

Classification of \mathbb{F} -vector spaces.

The main point of these notes is to establish that every \mathbb{F} -vector space is determined up to isomorphism by its dimension. That is, every \mathbb{F} -vector space has a dimension, and any two \mathbb{F} -vector spaces of the same dimension are isomorphic.

Theorem 1. *Every vector space has a basis.*

Idea of proof. Enumerate a spanning set $S \subseteq \mathbb{V}$ using an ordinal number. (If you are not given a spanning set, you can always take $S = \mathbb{V}$, since \mathbb{V} spans itself.) We have

$$v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\omega, v_{\omega+1}, v_{\omega+2}, \dots$$

Using the algorithm described on page 5 of the slides “basis.pdf” from February 22, examine the vectors in this list one at a time and delete those that depend on the set of vectors listed earlier. When the process is complete, the set of undeleted vectors will be a basis. \square

Theorem 2. *If \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} are \mathbb{F} -spaces, then $\mathbb{V} \cong \mathbb{W}$ if and only if there exist bases \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} for \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} respectively and a bijection $f: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.*

The proof of this theorem uses the Universal Mapping Property, which is proved later.

Proof. Assume that $f: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a bijection. By the Universal Mapping Property, there exists a unique linear transformation $\bar{f}: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ extending f . Similarly, there exists a unique linear transformation $\bar{f}^{-1}: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ extending $f^{-1}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. The compositions $\bar{f}^{-1} \circ \bar{f}$ and $\bar{f} \circ \bar{f}^{-1}$ extend the identity functions $f^{-1} \circ f = \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $f \circ f^{-1} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$, so, by the uniqueness of extensions, $\bar{f}^{-1} \circ \bar{f} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{V}}$ and $\bar{f} \circ \bar{f}^{-1} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{W}}$. This proves that \bar{f} and \bar{f}^{-1} are inverse isomorphisms between \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} .

Conversely, assume that $g: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ is an isomorphism. If \mathcal{B} is a basis for \mathbb{V} , then I claim that $\mathcal{C} := g(\mathcal{B})$ is a basis for \mathbb{W} and g restricts to be a bijection from \mathcal{B} to \mathcal{C} . To justify this we need to show three things:

- (1) The restriction $g|_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a bijection from \mathcal{B} to \mathcal{C} .

It suffices to observe that $g^{-1}|_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the inverse of $g|_{\mathcal{B}}$. That is, $g^{-1}|_{\mathcal{C}} \circ g|_{\mathcal{B}}(b) = b$ for any $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $g|_{\mathcal{B}} \circ g^{-1}|_{\mathcal{C}}(c) = c$ for any $c \in \mathcal{C}$.

- (2) \mathcal{C} spans \mathbb{W} .

We argue that an arbitrarily chosen vector $w \in \mathbb{W}$ belongs to $\text{span}(\mathcal{C})$. Write $v := g^{-1}(w)$ in terms of the basis \mathcal{B} as $v = \sum \alpha_i b_i$, and then apply g to this equality: $w = g(v) = \sum \alpha_i g(b_i) \in \text{span}(\mathcal{C})$.

- (3) \mathcal{C} is linearly independent.

If $\sum \alpha_i c_i = 0$, then $\sum \alpha_i g^{-1}(c_i) = g^{-1}(\sum \alpha_i c_i) = 0$, since g is 1-1, so $\alpha_i = 0$ for all i , since $g^{-1}(c_i) \in \mathcal{B}$ and \mathcal{B} is a basis.

\square

Next, let's state and prove the Universal Mapping Property, which guarantees that every linear transformation is determined by how it behaves on a basis.

Theorem 3. (*Universal Mapping Property*) Let \mathbb{V}, \mathbb{W} be \mathbb{F} -vector spaces. Let \mathcal{B} be a basis for \mathbb{V} . If $f: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ is any function, then f extends uniquely to a linear transformation $\bar{f}: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$.

Here, when we say that \bar{f} “extends” f , we mean that the two functions agree wherever f is defined (i.e. $\bar{f}(x) = f(x)$ whenever $x \in \text{domain}(f) = \mathcal{B}$). When we say that \bar{f} is the “unique” extension of f to a linear transformation, we mean that $\bar{f}: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ is a linear transformation extending f and if $T: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ is any linear transformation extending f , then $T = \bar{f}$ (i.e. $T(x) = \bar{f}(x)$ for all $x \in \text{domain}(\bar{f}) = \mathbb{V}$).

Proof. Given $f: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$, there is at most one way to extend f to the linear combinations of elements of \mathcal{B} if the extension map must respect addition and scaling, and that is

$$\bar{f}\left(\sum \alpha_i b_i\right) := \sum \bar{f}(\alpha_i b_i) = \sum \alpha_i \bar{f}(b_i) = \sum \alpha_i f(b_i).$$

That is, if $v = \sum \alpha_i b_i \in \text{span}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathbb{V}$, then $\bar{f}(v)$ is defined to equal $\sum \alpha_i f(b_i)$.

There are things we must check, namely

- (1) \bar{f} is a function from \mathbb{V} to \mathbb{W} .
- (2) \bar{f} extends f .
- (3) \bar{f} is linear.
- (4) \bar{f} is the unique linear transformation $\mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ that is an extension f .

Let's check them.

For Item (1), given any $v \in \mathbb{V}$ there is a unique way to write v in the \mathcal{B} -basis, say $v = \sum \alpha_i b_i \in \text{span}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathbb{V}$. Given this expression, we have defined $\bar{f}(v)$ to be $\sum \alpha_i f(b_i) \in \mathbb{W}$. That is, for each $v \in \mathbb{V}$, we have defined $\bar{f}(v)$ uniquely, so \bar{f} is a function.

For Item (2), if $v = b \in \mathcal{B}$, then the unique expression of v as a linear combination of elements of \mathcal{B} is $v = b$. We have defined \bar{f} so that $\bar{f}(v) = \bar{f}(b) = f(b)$ in this case.

For Item (3), suppose that $u, v \in \mathbb{V}$ and $u = \sum \beta_j b_j$, $v = \sum \alpha_i b_i$. Then $u + v = \sum \beta_j b_j + \sum \alpha_i b_i$ so $\bar{f}(u + v) = \sum \beta_j f(b_j) + \sum \alpha_i f(b_i) = \bar{f}(u) + \bar{f}(v)$. This proves that \bar{f} respects addition. If $r \in \mathbb{F}$, then $rv = r(\sum \alpha_i b_i) = \sum r\alpha_i b_i$. Therefore $\bar{f}(ru) = \sum r\alpha_i f(b_i) = r \sum \alpha_i f(b_i) = r\bar{f}(u)$. This proves that \bar{f} respects scaling. Thus, \bar{f} is linear.

For Item (4), assume that T is any linear transformation from \mathbb{V} to \mathbb{W} that extends f . Then, for $v = \sum \alpha_i b_i$ we must have $T(v) = T(\sum \alpha_i b_i) = \sum \alpha_i T(b_i) = \sum \alpha_i f(b_i) = \bar{f}(v)$, so T agrees with \bar{f} at each $v \in \mathbb{V}$. This forces $T = \bar{f}$. \square