

# MODEL THEORY SPRING 2016 ASSIGNMENT 2 PROBLEM 7

KEVIN BERG, PAUL LESSARD, AND JEFFREY SHRINER

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an ultrafilter on an index set  $I$ , and let  $\{\mathbf{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a set of  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures for a language  $\mathcal{L}$ . We denote by  $q$  the canonical projection map  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i \rightarrow \prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i$ , we write  $A \hookrightarrow B$  for injective maps of sets and we write  $\mathbf{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{B}$  for an embedding of  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures.

We note that for an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $\mathbf{A}$ , the set

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbf{A}) = \{f : \mathbf{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A} \mid f : A \hookrightarrow A \text{ is a surjection}\}$$

is a structure in the language of groups  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Grp}} = \{R(\_, \_, \_), R_{\text{id}}(\_)\}$  in the obvious way.

**Lemma 0.1.** *There is a natural embedding of the  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Grp}}$ -structures*

$$\alpha : \prod_{\mathcal{U}} \text{Aut}(\mathbf{A}_i) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}\left(\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathbf{A}_i\right).$$

*Proof.* We may assume that  $\mathcal{L}$  is a purely relational language. Thus any  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $\mathbf{A}_i$  may be given as

$$\mathbf{A}_i = \langle A_i; \mathcal{R}_i \rangle$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_i$  is a set of subsets of  $A_i^k$  for varying arity  $k$ . We may then write that

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbf{A}_i) = \left\{ f : A_i \xrightarrow{\sim} A_i \mid \forall \bar{a} \in A_i \forall R \in \mathcal{R}_i (R(\bar{a}) = R(f(\bar{a}))) \right\}.$$

Thus a member of the ultra-product  $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \text{Aut}(\mathbf{A}_i)$  is an equivalence class  $[(f_i)_{i \in I}]_{\mathcal{U}}$  where

$$(f_i)_{i \in I} \sim_{\mathcal{U}} (g_i)_{i \in I}$$

if and only if

$$\{i \in I \mid f_i = g_i\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Observe then that for any

$$(f_i)_{i \in I} \in [(f_i)_{i \in I}]_{\mathcal{U}} \in \prod_{\mathcal{U}} \text{Aut}(\mathbf{A}_i)$$

the composition  $q \circ f_i \circ \text{Pr}_i$  preserves  $\mathcal{U}$ -classes. Indeed suppose  $(a_i) \sim_{\mathcal{U}} (b_i)$ , then as

$$(a_i) \xrightarrow{f_i \circ \text{Pr}_i} (f_i(a_i)) \xrightarrow{q} [f_i(a_i)],$$

likewise  $(b_i) \mapsto [f_i(b_i)]$ , and what's more  $\{i \in I \mid a_i = b_i\} \in \mathcal{U}$  we find that

$$\{i \in I \mid f_i(a_i) = f_i(b_i)\} \in \mathcal{U}$$

so  $[f_i(a_i)] = [f_i(b_i)]$ . Thus we've the unique dashed completion  $f$  in the diagram below

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod_{i \in I} A_i & \xrightarrow{\prod f_i \circ \text{Pr}_i} \prod_{i \in I} A_i & \xrightarrow{q} \prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i. \\ q \downarrow & \dashrightarrow \exists! f & \\ \prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i & & \end{array}$$

If  $(f_i)_{i \in I} \sim_{\mathcal{U}} (g_i)_{i \in I}$  then  $\prod_{i \in I} f_i \circ \text{Pr}_i$  agrees with  $\prod_{i \in I} g_i \circ \text{Pr}_i$  in almost all coordinates and their respective compositions with the canonical projection  $q$  induce the same map  $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i \rightarrow \prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i$ .

These induced maps define automorphisms of the ultraproduct  $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathbf{A}_i$ . Suppose  $f = \alpha([(f_i)])$ , then  $f$  is bijective: indeed  $f$  is injective as the  $f_i$  are automorphisms so

$$\begin{aligned} f([(a_i)]) = f([(b_i)]) &\leftrightarrow \{i \in I \mid f_i(a_i) = f_i(b_i)\} \in \mathcal{U} \\ &\leftrightarrow \{i \in I \mid a_i = b_i\} \in \mathcal{U} \\ &\leftrightarrow [(a_i)] = [(b_i)]; \end{aligned}$$

and surjective as for any  $[(a_i)]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f([(f_i^{-1}(a_i))]) &= [(f_i(f_i^{-1}(a_i)))] \\ &= [(a_i)]. \end{aligned}$$

This  $f$  is moreover an embedding as for any  $R \in \mathcal{R}$  of arity  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} R\left(\left([(a_i^j)])_{j=1}^k\right) &\leftrightarrow \left\{i \in I \mid R\left((a_i^j)_{j=1}^k\right) \in \mathcal{U}\right\} \\ &\leftrightarrow \left\{i \in I \mid R\left((f_i(a_i^j))_{j=1}^k\right) \in \mathcal{U}\right\} \\ &\leftrightarrow R\left(\left([f_i(a_i^j)])_{j=1}^k\right) \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the  $f_i$  being automorphisms. We may then define the map  $\alpha$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\mathcal{U}} \text{Aut}(\mathbf{A}_i) &\xrightarrow{\alpha} \prod_{\mathcal{U}} \text{Aut}(\mathbf{A}_i) \\ [(f_i)_{i \in I}]_{\mathcal{U}} &\longmapsto f. \end{aligned}$$

The map  $\alpha$  is injective. Indeed if  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$  and  $(g_i)_{i \in I}$  induce in this manner the same map then the compositions

$$\prod_{i \in I} A_i \xrightarrow{\prod f_i \circ \text{Pr}_i} \prod_{i \in I} A_i \xrightarrow{q} \prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i$$

and

$$\prod_{i \in I} A_i \xrightarrow{\prod g_i \circ \text{Pr}_i} \prod_{i \in I} A_i \xrightarrow{q} \prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i$$

agree, meaning that they agree in almost all indices prior to composition with  $q$ ; i.e.

$$\{i \in I \mid f_i \circ \text{Pr}_i = g_i \circ \text{Pr}_i\} \in \mathcal{U}$$

which puts

$$\{i \in I \mid f_i = g_i\} \in \mathcal{U}$$

as those are the same set since the maps  $\text{Pr}_i$  are epimorphisms.

The interpretation of composition, seen as an interpretation of  $R$  in the language of groups in  $\text{Aut}(\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathbf{A}_i)$  is clear, likewise the interpretation of  $R$  in  $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \text{Aut}(\mathbf{A}_i)$  is given;

$$R((x_i), (y_i), (z_i)) \leftrightarrow \{i \in I \mid x_i \circ y_i = z_i\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

We observe moreover that for fixed  $k$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \prod_{i \in I} A_i & \xrightarrow{\prod x_i \circ \text{Pr}_i} & \prod_{i \in I} A_i & & \\
 \text{Pr}_k \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Pr}_k & & \\
 A_k & \xrightarrow{x_k} & A_k & \xrightarrow{y_k} & A_k
 \end{array}$$

commutes so the inducement  $\alpha$  preserves composition, and if  $[(x_i)] = [(\text{id}_{A_i})]$  then

$$\alpha([(x_i)]) = \alpha([\text{id}_{A_i}])$$

which is induced by

$$\prod_{i \in I} A_i \xrightarrow{\prod \text{id}_{A_i} \circ \text{Pr}_i} \prod_{i \in I} A_i$$

which is but the identity map on the product as it is so in every index so  $\alpha$  preserves the identity; to wit  $\alpha$  is functorial.

That functoriality provides that  $\alpha$  is an embedding of  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Grp}}$ -structures. Indeed we've both that

$$\begin{aligned}
 R.([(x_i)], [(y_i)], [(z_i)]) &\leftrightarrow \{i \in I \mid x_i \circ y_i = z_i\} \in \mathcal{U} \\
 &\leftrightarrow \alpha((x_i \circ y_i)) = \alpha((z_i)) \\
 &\leftrightarrow \alpha((x_i)) \circ \alpha((y_i)) = \alpha((z_i)) \\
 &\leftrightarrow R.(\alpha([(x_i)]), \alpha([(y_i)]), \alpha([(z_i)])),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{\text{id}}([(x_i)]) &\leftrightarrow \{i \in I \mid x_i = \text{id}_{A_i}\} \in \mathcal{U} \\
 &\leftrightarrow \alpha([(x_i)]) = \alpha([\text{id}_{A_i}]) \\
 &\leftrightarrow \alpha([(x_i)]) = \text{id}_{\prod_{i \in I} A_i} \\
 &\leftrightarrow R_{\text{id}}(\alpha([(x_i)])) .
 \end{aligned}$$

□

This embedding is not in general an isomorphism; consider the following example. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the language

$$\mathcal{L} = \{R_{=}\} \cup \{f_i\}_{i \in \omega}$$

where  $f_i$  is a unary function symbol for each  $i$ , and for each  $i \in \omega$  we let

$$A_i = \{0, 1\},$$

and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a non-principal ultra-filter on  $\omega$ . We define  $\omega$ -many  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures  $\mathbf{A}_i$  with domains  $A_i$ , interpreting the relation of equality in the obvious way, and the interpretation of the function symbols  $f_i$  in  $\mathbf{A}_j$  given in table.

We note then that for each  $i \in \omega$ , the group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{A}_i)$  is trivial, as any automorphism  $\varphi$  must commute with all function symbols and in particular with  $f_i$ , but then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi(0) &= \varphi(f_i(1)) \\
 &= f_i(\varphi(1)) \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$
$f_3$	$\text{id}_{\{0,1\}}$	$\text{id}_{\{0,1\}}$	0	$\dots$
$f_2$	$\text{id}_{\{0,1\}}$	0	$\text{id}_{\{0,1\}}$	$\dots$
$f_1$	0	$\text{id}_{\{0,1\}}$	$\text{id}_{\{0,1\}}$	$\dots$
	$\mathbf{A}_1$	$\mathbf{A}_2$	$\mathbf{A}_3$	$\dots$

TABLE 1. Interpretations of function symbols  $f_i$ 

which forces  $\varphi = \text{id}_{\{0,1\}}$ . Since  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{A}_i)$  is trivial for all  $i \in \omega$ ,  $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \text{Aut}(\mathbf{A}_i)$  is trivial and to prove the properness of the embedding  $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \text{Aut}(\mathbf{A}_i) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathbf{A}_i)$  it then suffices to show that  $\text{Aut}(\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathbf{A}_i)$  admits a single non-trivial element. We construct this automorphism explicitly.

First, observe that the function symbols  $f_j$  interpreted in  $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathbf{A}_i$  are the identity function. Let  $\varphi$  be the induced map in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \prod_{i \in I} A_i & \xrightarrow{\Pi \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 \mapsto 1 \\ 1 \mapsto 0 \end{array} \right\} \circ \text{Pr}_i} & \prod_{i \in I} A_i & \xrightarrow{q} & \prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i. \\
 \downarrow q & & & \nearrow & \\
 \prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

The map  $\varphi$  is indeed a bijective map of sets, but it is more, it is an embedding of  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures: the preservation and reflection of  $R_=_$  is clear and our observation that  $f_j^{\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathbf{A}_i} = \text{id}_{\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathbf{A}_i}$  makes immediate the commutation of those functions with any function, and in particular with  $\varphi$ . To wit  $\varphi$  is a non-trivial automorphism and the embedding  $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \text{Aut}(\mathbf{A}_i) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathbf{A}_i)$  is not in general an isomorphism.