^{-1}AC = \Lambda$ , then  $A = C\Lambda C^{-1}$ , so  $A^{100} = (C\Lambda C^{-1})^{100} = (C\Lambda C^{-1})(C\Lambda C^{-1})(C\Lambda C^{-1}) \cdots (C\Lambda C^{-1}) = C(\Lambda^{100})C^{-1}$ , and

$$\Lambda^{100} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}^{100} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^{100} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^{100} \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Answer.**

# An application

Find  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^{100}$ .

If  $C^{-1}AC = \Lambda$ , then  $A = C\Lambda C^{-1}$ , so  $A^{100} = (C\Lambda C^{-1})^{100} = (C\Lambda C^{-1})(C\Lambda C^{-1})(C\Lambda C^{-1}) \cdots (C\Lambda C^{-1}) = C(\Lambda^{100})C^{-1}$ , and

$$\Lambda^{100} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}^{100} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^{100} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^{100} \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Answer.**  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^{100}$  is

# An application

Find  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^{100}$ .

If  $C^{-1}AC = \Lambda$ , then  $A = C\Lambda C^{-1}$ , so  $A^{100} = (C\Lambda C^{-1})^{100} = (C\Lambda C^{-1})(C\Lambda C^{-1})(C\Lambda C^{-1}) \cdots (C\Lambda C^{-1}) = C(\Lambda^{100})C^{-1}$ , and

$$\Lambda^{100} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}^{100} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^{100} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^{100} \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Answer.**  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^{100}$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{3+\sqrt{33}} & \frac{4}{3-\sqrt{33}} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{5+\sqrt{33}}{2}\right)^{100} & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{5-\sqrt{33}}{2}\right)^{100} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{3}{11}} & \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{3}{11}}\right) \\ -\sqrt{\frac{3}{11}} & \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{3}{11}}\right) \end{bmatrix}.$$

# Diagonalization summary

# Diagonalization summary

To diagonalize  $A$

# Diagonalization summary

To diagonalize  $A$

- 1 Find the characteristic polynomial,  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A)$ .

# Diagonalization summary

To diagonalize  $A$

- 1 Find the characteristic polynomial,  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A)$ .



# Diagonalization summary

To diagonalize  $A$

- 1 Find the characteristic polynomial,  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A)$ .
- 2 Find the e-values.

# Diagonalization summary

To diagonalize  $A$

- 1 Find the characteristic polynomial,  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A)$ .
- 2 Find the e-values.

# Diagonalization summary

To diagonalize  $A$

- 1 Find the characteristic polynomial,  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A)$ .
- 2 Find the e-values. (The roots of  $\chi_A(\lambda)$ .)

# Diagonalization summary

To diagonalize  $A$

- 1 Find the characteristic polynomial,  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A)$ .
- 2 Find the e-values. (The roots of  $\chi_A(\lambda)$ .)
- 3 Find a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of e-vectors, if such a basis exists.

# Diagonalization summary

To diagonalize  $A$

- 1 Find the characteristic polynomial,  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A)$ .
- 2 Find the e-values. (The roots of  $\chi_A(\lambda)$ .)
- 3 Find a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of e-vectors, if such a basis exists.

# Diagonalization summary

To diagonalize  $A$

- 1 Find the characteristic polynomial,  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A)$ .
- 2 Find the e-values. (The roots of  $\chi_A(\lambda)$ .)
- 3 Find a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of e-vectors, if such a basis exists. (Form the union of bases for all  $V_\lambda = \text{null}(\lambda I - A) = \text{null}(A - \lambda I)$ .)

# Diagonalization summary

To diagonalize  $A$

- 1 Find the characteristic polynomial,  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A)$ .
- 2 Find the e-values. (The roots of  $\chi_A(\lambda)$ .)
- 3 Find a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of e-vectors, if such a basis exists. (Form the union of bases for all  $V_\lambda = \text{null}(\lambda I - A) = \text{null}(A - \lambda I)$ .)
- 4 Let  $C = [\mathcal{B}]$ .

# Diagonalization summary

To diagonalize  $A$

- 1 Find the characteristic polynomial,  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A)$ .
- 2 Find the e-values. (The roots of  $\chi_A(\lambda)$ .)
- 3 Find a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of e-vectors, if such a basis exists. (Form the union of bases for all  $V_\lambda = \text{null}(\lambda I - A) = \text{null}(A - \lambda I)$ .)
- 4 Let  $C = [\mathcal{B}]$ .



# Diagonalization summary

To diagonalize  $A$

- 1 Find the characteristic polynomial,  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A)$ .
- 2 Find the e-values. (The roots of  $\chi_A(\lambda)$ .)
- 3 Find a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of e-vectors, if such a basis exists. (Form the union of bases for all  $V_\lambda = \text{null}(\lambda I - A) = \text{null}(A - \lambda I)$ .)
- 4 Let  $C = [\mathcal{B}]$ .
- 5  $C^{-1}AC = \Lambda$  is diagonal.

# Diagonalization summary

To diagonalize  $A$

- 1 Find the characteristic polynomial,  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A)$ .
- 2 Find the e-values. (The roots of  $\chi_A(\lambda)$ .)
- 3 Find a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of e-vectors, if such a basis exists. (Form the union of bases for all  $V_\lambda = \text{null}(\lambda I - A) = \text{null}(A - \lambda I)$ .)
- 4 Let  $C = [\mathcal{B}]$ .
- 5  $C^{-1}AC = \Lambda$  is diagonal.

# Diagonalization summary

To diagonalize  $A$

- 1 Find the characteristic polynomial,  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A)$ .
- 2 Find the e-values. (The roots of  $\chi_A(\lambda)$ .)
- 3 Find a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of e-vectors, if such a basis exists. (Form the union of bases for all  $V_\lambda = \text{null}(\lambda I - A) = \text{null}(A - \lambda I)$ .)
- 4 Let  $C = [\mathcal{B}]$ .
- 5  $C^{-1}AC = \Lambda$  is diagonal.

# What could go wrong?

# What could go wrong?

Two things can go wrong in an attempt to diagonalize a matrix  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ .

# What could go wrong?

Two things can go wrong in an attempt to diagonalize a matrix  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ .

- ❶ The scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  may not contain all of the e-values of  $A$ .

# What could go wrong?

Two things can go wrong in an attempt to diagonalize a matrix  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ .

- ❶ The scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  may not contain all of the e-values of  $A$ .

# What could go wrong?

Two things can go wrong in an attempt to diagonalize a matrix  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ .

- 1 The scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  may not contain all of the e-values of  $A$ .

Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is diagonal.



# What could go wrong?

Two things can go wrong in an attempt to diagonalize a matrix  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ .

- ① The scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  may not contain all of the e-values of  $A$ .

Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is diagonal.  $\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $A$  iff  $C^{-1}\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $D$ .

# What could go wrong?

Two things can go wrong in an attempt to diagonalize a matrix  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ .

- 1 The scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  may not contain all of the e-values of  $A$ .

Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is diagonal.  $\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $A$  iff  $C^{-1}\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $D$ . Thus if  $A$  is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$ , all  $e$ -values must lie in  $\mathbb{F}$ .

- 2 The algebraic multiplicity of some  $e$ -value  $\lambda$  may exceed the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda$ .

# What could go wrong?

Two things can go wrong in an attempt to diagonalize a matrix  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ .

- 1 The scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  may not contain all of the e-values of  $A$ .

Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is diagonal.  $\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $A$  iff  $C^{-1}\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $D$ . Thus if  $A$  is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$ , all  $e$ -values must lie in  $\mathbb{F}$ .

- 2 The algebraic multiplicity of some  $e$ -value  $\lambda$  may exceed the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda$ .

# What could go wrong?

Two things can go wrong in an attempt to diagonalize a matrix  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ .

- 1 The scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  may not contain all of the e-values of  $A$ .

Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is diagonal.  $\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $A$  iff  $C^{-1}\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $D$ . Thus if  $A$  is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$ , all  $e$ -values must lie in  $\mathbb{F}$ .

- 2 The algebraic multiplicity of some  $e$ -value  $\lambda$  may exceed the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be an  $e$ -value for some matrix  $A$ . The **algebraic multiplicity** of  $\lambda$  is the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  as a root of  $\chi_A$ .

# What could go wrong?

Two things can go wrong in an attempt to diagonalize a matrix  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ .

- 1 The scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  may not contain all of the e-values of  $A$ .

Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is diagonal.  $\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $A$  iff  $C^{-1}\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $D$ . Thus if  $A$  is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$ , all  $e$ -values must lie in  $\mathbb{F}$ .

- 2 The algebraic multiplicity of some  $e$ -value  $\lambda$  may exceed the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be an  $e$ -value for some matrix  $A$ . The **algebraic multiplicity** of  $\lambda$  is the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  as a root of  $\chi_A$ . I write  $\text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(\lambda)$  for this number.

# What could go wrong?

Two things can go wrong in an attempt to diagonalize a matrix  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ .

- 1 The scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  may not contain all of the e-values of  $A$ .

Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is diagonal.  $\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $A$  iff  $C^{-1}\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $D$ . Thus if  $A$  is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$ , all  $e$ -values must lie in  $\mathbb{F}$ .

- 2 The algebraic multiplicity of some  $e$ -value  $\lambda$  may exceed the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be an  $e$ -value for some matrix  $A$ . The **algebraic multiplicity** of  $\lambda$  is the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  as a root of  $\chi_A$ . I write  $\text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(\lambda)$  for this number. The **geometric multiplicity** of  $\lambda$  is the dimension of  $V_\lambda$ .

# What could go wrong?

Two things can go wrong in an attempt to diagonalize a matrix  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ .

- 1 The scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  may not contain all of the e-values of  $A$ .

Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is diagonal.  $\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $A$  iff  $C^{-1}\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $D$ . Thus if  $A$  is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$ , all  $e$ -values must lie in  $\mathbb{F}$ .

- 2 The algebraic multiplicity of some  $e$ -value  $\lambda$  may exceed the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be an  $e$ -value for some matrix  $A$ . The **algebraic multiplicity** of  $\lambda$  is the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  as a root of  $\chi_A$ . I write  $\text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(\lambda)$  for this number. The **geometric multiplicity** of  $\lambda$  is the dimension of  $V_\lambda$ . I write  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(\lambda)$  for this number.

# What could go wrong?

Two things can go wrong in an attempt to diagonalize a matrix  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ .

- 1 The scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  may not contain all of the e-values of  $A$ .

Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is diagonal.  $\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $A$  iff  $C^{-1}\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $D$ . Thus if  $A$  is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$ , all  $e$ -values must lie in  $\mathbb{F}$ .

- 2 The algebraic multiplicity of some  $e$ -value  $\lambda$  may exceed the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be an  $e$ -value for some matrix  $A$ . The **algebraic multiplicity** of  $\lambda$  is the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  as a root of  $\chi_A$ . I write  $\text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(\lambda)$  for this number. The **geometric multiplicity** of  $\lambda$  is the dimension of  $V_\lambda$ . I write  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(\lambda)$  for this number.

Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is diagonal.



# What could go wrong?

Two things can go wrong in an attempt to diagonalize a matrix  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ .

- 1 The scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  may not contain all of the e-values of  $A$ .

Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is diagonal.  $\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $A$  iff  $C^{-1}\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $D$ . Thus if  $A$  is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$ , all  $e$ -values must lie in  $\mathbb{F}$ .

- 2 The algebraic multiplicity of some  $e$ -value  $\lambda$  may exceed the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be an  $e$ -value for some matrix  $A$ . The **algebraic multiplicity** of  $\lambda$  is the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  as a root of  $\chi_A$ . I write  $\text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(\lambda)$  for this number. The **geometric multiplicity** of  $\lambda$  is the dimension of  $V_\lambda$ . I write  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(\lambda)$  for this number.

Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is diagonal.  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \chi_D(\lambda)$ ,

# What could go wrong?

Two things can go wrong in an attempt to diagonalize a matrix  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ .

- 1 The scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  may not contain all of the e-values of  $A$ .

Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is diagonal.  $\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $A$  iff  $C^{-1}\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $D$ . Thus if  $A$  is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$ , all  $e$ -values must lie in  $\mathbb{F}$ .

- 2 The algebraic multiplicity of some  $e$ -value  $\lambda$  may exceed the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be an  $e$ -value for some matrix  $A$ . The **algebraic multiplicity** of  $\lambda$  is the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  as a root of  $\chi_A$ . I write  $\text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(\lambda)$  for this number. The **geometric multiplicity** of  $\lambda$  is the dimension of  $V_\lambda$ . I write  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(\lambda)$  for this number.

Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is diagonal.  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \chi_D(\lambda)$ , so  $A$  and  $D$  have the same algebraic multiplicity.

# What could go wrong?

Two things can go wrong in an attempt to diagonalize a matrix  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ .

- 1 The scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  may not contain all of the e-values of  $A$ .

Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is diagonal.  $\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $A$  iff  $C^{-1}\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $D$ . Thus if  $A$  is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$ , all  $e$ -values must lie in  $\mathbb{F}$ .

- 2 The algebraic multiplicity of some  $e$ -value  $\lambda$  may exceed the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be an  $e$ -value for some matrix  $A$ . The **algebraic multiplicity** of  $\lambda$  is the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  as a root of  $\chi_A$ . I write  $\text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(\lambda)$  for this number. The **geometric multiplicity** of  $\lambda$  is the dimension of  $V_\lambda$ . I write  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(\lambda)$  for this number.

Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is diagonal.  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \chi_D(\lambda)$ , so  $A$  and  $D$  have the same algebraic multiplicity.  $C: V_{\lambda,D} \rightarrow V_{\lambda,A}$  is an isomorphism, and  $A$  and  $D$  have the same geometric multiplicity.

# What could go wrong?

Two things can go wrong in an attempt to diagonalize a matrix  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ .

- 1 The scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  may not contain all of the e-values of  $A$ .

Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is diagonal.  $\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $A$  iff  $C^{-1}\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector for  $D$ . Thus if  $A$  is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$ , all  $e$ -values must lie in  $\mathbb{F}$ .

- 2 The algebraic multiplicity of some  $e$ -value  $\lambda$  may exceed the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be an  $e$ -value for some matrix  $A$ . The **algebraic multiplicity** of  $\lambda$  is the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  as a root of  $\chi_A$ . I write  $\text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(\lambda)$  for this number. The **geometric multiplicity** of  $\lambda$  is the dimension of  $V_\lambda$ . I write  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(\lambda)$  for this number.

Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is diagonal.  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \chi_D(\lambda)$ , so  $A$  and  $D$  have the same algebraic multiplicity.  $C: V_{\lambda,D} \rightarrow V_{\lambda,A}$  is an isomorphism, and  $A$  and  $D$  have the same geometric multiplicity. The algebraic and geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda$  in  $D$  are equal, so the same must be true for  $A$ .

# Example for Problem 1.

## Example for Problem 1.

If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is our scalar field,

## Example for Problem 1.

If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is our scalar field, then

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ -1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2 + 1.$$

## Example for Problem 1.

If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is our scalar field, then

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ -1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2 + 1.$$

This polynomial has no real roots.



## Example for Problem 1.

If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is our scalar field, then

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ -1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2 + 1.$$

This polynomial has no real roots. Hence  $A$  has no e-values in the scalar field.

## Example for Problem 1.

If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is our scalar field, then

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ -1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2 + 1.$$

This polynomial has no real roots. Hence  $A$  has no e-values in the scalar field. This blocks  $A$  from being diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## Example for Problem 1.

If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is our scalar field, then

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ -1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2 + 1.$$

This polynomial has no real roots. Hence  $A$  has no e-values in the scalar field. This blocks  $A$  from being diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Are we stuck?

## Example for Problem 1.

If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is our scalar field, then

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ -1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2 + 1.$$

This polynomial has no real roots. Hence  $A$  has no e-values in the scalar field. This blocks  $A$  from being diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Are we stuck? Not completely.

## Example for Problem 1.

If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is our scalar field, then

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ -1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2 + 1.$$

This polynomial has no real roots. Hence  $A$  has no e-values in the scalar field. This blocks  $A$  from being diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Are we stuck? Not completely. Extend the scalar field  $\mathbb{R}$  to the larger (algebraically closed) field  $\mathbb{C}$  and work there.

## Example for Problem 1.

If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is our scalar field, then

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ -1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2 + 1.$$

This polynomial has no real roots. Hence  $A$  has no e-values in the scalar field. This blocks  $A$  from being diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Are we stuck? Not completely. Extend the scalar field  $\mathbb{R}$  to the larger (algebraically closed) field  $\mathbb{C}$  and work there. E-values are  $+i, -i$ .

## Example for Problem 1.

If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is our scalar field, then

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ -1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2 + 1.$$

This polynomial has no real roots. Hence  $A$  has no e-values in the scalar field. This blocks  $A$  from being diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Are we stuck? Not completely. Extend the scalar field  $\mathbb{R}$  to the larger (algebraically closed) field  $\mathbb{C}$  and work there. E-values are  $+i, -i$ . Basis of e-vectors is  $\mathcal{B} = \left( \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$ . If  $C = [\mathcal{B}]$ , then  $C^{-1}AC = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix}$ .

## Example for Problem 2.



## Example for Problem 2.

# Example for Problem 2.

**Example.**

## Example for Problem 2.

**Example.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

## Example for Problem 2.

**Example.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2$ .

## Example for Problem 2.

**Example.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2$ .

$\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$  has a double root,  $\lambda = 0$ .

## Example for Problem 2.

**Example.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2$ .

$\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$  has a double root,  $\lambda = 0$ .  $\text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0) = 2$ .

## Example for Problem 2.

**Example.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2$ .

$\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$  has a double root,  $\lambda = 0$ .  $\text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0) = 2$ .  $V_\lambda = \text{span}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ ,

## Example for Problem 2.

**Example.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2$ .

$\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$  has a double root,  $\lambda = 0$ .  $\text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0) = 2$ .  $V_\lambda = \text{span}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ , so  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) = 1$ .



## Example for Problem 2.

**Example.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2$ .

$\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$  has a double root,  $\lambda = 0$ .  $\text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0) = 2$ .  $V_\lambda = \text{span}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ , so  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) = 1$ . The fact that  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) < \text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0)$  implies that  $A$  cannot be diagonalized.

## Example for Problem 2.

**Example.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2$ .

$\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$  has a double root,  $\lambda = 0$ .  $\text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0) = 2$ .  $V_\lambda = \text{span}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ , so  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) = 1$ . The fact that  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) < \text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0)$  implies that  $A$  cannot be diagonalized.

An easy proof of the nondiagonalizability of  $A$  goes like this.

## Example for Problem 2.

**Example.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2$ .

$\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$  has a double root,  $\lambda = 0$ .  $\text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0) = 2$ .  $V_\lambda = \text{span}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ , so  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) = 1$ . The fact that  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) < \text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0)$  implies that  $A$  cannot be diagonalized.

An easy proof of the nondiagonalizability of  $A$  goes like this. Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is a diagonal matrix.

❶  $D \neq \mathbf{0}$ ,

## Example for Problem 2.

**Example.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2$ .

$\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$  has a double root,  $\lambda = 0$ .  $\text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0) = 2$ .  $V_\lambda = \text{span}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ , so  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) = 1$ . The fact that  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) < \text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0)$  implies that  $A$  cannot be diagonalized.

An easy proof of the nondiagonalizability of  $A$  goes like this. Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is a diagonal matrix.

❶  $D \neq \mathbf{0}$ ,

## Example for Problem 2.

**Example.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2$ .

$\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$  has a double root,  $\lambda = 0$ .  $\text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0) = 2$ .  $V_\lambda = \text{span}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ , so  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) = 1$ . The fact that  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) < \text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0)$  implies that  $A$  cannot be diagonalized.

An easy proof of the nondiagonalizability of  $A$  goes like this. Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is a diagonal matrix.

❶  $D \neq \mathbf{0}$ , since  $CDC^{-1} = A \neq \mathbf{0}$ .

## Example for Problem 2.

**Example.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2$ .

$\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$  has a double root,  $\lambda = 0$ .  $\text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0) = 2$ .  $V_\lambda = \text{span}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ , so  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) = 1$ . The fact that  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) < \text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0)$  implies that  $A$  cannot be diagonalized.

An easy proof of the nondiagonalizability of  $A$  goes like this. Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is a diagonal matrix.

- ①  $D \neq \mathbf{0}$ , since  $CDC^{-1} = A \neq \mathbf{0}$ .
- ②  $D^2 = \mathbf{0}$ ,

## Example for Problem 2.

**Example.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2$ .

$\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$  has a double root,  $\lambda = 0$ .  $\text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0) = 2$ .  $V_\lambda = \text{span}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ , so  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) = 1$ . The fact that  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) < \text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0)$  implies that  $A$  cannot be diagonalized.

An easy proof of the nondiagonalizability of  $A$  goes like this. Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is a diagonal matrix.

- ①  $D \neq \mathbf{0}$ , since  $CDC^{-1} = A \neq \mathbf{0}$ .
- ②  $D^2 = \mathbf{0}$ ,

## Example for Problem 2.

**Example.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2$ .

$\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$  has a double root,  $\lambda = 0$ .  $\text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0) = 2$ .  $V_\lambda = \text{span}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ , so  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) = 1$ . The fact that  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) < \text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0)$  implies that  $A$  cannot be diagonalized.

An easy proof of the nondiagonalizability of  $A$  goes like this. Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is a diagonal matrix.

- ①  $D \neq \mathbf{0}$ , since  $CDC^{-1} = A \neq \mathbf{0}$ .
- ②  $D^2 = \mathbf{0}$ , since  $D^2 = (C^{-1}AC)^2$



## Example for Problem 2.

**Example.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2$ .

$\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$  has a double root,  $\lambda = 0$ .  $\text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0) = 2$ .  $V_\lambda = \text{span}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ , so  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) = 1$ . The fact that  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) < \text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0)$  implies that  $A$  cannot be diagonalized.

An easy proof of the nondiagonalizability of  $A$  goes like this. Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is a diagonal matrix.

- ❶  $D \neq \mathbf{0}$ , since  $CDC^{-1} = A \neq \mathbf{0}$ .
- ❷  $D^2 = \mathbf{0}$ , since  $D^2 = (C^{-1}AC)^2 = C^{-1}A^2C$

## Example for Problem 2.

**Example.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2$ .

$\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$  has a double root,  $\lambda = 0$ .  $\text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0) = 2$ .  $V_\lambda = \text{span}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ , so  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) = 1$ . The fact that  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) < \text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0)$  implies that  $A$  cannot be diagonalized.

An easy proof of the nondiagonalizability of  $A$  goes like this. Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is a diagonal matrix.

- ①  $D \neq \mathbf{0}$ , since  $CDC^{-1} = A \neq \mathbf{0}$ .
- ②  $D^2 = \mathbf{0}$ , since  $D^2 = (C^{-1}AC)^2 = C^{-1}A^2C = C^{-1}\mathbf{0}C = \mathbf{0}$ .

## Example for Problem 2.

**Example.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2$ .

$\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$  has a double root,  $\lambda = 0$ .  $\text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0) = 2$ .  $V_\lambda = \text{span}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ , so  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) = 1$ . The fact that  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(0) < \text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(0)$  implies that  $A$  cannot be diagonalized.

An easy proof of the nondiagonalizability of  $A$  goes like this. Assume that  $C^{-1}AC = D$  is a diagonal matrix.

①  $D \neq \mathbf{0}$ , since  $CDC^{-1} = A \neq \mathbf{0}$ .

②  $D^2 = \mathbf{0}$ , since  $D^2 = (C^{-1}AC)^2 = C^{-1}A^2C = C^{-1}\mathbf{0}C = \mathbf{0}$ .

But no diagonal matrix can satisfy both  $D \neq \mathbf{0}$  and  $D^2 = \mathbf{0}$ .

# Necessary and sufficient condition for diagonalizability

# Necessary and sufficient condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.**

# Necessary and sufficient condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any scalar field and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ .

# Necessary and sufficient condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any scalar field and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $A$  to be diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$

# Necessary and sufficient condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any scalar field and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $A$  to be diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$  is that every root  $\lambda$  of  $\chi_A(\lambda)$  belongs to  $\mathbb{F}$



# Necessary and sufficient condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any scalar field and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $A$  to be diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$  is that every root  $\lambda$  of  $\chi_A(\lambda)$  belongs to  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(\lambda) = \text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(\lambda)$  for each  $\lambda$ .

# Necessary and sufficient condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any scalar field and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $A$  to be diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$  is that every root  $\lambda$  of  $\chi_A(\lambda)$  belongs to  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(\lambda) = \text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(\lambda)$  for each  $\lambda$ .

**Remark.** If the only obstacle to diagonalizability is that the roots of  $\chi_A(\lambda)$  do not belong to  $\mathbb{F}$ ,

# Necessary and sufficient condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any scalar field and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $A$  to be diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$  is that every root  $\lambda$  of  $\chi_A(\lambda)$  belongs to  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(\lambda) = \text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(\lambda)$  for each  $\lambda$ .

**Remark.** If the only obstacle to diagonalizability is that the roots of  $\chi_A(\lambda)$  do not belong to  $\mathbb{F}$ , then extend  $\mathbb{F}$  to some larger field (like the algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{F}} \supseteq \mathbb{F}$ ).

# Necessary and sufficient condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any scalar field and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $A$  to be diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$  is that every root  $\lambda$  of  $\chi_A(\lambda)$  belongs to  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(\lambda) = \text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(\lambda)$  for each  $\lambda$ .

**Remark.** If the only obstacle to diagonalizability is that the roots of  $\chi_A(\lambda)$  do not belong to  $\mathbb{F}$ , then extend  $\mathbb{F}$  to some larger field (like the algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{F}} \supseteq \mathbb{F}$ ). If, now,  $\text{mult}_{\text{geom}}(\lambda) = \text{mult}_{\text{alg}}(\lambda)$  for each root  $\lambda$  of  $\chi_A(\lambda)$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ , one can diagonalize  $A$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ .

# The Cayley-Hamilton Theorem and $\text{minpoly}_{A,\mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$

# The Cayley-Hamilton Theorem and $\text{minpoly}_{A,\mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$

## **Cayley-Hamilton Theorem.**

# The Cayley-Hamilton Theorem and $\text{minpoly}_{A,\mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$

**Cayley-Hamilton Theorem.** If  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ , then  $A$  “satisfies”  $\chi_A(\lambda)$ .

# The Cayley-Hamilton Theorem and $\text{minpoly}_{A,\mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$

**Cayley-Hamilton Theorem.** If  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ , then  $A$  “satisfies”  $\chi_A(\lambda)$ .  
( $\chi_A(A) = \mathbf{0}$ .)



# The Cayley-Hamilton Theorem and $\text{minpoly}_{A,\mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$

**Cayley-Hamilton Theorem.** If  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ , then  $A$  “satisfies”  $\chi_A(\lambda)$ .  
( $\chi_A(A) = \mathbf{0}$ .)

**Example.** If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + 1$ .

# The Cayley-Hamilton Theorem and $\text{minpoly}_{A,\mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$

**Cayley-Hamilton Theorem.** If  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ , then  $A$  “satisfies”  $\chi_A(\lambda)$ .  
( $\chi_A(A) = \mathbf{0}$ .)

**Example.** If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + 1$ .

Observe

$$\chi_A(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 + I = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}.$$

# The Cayley-Hamilton Theorem and $\text{minpoly}_{A,\mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$

**Cayley-Hamilton Theorem.** If  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ , then  $A$  “satisfies”  $\chi_A(\lambda)$ .  
( $\chi_A(A) = \mathbf{0}$ .)

**Example.** If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + 1$ .

Observe

$$\chi_A(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 + I = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}.$$

**Definition.** If  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ , then the **minimal polynomial** of  $A$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ ,

# The Cayley-Hamilton Theorem and $\text{minpoly}_{A,\mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$

**Cayley-Hamilton Theorem.** If  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ , then  $A$  “satisfies”  $\chi_A(\lambda)$ .  
( $\chi_A(A) = \mathbf{0}$ .)

**Example.** If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + 1$ .

Observe

$$\chi_A(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 + I = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}.$$

**Definition.** If  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ , then the **minimal polynomial** of  $A$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ , written  $\text{minpoly}_{A,\mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$ ,

# The Cayley-Hamilton Theorem and $\text{minpoly}_{A,\mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$

**Cayley-Hamilton Theorem.** If  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ , then  $A$  “satisfies”  $\chi_A(\lambda)$ .  
( $\chi_A(A) = \mathbf{0}$ .)

**Example.** If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + 1$ .

Observe

$$\chi_A(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 + I = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}.$$

**Definition.** If  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ , then the **minimal polynomial** of  $A$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ , written  $\text{minpoly}_{A,\mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$ , is the monic polynomial of least degree that is satisfied by  $A$ .

# The Cayley-Hamilton Theorem and $\text{minpoly}_{A,\mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$

**Cayley-Hamilton Theorem.** If  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ , then  $A$  “satisfies”  $\chi_A(\lambda)$ .  
( $\chi_A(A) = \mathbf{0}$ .)

**Example.** If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + 1$ .

Observe

$$\chi_A(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 + I = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}.$$

**Definition.** If  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ , then the **minimal polynomial** of  $A$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ , written  $\text{minpoly}_{A,\mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$ , is the monic polynomial of least degree that is satisfied by  $A$ .

It follows from the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem that  $\text{minpoly}_{A,\mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$  divides  $\chi_A(\lambda)$ .

# Another nec. and suff. condition for diagonalizability

# Another nec. and suff. condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.**



## Another nec. and suff. condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any scalar field and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ .

## Another nec. and suff. condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any scalar field and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $A$  to be diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$

## Another nec. and suff. condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any scalar field and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $A$  to be diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$  is that  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$  is factors into distinct linear terms over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

## Another nec. and suff. condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any scalar field and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $A$  to be diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$  is that  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$  is factors into distinct linear terms over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

( $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = (\lambda - r_1)(\lambda - r_2) \cdots (\lambda - r_k)$  with the roots  $r_i$  distinct members of  $\mathbb{F}$ .)

## Another nec. and suff. condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any scalar field and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $A$  to be diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$  is that  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$  is factors into distinct linear terms over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

( $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = (\lambda - r_1)(\lambda - r_2) \cdots (\lambda - r_k)$  with the roots  $r_i$  distinct members of  $\mathbb{F}$ .)

**Examples.**

## Another nec. and suff. condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any scalar field and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $A$  to be diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$  is that  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$  is factors into distinct linear terms over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

( $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = (\lambda - r_1)(\lambda - r_2) \cdots (\lambda - r_k)$  with the roots  $r_i$  distinct members of  $\mathbb{F}$ .)

### Examples.

- 1 Recall that if  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ .

## Another nec. and suff. condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any scalar field and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $A$  to be diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$  is that  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$  is factors into distinct linear terms over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

( $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = (\lambda - r_1)(\lambda - r_2) \cdots (\lambda - r_k)$  with the roots  $r_i$  distinct members of  $\mathbb{F}$ .)

### Examples.

- 1 Recall that if  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ .

## Another nec. and suff. condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any scalar field and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $A$  to be diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$  is that  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$  is factors into distinct linear terms over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

( $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = (\lambda - r_1)(\lambda - r_2) \cdots (\lambda - r_k)$  with the roots  $r_i$  distinct members of  $\mathbb{F}$ .)

### Examples.

- 1 Recall that if  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ .  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$  divides  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ , so  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2, \lambda$ , or  $1$ .



## Another nec. and suff. condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any scalar field and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $A$  to be diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$  is that  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$  is factors into distinct linear terms over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

( $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = (\lambda - r_1)(\lambda - r_2) \cdots (\lambda - r_k)$  with the roots  $r_i$  distinct members of  $\mathbb{F}$ .)

### Examples.

- 1 Recall that if  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ .  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$  divides  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ , so  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2, \lambda$ , or  $1$ . Since  $A \neq \mathbf{0}$ ,

## Another nec. and suff. condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any scalar field and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $A$  to be diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$  is that  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$  is factors into distinct linear terms over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

( $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = (\lambda - r_1)(\lambda - r_2) \cdots (\lambda - r_k)$  with the roots  $r_i$  distinct members of  $\mathbb{F}$ .)

### Examples.

- 1 Recall that if  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ .  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$  divides  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ , so  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2, \lambda$ , or  $1$ . Since  $A \neq \mathbf{0}$ , the only possibility is  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ .

## Another nec. and suff. condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any scalar field and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $A$  to be diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$  is that  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$  is factors into distinct linear terms over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

( $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = (\lambda - r_1)(\lambda - r_2) \cdots (\lambda - r_k)$  with the roots  $r_i$  distinct members of  $\mathbb{F}$ .)

### Examples.

- 1 Recall that if  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ .  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$  divides  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ , so  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2, \lambda$ , or  $1$ . Since  $A \neq \mathbf{0}$ , the only possibility is  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ . The roots of  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2$  are not distinct.

## Another nec. and suff. condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any scalar field and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $A$  to be diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$  is that  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$  is factors into distinct linear terms over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

( $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = (\lambda - r_1)(\lambda - r_2) \cdots (\lambda - r_k)$  with the roots  $r_i$  distinct members of  $\mathbb{F}$ .)

### Examples.

- 1 Recall that if  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ .  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$  divides  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ , so  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2, \lambda$ , or  $1$ . Since  $A \neq \mathbf{0}$ , the only possibility is  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ . The roots of  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2$  are not distinct. The theorem yields nondiagonalizability.

## Another nec. and suff. condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any scalar field and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $A$  to be diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$  is that  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$  is factors into distinct linear terms over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

( $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = (\lambda - r_1)(\lambda - r_2) \cdots (\lambda - r_k)$  with the roots  $r_i$  distinct members of  $\mathbb{F}$ .)

### Examples.

- 1 Recall that if  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ .  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$  divides  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ , so  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2, \lambda$ , or  $1$ . Since  $A \neq \mathbf{0}$ , the only possibility is  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ . The roots of  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2$  are not distinct. The theorem yields nondiagonalizability.
- 2 Recall that if  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + 1 = (\lambda - i)(\lambda + i)$ .

## Another nec. and suff. condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any scalar field and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $A$  to be diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$  is that  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$  is factors into distinct linear terms over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

( $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = (\lambda - r_1)(\lambda - r_2) \cdots (\lambda - r_k)$  with the roots  $r_i$  distinct members of  $\mathbb{F}$ .)

### Examples.

- 1 Recall that if  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ .  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$  divides  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ , so  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2, \lambda$ , or  $1$ . Since  $A \neq \mathbf{0}$ , the only possibility is  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ . The roots of  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2$  are not distinct. The theorem yields nondiagonalizability.
- 2 Recall that if  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + 1 = (\lambda - i)(\lambda + i)$ .

## Another nec. and suff. condition for diagonalizability

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any scalar field and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $A$  to be diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$  is that  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$  is factors into distinct linear terms over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

( $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = (\lambda - r_1)(\lambda - r_2) \cdots (\lambda - r_k)$  with the roots  $r_i$  distinct members of  $\mathbb{F}$ .)

### Examples.

- 1 Recall that if  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ .  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda)$  divides  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ , so  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2, \lambda$ , or  $1$ . Since  $A \neq \mathbf{0}$ , the only possibility is  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2$ . The roots of  $\text{minpoly}_{A, \mathbb{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2$  are not distinct. The theorem yields nondiagonalizability.
- 2 Recall that if  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $\chi_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + 1 = (\lambda - i)(\lambda + i)$ . The theorem yields nondiagonalizability over  $\mathbb{R}$  but diagonalizability over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

# Some applications



# Some applications

See the “Topics” sections at the end of Chapter 5.

# Some applications

See the “Topics” sections at the end of Chapter 5.

- 1 Raising a matrix to a power.

# Some applications

See the “Topics” sections at the end of Chapter 5.

- 1 Raising a matrix to a power.

# Some applications

See the “Topics” sections at the end of Chapter 5.

- 1 Raising a matrix to a power.
- 2 Solving a linear recurrence.

# Some applications

See the “Topics” sections at the end of Chapter 5.

- 1 Raising a matrix to a power.
- 2 Solving a linear recurrence.

# Some applications

See the “Topics” sections at the end of Chapter 5.

- 1 Raising a matrix to a power.
- 2 Solving a linear recurrence.
- 3 Solving discrete dynamical system.

# Some applications

See the “Topics” sections at the end of Chapter 5.

- 1 Raising a matrix to a power.
- 2 Solving a linear recurrence.
- 3 Solving discrete dynamical system.

# Some applications

See the “Topics” sections at the end of Chapter 5.

- 1 Raising a matrix to a power.
- 2 Solving a linear recurrence.
- 3 Solving discrete dynamical system.
- 4 Google page rank.



# Solving a linear recurrence

# Solving a linear recurrence

One of the simplest linear recurrences is  $a_{n+1} = 2a_n$ ,  $a_0 = 1$ .

# Solving a linear recurrence

One of the simplest linear recurrences is  $a_{n+1} = 2a_n$ ,  $a_0 = 1$ . The unique solution is  $a_n = 2^n$ .

# Solving a linear recurrence

One of the simplest linear recurrences is  $a_{n+1} = 2a_n$ ,  $a_0 = 1$ . The unique solution is  $a_n = 2^n$ . (Prove this by induction.)

# Solving a linear recurrence

One of the simplest linear recurrences is  $a_{n+1} = 2a_n$ ,  $a_0 = 1$ . The unique solution is  $a_n = 2^n$ . (Prove this by induction.)

A famous (more complicated) recurrence is the Fibonacci recurrence:

# Solving a linear recurrence

One of the simplest linear recurrences is  $a_{n+1} = 2a_n$ ,  $a_0 = 1$ . The unique solution is  $a_n = 2^n$ . (Prove this by induction.)

A famous (more complicated) recurrence is the Fibonacci recurrence:

$$F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}, \quad F_0 = 0, \quad F_1 = 1.$$

# Solving a linear recurrence

One of the simplest linear recurrences is  $a_{n+1} = 2a_n$ ,  $a_0 = 1$ . The unique solution is  $a_n = 2^n$ . (Prove this by induction.)

A famous (more complicated) recurrence is the Fibonacci recurrence:

$$F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}, \quad F_0 = 0, \quad F_1 = 1.$$

Small values of the sequence (OEIS A000045):

$$0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, \dots$$

We may express the recursion as follows.

# Solving a linear recurrence

One of the simplest linear recurrences is  $a_{n+1} = 2a_n$ ,  $a_0 = 1$ . The unique solution is  $a_n = 2^n$ . (Prove this by induction.)

A famous (more complicated) recurrence is the Fibonacci recurrence:

$$F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}, \quad F_0 = 0, \quad F_1 = 1.$$

Small values of the sequence (OEIS A000045):

$$0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, \dots$$

We may express the recursion as follows.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_n \\ F_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{n+1} \\ F_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^k \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{k+1} \\ F_k \end{bmatrix}.$$



# The Binet formula

# The Binet formula

The matrix  $\mathcal{F} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  has characteristic polynomial

$$\chi_{\mathcal{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \text{tr}(\mathcal{F}) + \det(\mathcal{F}) = \lambda^2 - \lambda - 1.$$

# The Binet formula

The matrix  $\mathcal{F} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  has characteristic polynomial

$\chi_{\mathcal{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \text{tr}(\mathcal{F}) + \det(\mathcal{F}) = \lambda^2 - \lambda - 1$ . The e-values are

$\lambda_1 = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1.618$  and  $\lambda_2 = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx -.618 = \frac{-1}{\lambda_1}$ .

# The Binet formula

The matrix  $\mathcal{F} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  has characteristic polynomial

$\chi_{\mathcal{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \text{tr}(\mathcal{F}) + \det(\mathcal{F}) = \lambda^2 - \lambda - 1$ . The e-values are  $\lambda_1 = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1.618$  and  $\lambda_2 = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx -.618 = \frac{-1}{\lambda_1}$ .

The corresponding e-vectors are  $\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

# The Binet formula

The matrix  $\mathcal{F} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  has characteristic polynomial

$\chi_{\mathcal{F}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \text{tr}(\mathcal{F}) + \det(\mathcal{F}) = \lambda^2 - \lambda - 1$ . The e-values are  $\lambda_1 = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1.618$  and  $\lambda_2 = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx -.618 = \frac{-1}{\lambda_1}$ .

The corresponding e-vectors are  $\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \mathbf{v}_1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \mathbf{v}_2$ , so  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^k \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\lambda_1^k}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \mathbf{v}_1 - \frac{\lambda_2^k}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \mathbf{v}_2$ , so

$$F_k = \frac{\lambda_1^k}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} - \frac{\lambda_2^k}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^k - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^k}_{\text{Binet formula}}.$$