

The Determinant

Definition.

Definition. (Slightly different than Chap 4, Section 1.2, Defn 2.1.)

Definition. (Slightly different than Chap 4, Section 1.2, Defn 2.1.)

Let $V = \mathbb{F}^n$.

Definition. (Slightly different than Chap 4, Section 1.2, Defn 2.1.)

Let $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{F}^n$. The **determinant** is an n -ary scalar-valued function

$$D: \mathbb{V} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

Definition. (Slightly different than Chap 4, Section 1.2, Defn 2.1.)

Let $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{F}^n$. The **determinant** is an n -ary scalar-valued function

$$D: \mathbb{V} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

that is

- multilinear,

Definition. (Slightly different than Chap 4, Section 1.2, Defn 2.1.)

Let $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{F}^n$. The **determinant** is an n -ary scalar-valued function

$$D: \mathbb{V} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

that is

- multilinear,

Definition. (Slightly different than Chap 4, Section 1.2, Defn 2.1.)

Let $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{F}^n$. The **determinant** is an n -ary scalar-valued function

$$D: \mathbb{V} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

that is

- multilinear,
- alternating, and

Definition. (Slightly different than Chap 4, Section 1.2, Defn 2.1.)

Let $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{F}^n$. The **determinant** is an n -ary scalar-valued function

$$D: \mathbb{V} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

that is

- multilinear,
- alternating, and

Definition. (Slightly different than Chap 4, Section 1.2, Defn 2.1.)

Let $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{F}^n$. The **determinant** is an n -ary scalar-valued function

$$D: \mathbb{V} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

that is

- multilinear,
- alternating, and
- normalized so that $D(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n) = 1$.

Definition. (Slightly different than Chap 4, Section 1.2, Defn 2.1.)

Let $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{F}^n$. The **determinant** is an n -ary scalar-valued function

$$D: \mathbb{V} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

that is

- multilinear,
- alternating, and
- normalized so that $D(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n) = 1$.

Definition. (Slightly different than Chap 4, Section 1.2, Defn 2.1.)

Let $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{F}^n$. The **determinant** is an n -ary scalar-valued function

$$D: \mathbb{V} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

that is

- multilinear,
- alternating, and
- normalized so that $D(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n) = 1$.

Since D accepts n column vectors of length n , one may view D as a function $D: M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$.

Definition. (Slightly different than Chap 4, Section 1.2, Defn 2.1.)

Let $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{F}^n$. The **determinant** is an n -ary scalar-valued function

$$D: \mathbb{V} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

that is

- multilinear,
- alternating, and
- normalized so that $D(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n) = 1$.

Since D accepts n column vectors of length n , one may view D as a function $D: M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$.

For $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n \in \mathbb{V}$, may write $D(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n)$ or $D([\mathbf{a}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{a}_n])$ or $\det([\mathbf{a}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{a}_n])$ or $|\mathbf{a}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{a}_n|$ for the determinant.

Multilinear functions are determined by behavior on a basis

Multilinear functions are determined by behavior on a basis

Example.

Multilinear functions are determined by behavior on a basis

Example. Consider a bilinear function $B: \mathbb{F}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$.

Multilinear functions are determined by behavior on a basis

Example. Consider a bilinear function $B: \mathbb{F}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$. We want to evaluate

$$B \left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \\ e \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Multilinear functions are determined by behavior on a basis

Example. Consider a bilinear function $B: \mathbb{F}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$. We want to evaluate

$$B\left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \\ e \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix}\right). \text{ Write } \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \\ e \end{bmatrix} = a \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + c \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + e \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = a \mathbf{e}_1 + c \mathbf{e}_2 + e \mathbf{e}_3.$$

Multilinear functions are determined by behavior on a basis

Example. Consider a bilinear function $B: \mathbb{F}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$. We want to evaluate

$B\left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \\ e \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix}\right)$. Write $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \\ e \end{bmatrix} = a \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + c \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + e \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = a \mathbf{e}_1 + c \mathbf{e}_2 + e \mathbf{e}_3$. Hence

$$B\left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \\ e \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix}\right) = a B\left(\mathbf{e}_1, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix}\right) + c B\left(\mathbf{e}_2, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix}\right) + e B\left(\mathbf{e}_3, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

Multilinear functions are determined by behavior on a basis

Example. Consider a bilinear function $B: \mathbb{F}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$. We want to evaluate

$B\left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \\ e \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix}\right)$. Write $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \\ e \end{bmatrix} = a \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + c \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + e \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = a \mathbf{e}_1 + c \mathbf{e}_2 + e \mathbf{e}_3$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} B\left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \\ e \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix}\right) &= a B\left(\mathbf{e}_1, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix}\right) + c B\left(\mathbf{e}_2, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix}\right) + e B\left(\mathbf{e}_3, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= ab B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1) + ad B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) + af B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_3) + \\ &\quad + cb B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1) + cd B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2) + cf B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3) + \\ &\quad + eb B(\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1) + ed B(\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_2) + ef B(\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_3). \end{aligned}$$

Multilinear functions are determined by behavior on a basis

Example. Consider a bilinear function $B: \mathbb{F}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$. We want to evaluate

$B\left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \\ e \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix}\right)$. Write $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \\ e \end{bmatrix} = a \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + c \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + e \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = a \mathbf{e}_1 + c \mathbf{e}_2 + e \mathbf{e}_3$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} B\left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \\ e \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix}\right) &= a B\left(\mathbf{e}_1, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix}\right) + c B\left(\mathbf{e}_2, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix}\right) + e B\left(\mathbf{e}_3, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= ab B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1) + ad B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) + af B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_3) + \\ &\quad + cb B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1) + cd B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2) + cf B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3) + \\ &\quad + eb B(\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1) + ed B(\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_2) + ef B(\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_3). \end{aligned}$$

Any B defined by the blue formula, with $B(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) \in \mathbb{W}$ chosen arbitrarily, will be bilinear.

Alternating multilinear functions are more determined

Alternating multilinear functions are more determined

Recall that a multilinear function is **alternating** if it returns the value 0 whenever two inputs are equal.

Alternating multilinear functions are more determined

Recall that a multilinear function is **alternating** if it returns the value 0 whenever two inputs are equal.

Recall also that any alternating multilinear function is antisymmetric.

Alternating multilinear functions are more determined

Recall that a multilinear function is **alternating** if it returns the value 0 whenever two inputs are equal.

Recall also that any alternating multilinear function is antisymmetric.

If $B(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is alternating and bilinear, we can simplify the previous Example, since (for example) $B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1) = 0$ and $B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1) = -B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2)$.

Alternating multilinear functions are more determined

Recall that a multilinear function is **alternating** if it returns the value 0 whenever two inputs are equal.

Recall also that any alternating multilinear function is antisymmetric.

If $B(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is alternating and bilinear, we can simplify the previous Example, since (for example) $B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1) = 0$ and $B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1) = -B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2)$. This yields

Alternating multilinear functions are more determined

Recall that a multilinear function is **alternating** if it returns the value 0 whenever two inputs are equal.

Recall also that any alternating multilinear function is antisymmetric.

If $B(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is alternating and bilinear, we can simplify the previous Example, since (for example) $B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1) = 0$ and $B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1) = -B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2)$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} B \left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \\ e \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right) &= ab B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1) + ad B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) + af B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_3) + \\ &\quad + cb B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1) + cd B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2) + cf B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3) + \\ &\quad + eb B(\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1) + ed B(\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_2) + ef B(\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_3) \end{aligned}$$

Alternating multilinear functions are more determined

Recall that a multilinear function is **alternating** if it returns the value 0 whenever two inputs are equal.

Recall also that any alternating multilinear function is antisymmetric.

If $B(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is alternating and bilinear, we can simplify the previous Example, since (for example) $B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1) = 0$ and $B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1) = -B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2)$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} B \left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \\ e \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right) &= ab B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1) + ad B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) + af B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_3) + \\ &\quad + cb B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1) + cd B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2) + cf B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3) + \\ &\quad + eb B(\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1) + ed B(\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_2) + ef B(\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_3) \\ &= (ad - cb)B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) + (af - eb)B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_3) + (cf - ed)B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3). \end{aligned}$$

Alternating multilinear functions are more determined

Recall that a multilinear function is **alternating** if it returns the value 0 whenever two inputs are equal.

Recall also that any alternating multilinear function is antisymmetric.

If $B(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is alternating and bilinear, we can simplify the previous Example, since (for example) $B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1) = 0$ and $B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1) = -B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2)$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} B \left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \\ e \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right) &= ab B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1) + ad B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) + af B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_3) + \\ &\quad + cb B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1) + cd B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2) + cf B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3) + \\ &\quad + eb B(\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1) + ed B(\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_2) + ef B(\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_3) \\ &= (ad - cb)B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) + (af - eb)B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_3) + (cf - ed)B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3). \end{aligned}$$

We see that an alternating bilinear transformation is determined by its values $B(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j)$ with $i < j$.

Alternating multilinear functions are more determined

Recall that a multilinear function is **alternating** if it returns the value 0 whenever two inputs are equal.

Recall also that any alternating multilinear function is antisymmetric.

If $B(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is alternating and bilinear, we can simplify the previous Example, since (for example) $B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1) = 0$ and $B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1) = -B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2)$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} B\left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \\ e \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix}\right) &= ab B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1) + ad B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) + af B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_3) + \\ &\quad + cb B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1) + cd B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2) + cf B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3) + \\ &\quad + eb B(\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1) + ed B(\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_2) + ef B(\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_3) \\ &= (ad - cb)B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) + (af - eb)B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_3) + (cf - ed)B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3). \end{aligned}$$

We see that an alternating bilinear transformation is determined by its values $B(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j)$ with $i < j$. (Similarly, an alternating trilinear transformation is determined by its values $B(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_k)$ with $i < j < k$.)

Alternating multilinear functions are more determined

Recall that a multilinear function is **alternating** if it returns the value 0 whenever two inputs are equal.

Recall also that any alternating multilinear function is antisymmetric.

If $B(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is alternating and bilinear, we can simplify the previous Example, since (for example) $B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1) = 0$ and $B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1) = -B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2)$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} B\left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \\ e \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \\ f \end{bmatrix}\right) &= ab B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1) + ad B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) + af B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_3) + \\ &\quad + cb B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1) + cd B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2) + cf B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3) + \\ &\quad + eb B(\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1) + ed B(\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_2) + ef B(\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_3) \\ &= (ad - cb)B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) + (af - eb)B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_3) + (cf - ed)B(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3). \end{aligned}$$

We see that an alternating bilinear transformation is determined by its values $B(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j)$ with $i < j$. (Similarly, an alternating trilinear transformation is determined by its values $B(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_k)$ with $i < j < k$.)

Uniqueness of the determinant

Uniqueness of the determinant

It is not hard to see that an alternating, n -linear transformation on n -dimensional space is determined by $B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n)$.

Uniqueness of the determinant

It is not hard to see that an alternating, n -linear transformation on n -dimensional space is determined by $B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n)$.

This is the reason that there is a unique alternating n -linear scalar-valued function $D: \mathbb{F}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ that is normalized by setting $D(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n) = 1$.

Uniqueness of the determinant

It is not hard to see that an alternating, n -linear transformation on n -dimensional space is determined by $B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n)$.

This is the reason that there is a unique alternating n -linear scalar-valued function $D: \mathbb{F}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ that is normalized by setting $D(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n) = 1$.

Example.

Uniqueness of the determinant

It is not hard to see that an alternating, n -linear transformation on n -dimensional space is determined by $B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n)$.

This is the reason that there is a unique alternating n -linear scalar-valued function $D: \mathbb{F}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ that is normalized by setting $D(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n) = 1$.

Example. (The determinant on \mathbb{F}^2)

Uniqueness of the determinant

It is not hard to see that an alternating, n -linear transformation on n -dimensional space is determined by $B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n)$.

This is the reason that there is a unique alternating n -linear scalar-valued function $D: \mathbb{F}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ that is normalized by setting $D(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n) = 1$.

Example. (The determinant on \mathbb{F}^2)

$$\begin{aligned} D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \end{bmatrix}\right) &= ab D(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1) + ad D(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) + \\ &\quad + cb D(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1) + cd D(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2) \end{aligned}$$

Uniqueness of the determinant

It is not hard to see that an alternating, n -linear transformation on n -dimensional space is determined by $B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n)$.

This is the reason that there is a unique alternating n -linear scalar-valued function $D: \mathbb{F}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ that is normalized by setting $D(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n) = 1$.

Example. (The determinant on \mathbb{F}^2)

$$\begin{aligned} D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \end{bmatrix}\right) &= ab D(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1) + ad D(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) + \\ &\quad + cb D(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1) + cd D(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2) \\ &= (ad - cb)D(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) \\ &= ad - cb. \end{aligned}$$

Uniqueness of the determinant

It is not hard to see that an alternating, n -linear transformation on n -dimensional space is determined by $B(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n)$.

This is the reason that there is a unique alternating n -linear scalar-valued function $D: \mathbb{F}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ that is normalized by setting $D(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n) = 1$.

Example. (The determinant on \mathbb{F}^2)

$$\begin{aligned} D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \end{bmatrix}\right) &= ab D(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1) + ad D(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) + \\ &\quad + cb D(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1) + cd D(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2) \\ &= (ad - cb)D(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) \\ &= ad - cb. \end{aligned}$$

We also write $\det \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = ad - cb$ or $\begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} = ad - cb$.

The 3×3 -determinant

The 3×3 -determinant

Example.

The 3×3 -determinant

Example. (The determinant on \mathbb{F}^3)

The 3×3 -determinant

Example. (The determinant on \mathbb{F}^3)

$$\begin{aligned} D \left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ d \\ g \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ e \\ h \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} c \\ f \\ i \end{bmatrix} \right) &= (\text{a sum of 27 terms}) \\ &= (aei + bfg + cdh - afh - bdi - ceg) D(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3) \\ &= aei + bfg + cdh - afh - bdi - ceg. \end{aligned}$$

The permutation expansion of the determinant, I

The permutation expansion of the determinant, I

A **permutation** of $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a bijection $\pi: X \rightarrow X$ from X to itself.

The permutation expansion of the determinant, I

A **permutation** of $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a bijection $\pi: X \rightarrow X$ from X to itself.

A permutation that moves exactly two elements, and swaps those two, is called a **transposition**.

The permutation expansion of the determinant, I

A **permutation** of $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a bijection $\pi: X \rightarrow X$ from X to itself.

A permutation that moves exactly two elements, and swaps those two, is called a **transposition**.

Associated to a permutation, like $\pi: \{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}: 1 \mapsto 2, 2 \mapsto 3, 3 \mapsto 1$, is the corresponding **permutation matrix**:

The permutation expansion of the determinant, I

A **permutation** of $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a bijection $\pi: X \rightarrow X$ from X to itself.

A permutation that moves exactly two elements, and swaps those two, is called a **transposition**.

Associated to a permutation, like $\pi: \{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}: 1 \mapsto 2, 2 \mapsto 3, 3 \mapsto 1$, is the corresponding **permutation matrix**:

$$P_\pi = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The permutation expansion of the determinant, I

A **permutation** of $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a bijection $\pi: X \rightarrow X$ from X to itself.

A permutation that moves exactly two elements, and swaps those two, is called a **transposition**.

Associated to a permutation, like $\pi: \{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}: 1 \mapsto 2, 2 \mapsto 3, 3 \mapsto 1$, is the corresponding **permutation matrix**:

$$P_\pi = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This is the matrix P having the property $P \cdot \mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{e}_{\pi(i)}$.

The permutation expansion of the determinant, I

A **permutation** of $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a bijection $\pi: X \rightarrow X$ from X to itself.

A permutation that moves exactly two elements, and swaps those two, is called a **transposition**.

Associated to a permutation, like $\pi: \{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}: 1 \mapsto 2, 2 \mapsto 3, 3 \mapsto 1$, is the corresponding **permutation matrix**:

$$P_\pi = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This is the matrix P having the property $P \cdot \mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{e}_{\pi(i)}$. It permutes the standard basis vectors the same way that π permutes X .

The permutation expansion of the determinant, I

A **permutation** of $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a bijection $\pi: X \rightarrow X$ from X to itself.

A permutation that moves exactly two elements, and swaps those two, is called a **transposition**.

Associated to a permutation, like $\pi: \{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}: 1 \mapsto 2, 2 \mapsto 3, 3 \mapsto 1$, is the corresponding **permutation matrix**:

$$P_\pi = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This is the matrix P having the property $P \cdot \mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{e}_{\pi(i)}$. It permutes the standard basis vectors the same way that π permutes X . Hence the columns of P are $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ in a permuted order.

The permutation expansion of the determinant, I

A **permutation** of $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a bijection $\pi: X \rightarrow X$ from X to itself.

A permutation that moves exactly two elements, and swaps those two, is called a **transposition**.

Associated to a permutation, like $\pi: \{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}: 1 \mapsto 2, 2 \mapsto 3, 3 \mapsto 1$, is the corresponding **permutation matrix**:

$$P_\pi = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This is the matrix P having the property $P \cdot \mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{e}_{\pi(i)}$. It permutes the standard basis vectors the same way that π permutes X . Hence the columns of P are $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ in a permuted order. This implies that P has exactly one 1 in each row and column, and the rest of the entries of P are 0.

The permutation expansion of the determinant, I

A **permutation** of $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a bijection $\pi: X \rightarrow X$ from X to itself.

A permutation that moves exactly two elements, and swaps those two, is called a **transposition**.

Associated to a permutation, like $\pi: \{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}: 1 \mapsto 2, 2 \mapsto 3, 3 \mapsto 1$, is the corresponding **permutation matrix**:

$$P_\pi = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This is the matrix P having the property $P \cdot \mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{e}_{\pi(i)}$. It permutes the standard basis vectors the same way that π permutes X . Hence the columns of P are $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ in a permuted order. This implies that P has exactly one 1 in each row and column, and the rest of the entries of P are 0. (This property characterizes permutation matrices.)

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = (-1) \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^2 \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^2.$$

The permutation expansion of the determinant, II

The permutation expansion of the determinant, II

If a permutation π is the composition of k transpositions, then the **sign** of π is $(-1)^k$.

The permutation expansion of the determinant, II

If a permutation π is the composition of k transpositions, then the **sign** of π is $(-1)^k$.
If the sign is $+1$, then π is called **even**, and if the sign is -1 , then π is called **odd**.

The permutation expansion of the determinant, II

If a permutation π is the composition of k transpositions, then the **sign** of π is $(-1)^k$. If the sign is $+1$, then π is called **even**, and if the sign is -1 , then π is called **odd**.

Fact: If $\pi = \tau_1 \circ \cdots \circ \tau_k$, each τ_i a transposition, then $P_\pi = P_{\tau_1} \cdots P_{\tau_k}$.

The permutation expansion of the determinant, II

If a permutation π is the composition of k transpositions, then the **sign** of π is $(-1)^k$. If the sign is $+1$, then π is called **even**, and if the sign is -1 , then π is called **odd**.

Fact: If $\pi = \tau_1 \circ \cdots \circ \tau_k$, each τ_i a transposition, then $P_\pi = P_{\tau_1} \cdots P_{\tau_k}$.

It follows from the Fact that if a permutation π is the composition of k transpositions, then P_π can be transformed into the identity matrix with k column swaps.

The permutation expansion of the determinant, II

If a permutation π is the composition of k transpositions, then the **sign** of π is $(-1)^k$. If the sign is $+1$, then π is called **even**, and if the sign is -1 , then π is called **odd**.

Fact: If $\pi = \tau_1 \circ \cdots \circ \tau_k$, each τ_i a transposition, then $P_\pi = P_{\tau_1} \cdots P_{\tau_k}$.

It follows from the Fact that if a permutation π is the composition of k transpositions, then P_π can be transformed into the identity matrix with k column swaps. (And vice versa!)

The permutation expansion of the determinant, II

If a permutation π is the composition of k transpositions, then the **sign** of π is $(-1)^k$. If the sign is $+1$, then π is called **even**, and if the sign is -1 , then π is called **odd**.

Fact: If $\pi = \tau_1 \circ \cdots \circ \tau_k$, each τ_i a transposition, then $P_\pi = P_{\tau_1} \cdots P_{\tau_k}$.

It follows from the Fact that if a permutation π is the composition of k transpositions, then P_π can be transformed into the identity matrix with k column swaps. (And vice versa!)

The permutation expansion of the determinant, II

If a permutation π is the composition of k transpositions, then the **sign** of π is $(-1)^k$. If the sign is $+1$, then π is called **even**, and if the sign is -1 , then π is called **odd**.

Fact: If $\pi = \tau_1 \circ \cdots \circ \tau_k$, each τ_i a transposition, then $P_\pi = P_{\tau_1} \cdots P_{\tau_k}$.

It follows from the Fact that if a permutation π is the composition of k transpositions, then P_π can be transformed into the identity matrix with k column swaps. (And vice versa!)

We therefore call a permutation matrix **even** if it can be transformed into the identity matrix with an even number of column swaps, and **odd** if it can be transformed into the identity matrix with an odd number of column swaps.

The permutation expansion of the determinant, II

If a permutation π is the composition of k transpositions, then the **sign** of π is $(-1)^k$. If the sign is $+1$, then π is called **even**, and if the sign is -1 , then π is called **odd**.

Fact: If $\pi = \tau_1 \circ \cdots \circ \tau_k$, each τ_i a transposition, then $P_\pi = P_{\tau_1} \cdots P_{\tau_k}$.

It follows from the Fact that if a permutation π is the composition of k transpositions, then P_π can be transformed into the identity matrix with k column swaps. (And vice versa!)

We therefore call a permutation matrix **even** if it can be transformed into the identity matrix with an even number of column swaps, and **odd** if it can be transformed into the identity matrix with an odd number of column swaps. With this definition, $\det P_\pi = \text{sign}(\pi)$.

The permutation expansion of the determinant, II

If a permutation π is the composition of k transpositions, then the **sign** of π is $(-1)^k$. If the sign is $+1$, then π is called **even**, and if the sign is -1 , then π is called **odd**.

Fact: If $\pi = \tau_1 \circ \cdots \circ \tau_k$, each τ_i a transposition, then $P_\pi = P_{\tau_1} \cdots P_{\tau_k}$.

It follows from the Fact that if a permutation π is the composition of k transpositions, then P_π can be transformed into the identity matrix with k column swaps. (And vice versa!)

We therefore call a permutation matrix **even** if it can be transformed into the identity matrix with an even number of column swaps, and **odd** if it can be transformed into the identity matrix with an odd number of column swaps. With this definition, $\det P_\pi = \text{sign}(\pi)$.

[Explain how to compute the Cauchy number.]

The permutation expansion of the determinant, II

If a permutation π is the composition of k transpositions, then the **sign** of π is $(-1)^k$. If the sign is $+1$, then π is called **even**, and if the sign is -1 , then π is called **odd**.

Fact: If $\pi = \tau_1 \circ \cdots \circ \tau_k$, each τ_i a transposition, then $P_\pi = P_{\tau_1} \cdots P_{\tau_k}$.

It follows from the Fact that if a permutation π is the composition of k transpositions, then P_π can be transformed into the identity matrix with k column swaps. (And vice versa!)

We therefore call a permutation matrix **even** if it can be transformed into the identity matrix with an even number of column swaps, and **odd** if it can be transformed into the identity matrix with an odd number of column swaps. With this definition, $\det P_\pi = \text{sign}(\pi)$.

[Explain how to compute the Cauchy number.]

Fact: $\det P_\pi = (-1)^{\text{Cauchy number}(\pi)}$.

The permutation expansion of the determinant, II

If a permutation π is the composition of k transpositions, then the **sign** of π is $(-1)^k$. If the sign is $+1$, then π is called **even**, and if the sign is -1 , then π is called **odd**.

Fact: If $\pi = \tau_1 \circ \cdots \circ \tau_k$, each τ_i a transposition, then $P_\pi = P_{\tau_1} \cdots P_{\tau_k}$.

It follows from the Fact that if a permutation π is the composition of k transpositions, then P_π can be transformed into the identity matrix with k column swaps. (And vice versa!)

We therefore call a permutation matrix **even** if it can be transformed into the identity matrix with an even number of column swaps, and **odd** if it can be transformed into the identity matrix with an odd number of column swaps. With this definition, $\det P_\pi = \text{sign}(\pi)$.

[Explain how to compute the Cauchy number.]

Fact: $\det P_\pi = (-1)^{\text{Cauchy number}(\pi)}$.

Permutation expansion. If $A = [a_{ij}]$, then

$$\det A = \sum_{\pi} \text{sign}(\pi) a_{\pi(1)1} \cdots a_{\pi(n)n}.$$