

# Assignment V

Matt Jones, John Tuley

- 5 Give an example to show that the Universal Coefficient Theorem for Homology may fail for complexes that are not flat.

**Solution.** Consider the chain:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

with  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  in the  $n - 1$ -st position of the chain. The module  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  is not flat: abelian groups are flat iff torsion free. Supposing the Universal Coefficient Theorem holds on  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ , for any module  $A$ , the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_n(C) \otimes A \longrightarrow H_n(C \otimes A) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathrm{Tor}_1(H_{n-1}(C), A) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. However, when we substitute into the sequence, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow 0 \otimes A \longrightarrow H_n(0 \otimes A) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathrm{Tor}_1(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, A) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathrm{Tor}_1(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, A) \longrightarrow 0$$

However, we see that since the sequence is exact,  $\varphi$  is surjective, making  $\mathrm{Tor}_1(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, A) = 0$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  is not flat, this is not true for every  $A$ ; this contradiction proves that  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  is a counterexample to the non-necessity of flatness. (This same argument shows that the Universal Coefficient Theorem will hold for a complex with zero morphisms iff all the objects in the complex are flat.) ■