

Let \mathcal{L} be a language. Show that if $\alpha : \mathbf{A} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}$ is an isomorphism of \mathcal{L} -structures, then for all \mathcal{L} -formulae φ and for all \mathbf{A} -valuations v , we have that $\mathbf{A} \models \varphi[v]$ if and only if $\mathbf{B} \models \varphi[\alpha(v)]$.

We prove this claim by way of the following lemma.

Lemma. *For all \mathcal{L} -terms t , and all valuations v valued in A it follows that*

$$\alpha(t^{\mathbf{A}}[v]) = t^{\mathbf{B}}[\alpha(v)]$$

Proof. The proof proceeds by induction on the set of valuations of \mathcal{L} -terms:

constants: as α is an isomorphism of structures, $\alpha(c^{\mathbf{A}}) = c^{\mathbf{B}}$ for all constant symbols c ;

variables: given variable $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots)$ and a valuation $v : x_i \mapsto a_i$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\bar{x}[v]) &= \alpha((a_1, \dots)) \\ &= (\alpha(a_1), \dots) \\ &= \bar{x}[\alpha(v)]; \end{aligned}$$

and

composition: if t_1, \dots, t_n are \mathcal{L} -terms such that $\alpha(t_i^{\mathbf{A}}) = t_i^{\mathbf{B}}$ and f is a function symbol, then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(f^{\mathbf{A}}(t_1^{\mathbf{A}}, \dots, t_n^{\mathbf{A}})) &= f^{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha(t_1^{\mathbf{A}}), \dots, \alpha(t_n^{\mathbf{A}})) \\ &= f^{\mathbf{B}}(t_1^{\mathbf{B}}, \dots, t_n^{\mathbf{B}}). \end{aligned}$$

□

We may now attend to the proof of the problem.

Proof. The proof proceeds by induction of the set of \mathcal{L} -formulae. Suppose v to be a valuation landing in \mathbf{A} .

atomic formulae: Suppose φ to be $t_1 = t_2$, then for a valuation v we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} \models \varphi[v] &\leftrightarrow t_1^{\mathbf{A}}[v] = t_2^{\mathbf{A}}[v] \\ &\leftrightarrow \alpha(t_1^{\mathbf{A}}[v]) = \alpha(t_2^{\mathbf{A}}[v]) \\ &\leftrightarrow \alpha(t_1^{\mathbf{A}})[\alpha(v)] = \alpha(t_2^{\mathbf{A}})[\alpha(v)] \\ &\leftrightarrow t_1^{\mathbf{B}}[\alpha(v)] = t_2^{\mathbf{B}}[\alpha(v)] \\ &\leftrightarrow \mathbf{B} \models \varphi[\alpha(v)]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly if φ is $R(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} \models \varphi[v] &\leftrightarrow (t_1^{\mathbf{A}}, \dots, t_n^{\mathbf{A}})[v] \in R^{\mathbf{A}} \\ &\leftrightarrow (t_1^{\mathbf{A}}[v], \dots, t_n^{\mathbf{A}}[v]) \in R^{\mathbf{A}} \\ &\leftrightarrow (\alpha(t_1^{\mathbf{A}}[v]), \dots, \alpha(t_n^{\mathbf{A}}[v])) \in \alpha(R^{\mathbf{A}}) \\ &\leftrightarrow (t_1^{\mathbf{B}}[\alpha(v)], \dots, t_n^{\mathbf{B}}[\alpha(v)]) \in R^{\mathbf{B}} \\ &\leftrightarrow \mathbf{B} \models \varphi[\alpha(v)]. \end{aligned}$$

negation: Suppose φ is $\neg\psi$ where the claim has been proved for ψ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A} \models \varphi[v] &\leftrightarrow \mathbf{A} \not\models \psi[v] \\ &\leftrightarrow \mathbf{B} \not\models \psi[\alpha(v)] \\ &\leftrightarrow \mathbf{B} \models \varphi[\alpha(v)].\end{aligned}$$

conjunction: Suppose φ to be $\psi \wedge \vartheta$, then by induction,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A} \models \varphi[v] &\leftrightarrow \mathbf{A} \models \psi[v] \wedge \vartheta[v] \\ &\leftrightarrow \mathbf{A} \models \psi[v] \wedge \mathbf{A} \models \vartheta[v] \\ &\leftrightarrow \mathbf{B} \models \psi[\alpha(v)] \wedge \mathbf{B} \models \vartheta[\alpha(v)] \\ &\leftrightarrow \mathbf{B} \models \psi[\alpha(v)] \wedge \vartheta[\alpha(v)] \\ &\leftrightarrow \mathbf{B} \models \varphi[\alpha(v)].\end{aligned}$$

existential quantification: Suppose φ to be $\exists v_1 (\psi(v_1))$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A} \models \varphi[v] &\leftrightarrow \exists a_1 \in A (\mathbf{A} \models \psi(a_1)[v]) \\ &\leftrightarrow \exists b_1 \in B (\mathbf{B} \models \psi(b_1)[\alpha(v)]) \\ &\leftrightarrow \mathbf{B} \models \varphi[\alpha(v)].\end{aligned}$$

□