

12. Let T be a theory in a countable language. Show that if T has an infinite model, then some countable model of T is not finitely generated.

[Hint: Use elementary chains.]

Since T has an infinite model, it also has an uncountable model \mathcal{N} . We will define an elementary chain $(\mathcal{M}_i, i \in \omega)$ of countably infinite submodels of \mathcal{N} through recursion. First, let X be any countably infinite subset of \mathcal{N} . By the Löwenheim-Skolem theorem, there is an elementary submodel of \mathcal{N} of countably infinite size containing X . Let \mathcal{M}_0 be such a submodel. Then let $i \in \omega$ and suppose \mathcal{M}_i has already been defined. Since M_i is countable and N is uncountable, there is some element $x \in N - M_i$. Let \mathcal{M}_{i+1} be any countable elementary submodel of \mathcal{N} containing $M_i \cup \{x\}$, which again exists by the (downward) Löwenheim-Skolem theorem. By recursion, $(\mathcal{M}_i, i \in \omega)$ is a chain of countably infinite elementary submodels of \mathcal{N} . Moreover, the chain is elementary due to the following lemma:

Lemma: Let \mathcal{L} be any language and let \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} , and \mathcal{C} be \mathcal{L} -structures with $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$. If $\mathcal{A} \preceq \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{B} \preceq \mathcal{C}$, then $\mathcal{A} \preceq \mathcal{B}$.

Proof: Let $\phi(v, \bar{w})$ be a formula and let $\bar{a} \in A^n$. Let $b \in B$ and suppose $\mathcal{B} \models \phi(b, \bar{a})$. Since \mathcal{B} is an elementary substructure of \mathcal{C} (i.e., the inclusion map from B to A is an elementary embedding), we also have that $\mathcal{C} \models \phi(b, \bar{a})$. Moreover, \mathcal{A} is an elementary substructure of \mathcal{C} , so the Tarski-Vaught test implies that there is some $a' \in A$ such that $\mathcal{A} \models \phi(a', \bar{a})$. Again by the Tarski-Vaught test, we now see that \mathcal{A} is an elementary substructure of \mathcal{B} . \square

To continue with the main proof, let $i, j \in \omega$ such that $i < j$. We have $M_i \subset M_j \subset N$, $\mathcal{M}_i \prec \mathcal{N}$, and $\mathcal{M}_j \prec \mathcal{N}$, which implies that $\mathcal{M}_i \prec \mathcal{M}_j$. Hence, $(\mathcal{M}_i, i \in \omega)$ is an elementary chain of countably infinite submodels of \mathcal{N} .

Now let $\mathcal{M} := \bigcup_{i \in \omega} \mathcal{M}_i$, which is countable since this is a countable union of countable sets. It is shown in the proof of Proposition 2.3.11 that

$$\mathcal{M} \models \phi(\bar{a}) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}_i \models \phi(\bar{a})$$

for all $i \in \omega$, all formulas $\phi(\bar{v})$, and all $\bar{a} \in M_i^n$. This implies that \mathcal{M} is a model of T since each \mathcal{M}_i is a model of T . However, we claim that \mathcal{M} is not finitely generated. Suppose for sake of contradiction that $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ is a generating set for \mathcal{M} . Let $k \in \omega$ such that $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq M_k$ (such a value of k exists since each a_i must appear in *some* M_j). Thus, $\mathcal{M} = \langle \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \rangle \subseteq M_k$. However, this contradicts the fact that $(M_i, i \in \omega)$ is a strictly increasing chain! We therefore conclude that \mathcal{M} is a countably infinite model of T which is not finitely generated. \square